

DEDSTF Options Poll

The DEDSTF has been tasked with the establishment of a set of minimum design standards for projects that sign a Designated Entity Agreement. From a facilitation perspective we feel that it is important to understand how the members envision accomplishing this large task. Initial discussions have included several potential options for future organization of this group. With several months of education behind us, the PJM led facilitation team is asking for feedback on the approach and willingness to participate in future subgroups. Please complete the following survey indicating your interests for the future of the DEDSTF.

* Of the options listed below, please rank the options for design standards that you perceive as the best direction to move forward with in order of preference, using 1 for your most-preferred, and 8 for your least-preferred.

Links to referenced documents:

[TSS Guidelines](#)

[Manual 07](#)

[Transmission Owner Engineering & Construction Standards](#)

[MISO Standards](#)

[SPP Standards](#)

<input type="text"/>	Begin with a straw-man document - Use existing TSS guidelines and Manual 07 documents as a base
<input type="text"/>	Begin with a straw-man document - Use existing Transmission Owner Engineering & Construction Standards as a base
<input type="text"/>	Begin with a straw-man document - Use MISO minimum design standards as a base
<input type="text"/>	Begin with a straw-man document - Use SPP minimum design standards as a base
<input type="text"/>	Develop design standards from scratch
<input type="text"/>	Establish the TSS guidelines and Manual 07 standards (as is) as the minimum design standards
<input type="text"/>	Existing PJM documents are adequate; no changes needed. This is the status quo position - each Transmission Owner/Developer continues to use their own standards.
<input type="text"/>	Other (In the additional comments section at the end of this Poll, please elaborate on a direction other than those listed above that you believe is the best course of action with regard to design standards).

Process to Develop Options

* Which process do you prefer as a Task Force to review, evaluate, and develop minimum design standards?

- Form three member led subgroups (Substations, Lines & Protection) to develop the minimum design standards
- Form one member led subgroup (with representation for Substations, Lines & Protection) to develop the minimum design standards

Process to Develop Options

* Are you willing to participate in or lead in design standard development in the areas of expertise below?

Participation

Substations

Lines

Protection

If your company is interested in leading the design standards development and you would like to identify the individual at this time, please provide the name and contact information here.

Additional comments or feedback

Please use this space to elaborate on a direction other than the seven listed options for moving forward with design standards.

Do you have any other comments or feedback for the facilitation team?

Additional Companies Close Question

Do you wish to respond for any additional companies?

Yes

No