







<p>Issue 1 - Preventing Transmission Cost Shifts.</p>	<p>Document identifying and detailing the potential transmission cost shifts resulting from the MISO Capacity Deliverability White Paper and recommendations for eliminating any possible cost shifts, including but not limited to adjustments to the proposal, limits on duration of firm transmission service granted, and/or changes to cost allocation methodologies.</p>																												
<p>Issue 2 - Dispatch Control Requirements for External Resources.</p>	<p>Identification/elaboration of dispatch control issues that need to be addressed and ensure that pseudo-tie or other modeling options address these issues. Ultimately, provide or ensure existing BPM language meet these needs by 10/31/13</p>																												
<p>Issue 3 - Existing Generation Deliverability Assessment; Transmission limitations. Deliverables are the same as for OMS/OPSI fact finding steps 1 and 2 above.</p>	<p>Deliverable from steps 1 and 2 above will demonstrate what changes, if any, would be beneficial to pursue for the RTO's existing deliverability methodologies.</p>																												
<p>Issue 5 - Day Ahead Market Coordination. Deliverable is a Day Ahead market coordination procedure for communicating and scheduling capacity day ahead by December 31, 2013.</p>	<p>Deliverable is ultimately a Day Ahead market coordination procedure for communicating, coordinating, and scheduling capacity day ahead. This issue to be addressed or mitigated via (1) Pseudo tie modeling or (2) enhanced coordination between market administration options. (1) Confirmation that pseudo-tie approach addresses this concern. Or (2) Enhanced Modeling practices, Day-Ahead Market Administration processes, Day-Ahead Market Administration JOA MISO and PJM coordination procedures and/or JOA provisions, and Unit Commitment processes, procedures, tariff provisions (Emergency Procedures and Operating Procedures), that address this concern. Analysis of enhancements should address any additional Data exchange, software tools, and procedures necessary to accomplish, including high level cost estimates. Must offer provision evaluation and/or clarification. The specific changes needed would then be an input to the cost/benefit analysis for which the methodology is determined in step 4 above. Should the changes be determined to be cost-beneficial, then development of the coordination procedures would follow according to the proposal development timeline. The initial part of this issue, investigation into the coordination requirements to support changes to deliverability analysis processes, would be investigated as part of the fact-finding; the actual coordination process changes would be developed, if necessary, following the fact-finding effort.</p>																												
<p>Issue 6 - Assess physical transfer capability of existing transmission. Deliverables are the same as for OMS/OPSI fact finding steps 1 and 2 above.</p>	<p>Deliverable from steps 1 and 2 above will demonstrate how to calculate maximum import capabilities into the capacity constructs and whether any changes are required in how to determine which generators are eligible to export.</p>																												
<p>Issue 7 - Order 888/889 Compliance/ Existing Transmission Rights - Deliverable is a documentation of impacts if any to existing transmission rights by November 30, 2013.</p>	<p>Deliverable is a documentation of impacts if any to existing transmission rights. Identify the specific issues and/or areas of concern raised by PJM. Legal Analysis of orders. Review Tariff and Business practices to identify areas of impacts needed to be addressed by any proposal.</p>																												
<p>Develop Proposals based on OMS/OPSI Fact Finding and Issue Resolutions - JCM Joint Stakeholder Process</p>																													
<p>Draft Required JOA and Tariff Changes required to implement proposals - Individual RTO Stakeholder Processes</p>																													
<p>File JOA and Tariff Changes with FERC by 12/1/2014 for those changes that can be implemented by the 2015 auctions, and by 12/1/15 for those changes applicable to the 2016 auctions</p>																													
<p>Deliverability Proposal Implementation for changes that can be finalized in time for 2015 auction, respectively - Subject to FERC Approval</p>																													
<p>Key:  Bi-Monthly JCM Meetings  Tariff/JOA Filing if Needed  MISO's Planning Resource Auction  PJM's RPM Base Residual Auction  Capacity Resource Performance Period begins  Decision point at the conclusion of the fact-finding effort as to whether to proceed with aspects of the Capacity Deliverability effort based on the facts determined through the process. Some proposal development could begin before this date if components of the fact-finding reveal positive cost/benefit of doing so</p>																													



2/1/2015 Effective Date

- Assumptions:**
- Process and system changes identified and in place to affectuate improved Capacity Deliverability for annual auctions conducted by MISO and PJM in the spring of 2015.
 - Resoulution of Joint Deliverability issues will require forming a Task Team that will need to meet in between JCM Meetings.
 - Proposal development can begin as soon as an issue is resolved and then continue in parallel until all issues have been resolved.
 - MISO and PJM assign sufficient resources to ensure plan is achievable.
 - Stakeholders are engaged and are providing feedback through the Task Team and JCM meetings.