

**9.3.6 Analysis of Generator Deactivations (retirements and suspensions)**

- (a) The Party (“Noticed Party”) receiving a new request from a generation owner to retire, deactivate, or mothball (or suspend operations as defined under the MISO Tariff) its generation unit will notify the other Party about such deactivation request. The other Party (“Other Party”) will determine if any study is required to evaluate potential impacts to its system due to the proposed generator deactivation in the Noticed Party’s system. Any studies required due to a notice to deactivate (retire or suspend operations as defined under the MISO Tariff) will be performed under each Party’s respective tariff. Each Party’s regional study results will be documented and provided to the other Party for informational purposes only.
- (b) Both Parties will share all information necessary to evaluate potential impacts to their respective systems due to the notice. Such coordination shall provide for:
  - (i) Exchange of current power flow modeling data as necessary for the study and coordination of generator deactivations (retirements and suspensions). This will include the associated update of the other Party’s generator availability, contingency elements, monitoring elements data, and other data as may be required.
  - (ii) Coordination by Parties to align the assumptions of any analyses during development of the scope of any required studies. The scope design will include, as appropriate, evaluation of the transmission system against the criteria applicable to each Party for such studies.
- (c) Following the exchange of information pursuant to section 9.3.6(b), the Other Party will conduct screening and evaluation of projects needed to mitigate identified impacts on its system. The Other Party will use reasonable efforts to perform an initial assessment and provide an indication of the impacts on its system to the Noticed Party within 65 days of receipt of the notice from the Noticed Party. The Other Party will provide a list of potential system reinforcements required on its system and estimated time for completion of those system reinforcements to the Noticed Party as soon as they are available.
- (d) Each Party will be responsible for any regional Network Upgrades or other mitigation required on their respective system as a result of a request to deactivate (retirement or suspension).
- (e) Any impact(s) on the Other Party’s system identified in the analysis will not be used to determine the need to retain the generator requesting to deactivate.
- (f) The identification of Network Upgrades required for generator deactivation (retirement or suspension) in the Other Party’s system may require coordination through the JRPC. The Parties will endeavor to make such information available to the JRPC in a timely manner

following publication of information through the Parties' regional processes. The additional coordination, as may be needed, will be conducted based on the appropriate Coordinated System Plan study process as mutually agreed by the Parties in accordance with the provisions of Section 9.3.7.

- (i) The JRPC will incorporate any needed regional upgrades that may be identified by the generator deactivation studies coordinated pursuant to this section 9.3.6 into the annual review processes of Section 9.3.7 for the purpose of determining if there is a more efficient or cost effective Interregional Reliability Project that may replace the one or more of the identified deactivation regional upgrades.
- (ii) The JRPC will consider the results of the deactivation analyses forwarded to the committee at the next scheduled JRPC meeting or within 30 days of receipt of the completed study information from both Parties. Depending on the timing of the receipt of the study information, the JRPC will determine the most appropriate process for including the regional deactivation results into the development of the Coordinated System Plan. Such appropriate process will include IPSAC review according to the Coordinated System Plan process of Section 9.3.7.
- (iii) Throughout the interregional review process any confidentiality provisions of the Parties Tariff's will be respected. Critical identified Interregional Reliability Projects for which the need to begin development is urgent will be presented to the Parties' Boards for approval as soon as possible after identification through the coordinated planning process. Other identified Interregional Reliability Projects presented to the Parties' Boards for approval in the normal regional planning process cycle as long as this cycle does not delay the implementation of a necessary upgrade.