

Executive Summary

1. Executive Summary		
Instructions		Inputs
Provide the name of the Proposing Entity. If there are multiple entities, please identify each party.	1.a.	Proposing Entity name
Provide the RTEP Proposal Window in which this proposal is being submitted.	1.b.	Proposal window 2018/19 RTEP Long-Term Proposal Window
Provide the Proposing Entity project proposal id. Use "A, B, C,", etc. to differentiate between proposal	als 1.c.	Proposal identification
PJM proposal identification	1.d.	PJM proposal identification 201819_1-322
Provide a general description of the scope of this project (e.g. Project is a new line between X and Y substations utilizing AAA structures. A new bay will be created within the existing substation X footprint. Substation Y will be reconfigured to a breaker and a half with accomodations for the new line.)	1.e.	A new 345 kV line will be constructed between the Palmyra and Herleman Substations. The Palmyra Substation and surrounding property is owned by Associated Electric Cooperative Inc. ("AECI") and the Herleman Substation is owned by ATXI. The line will be constructed entirely on existing right-of-way and the project will include a rebuild of an existing Palmyra – Marblehead 161 kV line and a Marblehead – Herleman 138 kV line. At the Palmyra Substation the existing Palmyra – Marblehead 161 kV line will be rebuilt as a 345 kV/161 kV, double circuit line. This line will cross the Mississippi River. But, the river crossing has already been constructed as a 345 kV double circuit line. The conductors are installed hard in parallel on river crossing. The 161 kV circuit will terminate at the Marblehead Substation while the 345 kV circuit will bypass the Marblehead Substation. The existing Marblehead – Herleman 138 kV transmission line will also be rebuilt as a 345 kV/138 kV, double circuit line and will carry the 345 kV circuit into the Herleman Substation. When the project is commissioned, the result will be a 2nd Maywood – Herleman 345 kV transmission line that is constructed entirely on existing right-of-way. AECI will be submitting a Letter of Support to PJM for the proposed project.
Identify if the proposal or a proposal component span two PJM Transmission Owner zones. I.e. The proposal topology connects equipment owned by more than one Transmission Owner. This group includes transmission that spans two or more affiliated companies (e.g. Meted and Allegheny Power).	1.f.	Tie line impact Yes
Indicate if the project is being proposed as a solution to a cross-border (e.g. PJM to MISO, PJM to NYISO) issue. (Note: The Proposing Entity is responsible for initiating and satisfying all regional and interregional requirements.)	1.g.	Interregional project Yes
Indicate if the Proposing Entity intends to construct, own, operate, and maintain the infrastructure built under this proposal.	1.h.	Construct, own, operate and maintain Yes

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 1 of 14



Executive Summary

Instructions		Inputs	
Total current year project cost estimate including estimates for any required Transmission Owner upgrad	de: 1.i.	Project cost estimate (current year) \$ 33,763,6	669
Fotal in-service year project cost estimate including estimates for any required Transmission Owner upg	ra(1.j.	Project cost estimate (in-service year) \$ 35,952,7	95
Project estimated schedule duration in months.	1.k.	Project schedule duration 46	
ndicate if any cost containment commitment is being proposed as part of the project. If yes, the "10. Cost Contain" tab within this project proposal template is to be completed	1.l.	Cost containment commitment No	
f the project provides any known additional benefits above solving the identified violations or constraints, identify those benefits (e.g. reliability, economic, resilience, etc.).	1.m.		
Confirm that all technical analysis files have been provided for this proposal.	1.n.	Technical analysis files provided	
Confirm that all necessary project diagrams have been provided for this proposal.	1.0.	Project diagram files provided	
ndicate if company evaluation and operations and maintenance information has been provided for this proposal.	1.p.	Company evaluation and operations and maintenance information provided	
		If the answer to the cross-border question above at 1.g. was yes, complete the questions be	low.
ndicate if an evaluation for interregional cost allocation is desired.	1.q.i.	Interregional Cost Allocation Evaluation Yes	
	1.q.ii.	Evaluated in interregional analysis under PJM Tariff or Operating Agreement provisions Yes	

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 2 of 14



Executive Summary

1. Ex	ecutive Summary			
	Instructions		Inputs	
	Indicate if the proposal has been evaluated in a coordinated interregional analysis under the PJM Tariff or Operating Agreement provisions. Specify the analysis and applicable Tariff or Operating Agreement provisions.		If 'yes,' specify analysis and applicable Tariff or Operating Agreement provisions	
			The Project should be evaluated according to the MISO-PJM JOA and the PJM Operating Agreemen	nt and Tariff
	List the specific regional and interregional violations and issues from the regional and/or interregional analyses that identified the violations and issues addressed by the proposal.	1.q.iii.	Regional and Interregional violations and issues from the Regional and/or Interregional analyses identified the violations and issues addressed by the proposal.	s that
	analyses that identified the violations and issues addressed by the proposal.		The Marblehead Transformer is a targeted flowgate in both MISO and PJM	

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 3 of 14



Overloaded Facilities

2. Overloaded Facilities

Facilities addressed by the proposed project Instructions: Identify the criteria violation(s) or system constraint(s) that the proposed project solves or mitigates. Analysis Type Bus # **Facility Name** To Bus # To Bus Name CKT Voltage FG# 2.a. Area

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 4 of 14



Overloaded Facilities

2. Overloaded Facilities

E	Facilities not address	sed/caused by the n	ronosed project						
	nstructions:	Identify the criteria		tem constraint(s) th	nat the proposed pr	oject causes or doe	es not address.		
ab.	Unique Proposer Generated ID	Analysis Type	Bus#	Facility Name	To Bus #	To Bus Name	скт	Voltage	Area

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 5 of 14



Overloaded Facilities

2. Overloaded Facilities

	Market Efficiency	flowgate(s) addressed by the proposed pro	oject					
	Instructions:	Identify the Market Efficiency flowgate(s)	the proposed projec	t mitigates.				
2.c.	FG#	Facility Name	Area	Туре	Frequency (Hours)	Market Congestion (\$ millions)	Frequency (Hours)	Market Congestion (\$ millions)
	ME-6	Marblehead XFMR	AMIL	Transformer	195	\$ 1.41	138	\$ 1.18

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 6 of 14



Instructions			Component 1	Component 2	Component 3
Provide a description for each major project component. Each project component will require the completion of the tab corresponding to the category of the component ("Greenfield Substation Component" tab for any proposed new substation, for example).	3.a.	Component description(s)	Add a breaker to the Herleman ring bus to accommodate the new line position. There is a position available so no expansion is needed.	Rebuild the Palmyra – Marblehead 161 kV line and the Marblehead – Herleman 138 kV line as double circuit lines. On the open position run a 345 kV line from Palmyra to Herleman creating a new Palmyra – Herleman 345 kV line.	Construct a 345 kV three (3) position ring bus at the existin Palmyra substation. The property is already owned so rinew property will need to be purchased.
Provide a component project cost breakdown into the identified categories along with a total component cost. Costs should be in current year dollars.	3.b.	Component cost (current year) Engineering and design Permitting / routing / siting ROW / land acquisition Materials and equipment Construction and commissioning Construction management Overheads and miscellaneous costs Contingency Total component cost	\$ 2,200,339	\$ 25,926,280	\$ 5,637,04
If this proposal is being submitted as Market Efficiency project, provide an inservice year component project total cost.	3.c.	Component cost (in-service year)	\$ 2,282,873	\$ 26,979,576	\$ 6,690,3

Page 7 of 14 Proposal 201819_1-322



Substation Upgrade Component

Instructions		Inputs-1
Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of	f the 5.a.	Component number 1
Identify the name of the existing substation where the upgrade will take place.	5.b.	Substation Herleman
	5.c.	Substation upgrade scope
Describe the scope of the upgrade work at the identified substation.		Upgrade Herleman substation ring bus to include 4th postion.
Describe any new substation equipment and provide the equipment ratings.	5.d.	New equipment description One (1) New 345kV Circuit Breaker Three (3) New 345kV Disconnect Switches Bus, Conductor, and Instrument Transformers
Describe the assumptions that were made about the substation that were used in developing the scope and cost for the upgrade. For example, the use of a bay that appears to be available, the proposed use of an open area within the substation or the relocation of existing equipment.	5.e.	Substation assumptions ATXI has confirmed that there is room in the substation to add the breaker position at Herleman. ATXI own the Herleman substaiton.
If the upgrade changes or expands upon the substation configuration provide a single line diagram and a station general arrangement drawing. These documents should be provided on the 'Redacted Information' tab under the appropriate project component.	5.f.	Substation drawings
If the substation fence needs to be expanded, indicate the real-estate plan for acquiring the needed land. Also, provide a Google Earth .KMZ file detailing the expansion.	5.g.	Real-estate plan The fence line will not need to be expanded
Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.	5.h.	Redacted information N/A

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 8 of 14



Reconductor/Rebuild Transmission Line Component

Instructions		Inputs - 1
Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.	4.a.	Component number 2
Identify the line terminal points. Add additional spaces if required.	4.b.	Terminal points Palmyra 345 kV Substation Palmyra 161 kV Substation Marblehead 136/138 kV substation Herleman 345 kV substation
Provide the size and type conductor that will be removed.	4.c.	Existing Line Physical Characteristics Existing conductor size and type 954 kcmil 45/7 Rail ACSR
Indicate whether the existing line hardware will be reused. If so, provide the age and condition of the hardware.	4.d.	Existing hardware plan All new hardware
Provide the condition and age of the existing structures. Describe the findings of any recent inspections or of analysis that has indicated a need for structural repair or reinforcement to re-conductor the line.	4.e.	Existing tower line characteristics With the exception of the structures that span the Mississippi River all other structure will be replaced the scope of this project. The Mississippi River crossing is constructed on steal lattice towers and is in condiction
Describe the terrain that the existing line traverses. Additionally, provide a Google Earth .KMZ file with the existing line path as an included document with the project proposal package.	4.f.	Terrain description Generally flat farmfield with little elevation change with a 4650 ft river crossing. The river corssing is a constructed for a double circuit 345 kV line. It will not require any additional work.
		Reconductor/Rebuild Component Plan
Provide the target ratings for the line.	4.g.	Component target ratings 345kV line: 2600 A, 161kV line: 1600
Provide the type and size of the conductor to be installed.	4.h.	Proposed conductor size and type 345kV: Bundled (2 cond.) 795 kcm 26/7 Drake ACSS

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 9 of 14



Reconductor/Rebuild Transmission Line Component

nsmission Line Reconductor/Rebuild Component		Investor 4
Instructions		Inputs - 1
Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of the proposal template.	4.a.	Component number 2
If the shield wire is to be replaced, identify the type and size to be used.	4.i.	Proposed shield wire size and type 7#7 Alumoweld
Describe the amount of the line that is anticipated to be rebuilt versus reconductored. Provide any assumptions that were used in arriving at this determination. If specific line sections have been identified for rebuild, provide route maps for (or specify in a Google Earth .KMZ file) those segments and identify the areas.	4.j.	Rebuild portion The entire line will be rebuilt as a double circuit (one 345kV circuit and one 161kV circuit) line on steel monopole structures. Tangents and angles up to 20°line angles will be suspension structures utilizing V-string hardware assemblies. Above 20° line angles will be strain structures.
Describe the segments of the existing right-of-way that will need to be expanded or any newly required rights-of-way that will be required. If new or expanded right-of-way is required, provide route maps for (or specify in a Google Earth .KMZ file) those segments and identify the areas.	4.k.	Right of way No new right of way is required to construct this project.
Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.	4.1.	Redacted information

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 10 of 14



Substation Upgrade Component

Instructions			Inputs-1
Provide the corresponding component number from the "Project Components" tab of	f the 5.a.	Component number	3
Identify the name of the existing substation where the upgrade will take place.	5.b.	Substation	Palmyra 3 Position 4 Ultimate Ring Bus
	5.c.	Substation upgrade scope	
Describe the scope of the upgrade work at the identified substation.		Construct new 345kV ring bus at existing Palr	myra substation.
Describe any new substation equipment and provide the equipment ratings.	5.d.	New equipment description Control enclosure Three (3) new 345kV Circuit Breakers Six (6) new 345kV Disconnect Switches Misc. bus, conductor, instrument transformers	8
Describe the assumptions that were made about the substation that were used in developing the scope and cost for the upgrade. For example, the use of a bay that appears to be available, the proposed use of an open area within the substation or the relocation of existing equipment.	5.e.	Substation assumptions 1. Site work inside fence is construction ready 2. Substation fence does not require modifica 3. Ground grid assumed to be adequate at ex	tions or property expansion
If the upgrade changes or expands upon the substation configuration provide a single line diagram and a station general arrangement drawing. These documents should be provided on the 'Redacted Information' tab under the appropriate project component.	5.f.	Substation drawings	
If the substation fence needs to be expanded, indicate the real-estate plan for acquiring the needed land. Also, provide a Google Earth .KMZ file detailing the expansion.	5.g.	Real-estate plan The fence line will not need to be expanded	
Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and	5.h.	Redacted information	

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 11 of 14



9. Project Financial Information		
Instructions		Inputs
		Project Schedule
Provide the planned construction period, include the	9.a.	Capital spend start date (Mo-Yr) Jan-19
month and year of when capital spend will begin, when construction will begin and when construction will end.		Construction start date (Mo-Yr) Jan-22
The final construction month should be the month preceding the commercial operation month.		Commercial operation date (Mo-Yr) Jun-23
		Project Capital Expenditures
Provide, in present year dollars, capital expenditure	9.b.	Capital expenditure details Total 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024
estimates by year for the Proposing Entity, work to be		Engineering and design
completed by others (e.g. incumbent TO) and total project. Capital expenditure estimates should include all		Permitting / routing / siting
capital expenditure, including any ongoing expenditures,		ROW / land acquisition
for which the Proposing Entity plans to seek FERC		Materials and equipment Construction and commissioning
approval for recovery.		Construction management
		Overheads and miscellaneous costs
		Contingency
		Proposer total capex
		Work by others capex
		Total project capex \$ 32,155,875 \$ - \$ 219,680 \$ 1,128,923 \$ 21,168,814 \$ 9,638,458 \$ -
Even if AFUDC is not going to be employed, provide a	9.c.	Total 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024
yearly AFUDC cash flow.		AFUDC \$ 1,607,794 \$ 10,984 \$ 56,446 \$ 1,058,441 \$ 481,923
	9.d.	Assumptions for the capital expenditure estimate
		project cost estimate is based upon the following assumptions:
		Schedule float to account for typical amount of in climate weather for the region;
Dravida any appropriate for the conital expanditure		• A typical construction work schedule;
Provide any assumptions for the capital expenditure estimate (e.g. design assumptions, weather, manpower		 Design based upon and in accordance with transmission design standards; Vendor standard delivery times for material components;
needed and work schedule, number of hours per day,		Reasonable access to the construction area;
construction area access, etc.).		Blanket pricing for key material components that is in place with strategic suppliers;
		Contingency covering the degree of unknowns currently in place at this stage.
		 Reasonable availability for outages to make interconnections. Palmyra Ring Bus – Assumed ground grid is existing in the AECI yard
Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.	9.e.	Redacted information

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 12 of 14



Cost Containment Commitment

st Containment Commitment		
Instructions		Inputs
	10.a.	Cost containment commitment description
Provide a description of the cost containment mechanism being proposed.		NA
	10.b.	Project scope covered by the cost
Indicate what project scope is covered by the proposed cost containment commitment. Identify the components covered by number.	10.2.	NA
Provide, in present year dollars and year of occurrence dollars, the Proposing Entity's proposed	10.b.i.	Cost cap in present year dollars \$
binding cap on capital expenditures.		Cost cap in in-service year dollars \$
Provide any additional information related to the cap on capital expenditures, including but not limited to: if AFUDC is included in the cap, if all costs prior to commercial operation date are included in the cap, if the cap includes a variable or fixed inflation rate, etc.	10.b.ii.	Additional Information on cost cap:
	10.b.iii.	Cost containment capital expenditure exemptions
		Component covered by cost containment
		Engineering and design Choose Yes or No
		Permitting / routing / siting Choose Yes or No
Indicate which components of capital costs fall under the cost cap.		ROW / land acquisitionChoose Yes or NoMaterials and equipmentChoose Yes or No
maicate which components of capital costs fall under the cost cap.		Construction and commissioning Choose Yes or No
		Construction management Choose Yes or No
		Overheads and miscellaneous costs Choose Yes or No
		Taxes Choose Yes or No
		AFUDC Choose Yes or No
		Escalation Choose Yes or No

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 13 of 14



Cost Containment Commitment

Cost Containment Commitment		
Instructions		Inputs
Describe any other cost containment measures not detailed above.	10.c.	Describe any other Cost Containment Measures not covered above:
Provide language to be included in the Designated Entity Agreement that expresses the legally binding commitment of the developer to the construction cost cap.	10.d.	Cost Commitment Legal Language
Explain any plans the proposing entity has in place to address the situation where project actual costs exceed the proposed cost containment commitment.	10.e.	Actuals Exceed Commitment
Describe any files or information that has been redacted from this section and provide the basis for the redaction.	10.f.	Redacted information

Proposal 201819_1-322 Page 14 of 14