Regulation Market Issues: Brief Overview

RMISTF November 11, 2015

Howard Haas



Current Design

 Incorrectly defined marginal benefit factor function (MBF)

> Evidence that MBF between RegA and RegD is incorrectly defined.

- Incorrectly applying the MBF in the optimization/market clearing
 - MBF use not consistent with derivation.
 - Area under MBF curve not used to determine effective MW.
 - Assumed RegA/RegD proportions in MBF function not maintained in system solution.





Current Design

- MBF inconsistently used in pricing/optimization and settlement
 - MBF used to convert offers/price into common units.
 MBF used to convert regulation MW provided into common units.
 - **MBF not used to make payment in common units.**
- LOC not correctly determined
 - Uses lower of energy offer curve, not the operational curve.



Incorrectly applying the MBF in the optimization: Not using area under curve

- Current market design incorrectly accounting for the amount of RegD it is acquiring in the market solution.
- Undercounting the contribution of RegD to total effective regulation.
- Clearing engine acquiring too much RegD on an absolute and proportional basis.





Incorrectly applying the MBF in the optimization: RegA/RegD proportions not being maintained

- Clearing engine acquiring too much RegD on an absolute and proportional basis.
 - Operational Issues (even if MBF was correctly determined).
- Inefficient squeezing out of RegA.
- Lowers regulation price per MW of RegA while causing too much total Reg to clear.





MBF not consistently used in pricing/optimization and settlement

- Current market model assumes MBF in price and optimization but not settlement .
- Result in incorrect compensation of RegD in all hours.
- RegD always paid a little more than RegA
 - Results in artificial and inefficient signal to enter market as RegD resource.





Incorrect calculation of LOC.

- Where lower of price or cost <> operational offer
 - Internalized opportunity cost to provide regulation
 <> actual opportunity cost to provide regulation.
 - Reduced efficiency to market solution.
 - Artificial increase/decrease to regulation price when marginal.
 - Causes LOC undercollection/overcollection by resources depending on system conditions.





Benefit Factor (MBF/BF) Derivation/Definition/Issues



MBF varies with system conditions



Benefit Factor (MBF/BF) Implementation Issues: 1. Incorrect Calculation of Effective MW (assuming BF curve properly defined)



Current Design

- Issue 1: MBF of the last MW (of the last unit) of a price block is assigned to every MW of every unit of that price block for purposes of effective MW calculations.
 - Addressed (in part) in current proposal before the MRC.

Break block up into discrete unit MW.

- Issue 2: MBF of the last MW of a unit assigned to every MW of every unit of that unit for purposes of effective MW calculations.
 - Not addressed yet.



Incorrectly applying the MBF in the optimization: Not using area under curve

- Current market design incorrectly accounting for the amount of RegD it is acquiring in the market solution.
- Undercounting the contribution of RegD to total effective regulation.
- Clearing engine acquiring too much RegD on an absolute and proportional basis.





PJM current approach effective MW calculations



280 MW from 8 units offered at \$0 treated as 1 unit for BF assignment

©2015

PJM current approach: The smaller the unit size, the closer effective equals area under curve

C	RE].	3.00		Unit	D IM				
F	DF		2.50		Specific	Effective				
517.33 Effective			2.00	Cumulative	Effective	Calculation	MW			
			1.50	Effective	MW	(1 unit at	by		RegD	RegD%
			1.00	MW (PJM)	(PJM)	each point)	Unit	BF	MW	/700
			b 0.50	93.31	93.31	93.31	35	2.67	35	5%
			Σ 0.00	178.44	85.13	170.26	35	2.43	70	10%
400 500 600 700 BF) 200 300		0.00	255.39	76.94	230.83	35	2.20	105	15%
400 300 700	, 200 500	Ĩ	-0.50	324.15	68.76	275.04	35	1.96	140	20%
		-	-1.00	384.72	60.57	302.87	35	1.73	175	25%
•		-	-1.50	437.11	52.39	314.33	35	1.50	210	30%
			-2.00	481.31	44.20	309.43	35	1.26	245	35%
egD MW	Reg			517.33	36.02	288.15	35	1.03	280	40%
				545.17	27.83	250.50	35	0.80	315	45%

280 MW from 8 units (35 MW blocks) treated as 8 unit for BF assignment

©2015

Should be area under curve

							Area
				PJM	Unit		Under
				Effective	Specific		the
			MW	Calculation	Effective	Cumulative	Curve
RegD%	RegD		by	(1 unit at	MW	Effective	Effective
/700	MW	BF	Unit	each point)	(PJM)	MW (PJM)	MW
5%	35	2.67	35	93.31	93.31	93.31	97.41
10%	70	2.43	35	170.26	85.13	178.44	186.63
15%	105	2.20	35	230.83	76.94	255.39	267.67
20%	140	1.96	35	275.04	68.76	324.15	340.52
25%	175	1.73	35	302.87	60.57	384.72	405.18
30%	210	1.50	35	314.33	52.39	437.11	461.67
35%	245	1.26	35	309.43	44.20	481.31	509.96
40%	280	1.03	35	288.15	36.02	517.33	550.07
45%	315	0.80	35	250.50	27.83	545.17	582.00



Area under curve = 550.07 MW



15

Current Design

- As unit size shrinks (and more units added), calculation gets closer to approximating the area under the curve.
 - Getting closer to correctly calculating the contribution of RegD to total effective regulation.
- Current approach causes effective MW to vary with the size of units cleared, not the cumulative MW (of all unit) cleared.
- Properly defined, effective MW calculated as area under the MBF function.



Benefit Factor (MBF/BF) Implementation Issues: Optimization/Market Clearing Issues 2. Implementation inconsistent with MBF/BF Definition



Incorrectly applying the MBF in the optimization: RegA/RegD proportions not being maintained

- Clearing engine acquiring too much RegD on an absolute and proportional basis.
 - Operational Issues (even if MBF was correctly determined).
- Inefficient squeezing out of RegA.
- Lowers regulation price per MW of RegA while causing too much total Reg to clear.





18



Incorrectly applying the MBF in the optimization: RegA/RegD proportions not being maintained

- Current approach defines relationship based on percentage of RegD relative to fixed number, not **RegD/RegA combinations that are the basis of the MBF** derivation.
- Misinterprets axis (the relationship between RegD) and RegA)
- Incorrect interpretation of the axis provides combinations inconsistent with MBF.





KEMA: Assumed Relationship



©2015

PJM presentation 08-11-2015

PJM Current Approach

Effective Reg Requirement



Current approach to RegA/RegD combinations

	RegD%	RegD	E	Effective		RegD/(RegA	RegD% of
Assume % <> realized %	/700	MW	BF	MW	Residual A	+RegD)	Effective MW
	5%	35	2.67	97.41	602.59	5%	14%
Dan of the Factory	10%	70-	2.43	186.63	513.37	12%	27%
	15%	105	2.20	267.67	432.33	20%	38%
2.9	20%	140	1.96	-340.52-	359.48	28%	49%
	25%	175	1.73	405.18	294.82	37%	58%
2.0	30%	210	1.50	461.67	238.33	47%	66%
	35%	245	1.26	509.96	190.04	56%	73%
1.0	40%	280	1.03	550.07	149.93	65%	79%
	45%	315	0.80	582.00	118.00	73%	83%
	50%	350	0.56	605.74	94.26	79%	87%
0.0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 RegD Percentage of the RTO Requirement	55%	385	0.33	621.30	78.70	83%	89%
-10	60%	420	0.09	628.67	71.33	85%	90%
-1.0	65%	455	-0.14	627.85	72.15	86%	90%
	70%	490	-0.37	618.85	81.15	86%	88%
	75%	525	-0.61	601.66	98.34	84%	86%
Too much ReaD%	80%	560	-0.84	576.29	123.71	82%	82%
	85%	595	-1.08	542.74	157.26	79%	78%
	90%	630	-1.31	501.00	199.00	76%	72%

22

PJM current approach to RegA/RegD combinations



Realized proportion of RegD and RegA not consistent. 56% of Reg, 73% of effective.

23

©2015





Realized proportion <> assumed RegD proportion

Ideally engine should produce relevant combinations

- If defined relationship based on RegD/RegA combinations that meet operational requirements.
- Then market engine should provide RegD/RegA combinations consistent with operational requirements.
- Correct interpretation of MBF axis will allow consistent combinations
 - Axis in terms of RegD MW cleared, not on some percentage of RegD MW cleared.





Average of all (12) KEMA Maps

CPS1					Re	g Requireme	ent %					
RegD%	0.50%	0.55%	0.60%	0.65%	0.70%	0.75%	0.80%	0.85%	0.90%	0.95%	1.00%	RegD%
50%	120%	125%	129%	132%	135%	138%	140%	142%	144%	146%	147%	50%
45%	122%	127%	131%	134%	137%	140%	142%	144%	146%	148%	149%	45%
40%	124%	129%	132%	136%	139%	142%	144%	146%	148%	149%	151%	40%
35%	126%	130%	134%	137%	140%	143%	145%	147%	149%	151%	152%	35%
30%	127%	131%	135%	138.6%	142%	144%	146%	148%	150%	152%	153%	30%
25%	128%	132%	136%	139%	142%	145%	147%	149%	151%	152%	153%	25%
20%	128%	133%	136%	140%	142%	145%	147%	149%	151%	152%	153%	20%
15%	128%	132%	136%	139.3%	142%	144%	146%	148%	150%	151%	152%	15%
10%	128%	132%	135%	138%	141%	143%	145%	147%	148%	149%	150%	10%
5%	127%	131%	134%	136%	139%	141%	142%	144%	145%	146%	147%	5%
0%	125%	129%	131%	134%	135%	137%	138%	139%	140%	141%	142%	0%
	0.50%	0.55%	0.60%	0.65%	0.70%	0.75%	0.80%	0.85%	0.90%	0.95%	1.00%	
					Reg	Requirem	ent %					



percent of regulation MW

ത

Reg D as a

Average of all (12) KEMA Maps

						Tota	l Regulatior	n MW						
	RegD MW	500.00	550.00	600.00	650.00	700.00	750.00	800.00	850.00	900.00	950.00	1,000.00	RegD MW	
3	50%	250	275	300	325	350	375	400	425	450	475	500	50%	3
Σ	45%	225	248	270	293	315	338	360	383	405	428	450	45%	Σ
tior	40%	200	220	240	260	280	300	320	340	360	380	400	40%	tior
gula	35%	175	193	210	228	245	263	280	298	315	333	350	35%	gula
i reg	30%	150	165	180	195	210	225	240	255	270	285	300	30%	f reg
it of	25%	125	138	150	163	175	188	200	213	225	238	250	25%	it of
cer	20%	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	20%	cer
bei	15%	75	83	90	98	105	113	120	128	135	143	150	15%	bei
as a	10%	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	10%	as a
	5%	25	28	30	33	35	38	40	43	45	48	50	5%	
Re	0%	-	-	-	-	-	7_		-	-	-	-	0%	Re
		500.00	550.00	600.00	650.00	700.00	750.00	800.00	850.00	900.00	950.00	1,000.00		
		Total Regiulation MW												
									Re	aD I	MW			

Total Reg MW

27

KEMA based combinations: Smooth the curve



Derivative of this function is MRTS = MBF Function

Change in RegA for Change in RegD



KEMA based combinations: MBF



Derivative of curve defining combinations of RegA/RegD

KEMA based combinations: MBF



- Effective MW from RegD = Area Under MBF Curve
 - Works so long as MBF function defined in terms of discrete MW, not percentage.



609.5 A, 105 D KEMA based combinations



KEMA based combinations: MBF

Area under curve calculation-

Results match curve

3 MBF of smoothed curve 2.5 2 Linear (MBF of smoothed curve) 1.5 MBF 1 0.5 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 -0.5 y = -0.0066x + 2.4388 -1 **RegD MW**

MBF of smoothed curve

	Smoothed		\rightarrow	
	Kema	MBF of	Effective	Total
RegD	Combinations	smoothed	MW from	effective
MW	RegA	curve	RegD	MW
0	829.19	2.44	0.00	829.19
37.5	742.38	2.19	86.81	829.19
70	674.64	1.98	154.55	829.19
105	609.50	1.75	219.69	829.19
130	567.92	1.58	261.27	829.19
175	503.46	1.28	325.73	829.19
210	462.57	1.05	366.62	829.19
280	405.05	0.59	424.14	829.19
400	381.67	-0.20	447.52	829.19
495	430.57	-0.83	398.62	829.19



Benefit Factor (MBF/BF): Consistent Application



Marginal Benefit Factor is not uniformly applied in price and settlement

- The Marginal Benefit Factor (MBF/BF) is not uniformly applied so that the valuation used in optimization process is consistent with the valuation used in settlement.
- MBF/BF used in price/offer conversion but not used in settlement.
- MBF/BF used to convert all offers to effective MW of RegA MW and \$/effective MW of RegA.







Inconsistent use of MBF: Effect of Current Design

- Incorrectly compensating RegD in all hours
 - Sometimes too little (when MBF is >1)
 - Sometimes too much (when MBF is <1)</p>
- Mileage multiplier distorts signal in all hours
 - RegD payment per MW slightly higher than RegA payments per MW
 - Incentives to self schedule/price at zero
 - Inefficient squeezing out of RegA
 - Lowers regulation price per MW of RegA
 - Long term investment signals incorrect for RegA and RegD



35

Ideal Design: Consistent Application of MBF

- Clearing price in terms of \$/effective MW RegA
- Objective is to pay each resource for \$/effective MW provided
- Price realized should be the same for each effective MW provided



Components of Offers

- Offers are composed of
 - Capability (\$/MW)
 - PJM estimated LOC (\$/MW)
 - performance (\$/mile that is converted into \$/MW)
 . \$/Mile x historic mile/MW = \$/MW
 - Sum is \$/MW reg offer.
 - Reg offer (\$/MW) =capability (\$/MW)+LOC (\$/MW) + performance (\$/MW)



37

Example Offers

- Sum is \$/MW reg offer.
 - Reg offer (\$/MW) =capability (\$/MW)+LOC (\$/MW) + performance (\$/MW)
- Example offers:
- RegA offer:
 - \$8/MW capability + (\$1/mile) x 2mile/MW
 - = \$8/MW + \$2/MW = \$10/MW
- RegD offer:
 - \$6/MW capability +\$1/mile x 4mile/MW
 - =\$6/MW + \$4/MW = \$10/MW



Example Offers: Conversion to Effective MW

- Offers are converted into \$/Effective MW
- $FertiveMW = \frac{Offer}{Performance\%xBenefitFactor}$
- \$10 offer, 50% performance, 1 BF
 - 1 MW offered providing 0.5 MW effective
 - \$10/MW offer = \$10/(50%x1)= \$20/MW effective
- \$10 offer, 100% performance, .5 BF
 - 1 MW offered providing 0.5 effective
 - \$10/MW offer = \$10/(100% x 0.5) = \$20/MW effective





Conversion to offers to \$/Effective MW

- Prices in stack are provided in \$/Effective MW
- Market Prices are set on the basis of \$/Effective MW (marginal offer)
- \$/E ffectiveMW =

Offer

Performance%xBenefitFactor



Two Basic Components of Price

- Marginal offer price is divided into two component pieces:
- Performance in \$/effective MW
 - Set by most expensive effective MW based performance offer, whether part of the marginal offer or not
- Capability in \$/effective MW
 - Capability price is determined as a residual (difference between total price and max performance price cleared stack)





Settlement: Effect of Current Design

- Clearing price in terms of \$/Effective MW RegA
- **Reg A Resource paid**
 - \$/Effective MW RegA for Capability
 - \$/Effective MW RegA for Performance
- RegD Resources paid
 - **RegA price for Capability x RegD MW**
 - RegA price for Performance x RegD MW x Mile Ratio

Depending on mileage rate, slight increase in payment to RegD, relative to RegA per MW.

Note: Performance piece relative small portion of total price.



Ideal Design

- Clearing price in terms of \$/Effective MW RegA
- Reg A Resource paid
 - \$/Effective MW RegA for Capability
 - \$/Effective MW RegA for Performance
- RegD Resources paid
 - RegA price for Capability x RegD MW x MBF
 - $_{\circ}$ Results in RegD paid in terms of \$/Effective MW $_{\swarrow}$
 - RegA price for Performance x RegD MW x MBF
 Results in RegD paid in terms of \$/Effective MW



MBF

MBF

ratio

replaced

mileage

MBF vs Mileage Ratio





44

	Miles/MW
RegA	5
RegD	10
Mileage Ratio	2

	\$ Capability/	\$ Performance	\$	Total Offer (Raw				Modified Total Offer	Modified Performance Offer	Effective	Regulation
Offer	MW	/MW	LOC/MW	\$/MW)	MW	RegA/RegD	BF	(Offer/BF)	(offer/BF)	MW	Requirement
Unit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	10	RegD	2.8	\$0.00	\$0.00	29	300
Unit 2	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$0.00	\$8.00	10	RegD	2.6	\$3.08	\$1.54	28	300
Unit 3	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$40.00	10	RegD	2.5	\$16.00	\$8.00	27.5	300
Unit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$25.00	300	RegA	1	\$25.00	\$5.00	300	300
									T otal MW	384.5	300



Offers

				Total
	\$	\$		Offer
	Capability/	Performance	\$	(Raw
Offer	MW	/MW	LOC/MW	\$/MW)
Unit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Unit 2	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$0.00	\$8.00
Unit 3	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$40.00
Unit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$25.00







46

	\$	\$	
	Capability/	Performance	\$
Offer	MW	/MW	LOC/MW
Unit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Unit 2	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$0.00
Unit 3	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$0.00
Unit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00
	(\$	(\$	(\$
	(\$ Capability/	(\$ Performance	(\$ LOC/MW)
Offer	(\$ Capability/ MW)/BF	(\$ Performance /MW)/BF	(\$ LOC/MW) /BF
Offer Unit 1	(\$ Capability/ MW)/BF \$0.00	(\$ Performance /MW)/BF \$0.00	(\$ LOC/MW) /BF \$0.00
Offer Unit 1 Unit 2	(\$ Capability/ MW)/BF \$0.00 \$1.54	(\$ Performance /MW)/BF \$0.00 \$1.54	(\$ LOC/MW) /BF \$0.00 \$0.00
Offer Unit 1 Unit 2 Unit 3	(\$ Capability/ MW)/BF \$0.00 \$1.54 \$8.00	(\$ Performance /MW)/BF \$0.00 \$1.54 \$8.00	(\$ LOC/MW) /BF \$0.00 \$0.00

BF Adjusted offers





47

No BF adjustment

BF Adjusted







Settlement



Current Settlement: Mileage Ratio

	\$	\$											
	Capability/	Performance	\$	Total		Total Cost of							
Offer	MW	/MW	LOC/MW	Offer/MW	MW cleared	Offer							
Unit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	10.0	\$0.00							
Unit 2	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$0.00	\$8.00	10.0	\$80.00							
Unit 3	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$40.00	10.0	\$400.00							
Unit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$25.00	215.5	\$5,387.50							
	(\$	(\$	(\$	Clearing	Performance			Capability					
	(\$ ∣ Capability	(\$ Performance	(\$ LOC/MW)	Clearing Price	Performance Clearing Price	Capability	Mileage	Capability Payment/	Performance		Total	Total	Тс
Offer	(\$ Capability/ MW)/BF	(\$ Performance /MW)/BF	(\$ LOC/MW) /BF	Clearing Price \$/MW	Performance Clearing Price \$/MW	Capability Price \$/MW	Mileage Ratio	Capability Payment/ MW	Performance Payment/MW	Pay	Total ment/MW	Total Payment	To Pre
Offer Unit 1	(\$ Capability/ MW)/BF \$0.00	(\$ Performance /MW)/BF \$0.00	(\$ LOC/MW) /BF \$0.00	Clearing Price \$/MW \$25.00	Performance Clearing Price \$/MW \$8.00	Capability Price \$/MW \$17.00	Mileage Ratio 2.00	Capability Payment/ MW \$17.00	Performance Payment/MW \$16.00	Pay	Total ment/MW \$33.00	Total Payment \$330.00	T(Pr \$33(
Offer Unit 1 Unit 2	(\$ Capability/ MW)/BF \$0.00 \$1.54	(\$ Performance /MW)/BF \$0.00 \$1.54	(\$ LOC/MW) /BF \$0.00	Clearing Price \$/MW \$25.00 \$25.00	Performance Clearing Price \$/MW \$8.00 \$8.00	Capability Price \$/MW \$17.00 \$17.00	Mileage Ratio 2.00 2.00	Capability Payment/ MW \$17.00	Performance Payment/MW \$16.00 \$16.00	Pay	Total /ment/MW \$33.00 \$33.00	Total Payment \$330.00 \$330.00	To Pr \$330 \$250
Offer Unit 1 Unit 2 Unit 3	(\$ Capability/ MW)/BF \$0.00 \$1.54 \$8.00	(\$ Performance /MW)/BF \$0.00 \$1.54 \$8.00	(\$ LOC/MW) /BF \$0.00 \$0.00	Clearing Price \$/MW \$25.00 \$25.00 \$25.00	Performance Clearing Price \$/MW \$8.00 \$8.00	Capability Price \$/MW \$17.00 \$17.00	Mileage Ratio 2.00 2.00 2.00	Capability Payment/ MW \$17.00 \$17.00	Performance Payment/MW \$16.00 \$16.00 \$16.00	Pay	Total ment/MW \$33.00 \$33.00 \$33.00	Total Payment \$330.00 \$330.00 \$330.00	To Pro \$330 \$250 - \$70

- Higher payment for RegD per MW
- But payment inconsistent on effective MW basis.



Current Settlement: Mileage Ratio

												Effective
												Payment
											Total	per
	(\$	(\$	(\$	Clearing	Performance		Total				Effective	Effective
	Capability/	Performance	LOC/MW)	Price	Clearing Price	Capability	Payment/	Total		MW	MW (at	MW of
Offer	MW)/BF	/MW)/BF	/BF	\$/MW	\$/MW	Price \$/MW	MW	Payment	MBF	Cleared	margin)	RegA
Jnit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	\$33.00	\$330.00	2.50	10.00	25.00	\$13.20
Jnit 2	\$1.54	\$1.54	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	\$33.00	\$330.00	2.50	10.00	25.00	\$13.20
Jnit 3	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	\$33.00	\$330.00	2.50	10.00	25.00	\$13.20
Jnit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	\$25.00	\$5,387.50	1.00	215.50	215.50	\$25.00

- \$/effective MW not equal across resource types
- Caused by failure to use BF/MBF consistently in market.
- Price provided in terms of \$/Effective MW, needs to be settled in same terms.



Ideal Design

- Clearing price in terms of \$/Effective MW RegA
- Objective is to pay each resource for \$/effective MW provided
- Price realized should be the same for each effective MW provided
- Clearing price was \$25 per effective MW
- RegA resources should realize \$25 per effective MW
- RegD resources should realize \$25 per effective MW



Ideal Design

- Clearing price in terms of \$/Effective MW RegA
- Reg A Resource paid
 - \$/Effective MW RegA for Capability
 - \$/Effective MW RegA for Performance
- RegD Resources paid
 - RegA price for Capability x RegD MW x MBF
 - Results in RegD paid in terms of \$/Effective MW
 - RegA price for Performance x RegD MW x MBF
 Results in RegD paid in terms of \$/Effective MW



Settlement

Current approach

	Ψ	Ψ								$\langle \rangle$		
	Capability/	Performance	\$	Total		Total Cost of		\backslash				
Offer	MW	/MW	LOC/MW	Offer/MW	MW cleared	Offer					\backslash	
Unit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	10.0	\$0.00						
Unit 2	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$0.00	\$8.00	10.0	\$80.00			<u> </u>			
Unit 3	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$40.00	10.0	\$400.00			Ideal		\backslash	
Unit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$25.00	215.5	\$5,387.50			\wedge		\	\backslash
	(\$	(\$	(\$	Clearing	Performance		🖉 Capability					Ż
	Capability/	Performance	LOC/MW)	Price	Clearing Price	Capability	Mileage	Payment/	Performance	Total	Total	Total
Offer	MW)/BF	/MW)/BF	/BF	\$/MW	\$/MW	Price \$/MW	Ratio	MW	Payment/MW	Payment/MW	Payment	Profit
Unit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	2.00	\$17,00	\$16.00	\$33.00	\$330.00	\$330.00
Unit 2	\$1.54	\$1.54	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	2.00	\$17.00	\$16.00	\$33.00	\$330.00	\$250.00
Unit 3	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	2.00	\$17.00	\$16.00	\$33.00	\$330.00	-\$70.00
Unit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	1.00	\$17.00	\$8.00	\$25.00	\$5,387.50	\$0.00
	(\$	(\$	(\$	Clearing	Performance			Capability				
	Capability/	Performance	LOC/MW)	Price	Clearing Price	Capability	F	Payment/	Performance	Total	Total	Total
Offer	MW)/BF	/MW)/BF	/BF	\$/MW	\$/MW	Price \$/MW	MBF	MW	Payment/MW	Payment/MW	Payment	Profit
Unit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	2.50	\$42.50	\$20.00	\$62.50	\$625.00	\$625.00
Unit 2	\$1.54	\$1.54	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	2.50	\$42.50	\$20.00	\$62.50	\$625.00	\$545.00
Unit 3	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	2.50	\$42.50	\$20.00	\$62.50	\$625.00	\$225.00
Unit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$25.00	\$8.00	\$17.00	1.00	\$17.00	\$8.00	\$25.00	\$5,387.50	\$0.00



©2015

ተ

55

Current vs Proposed

											\$/Effective
							Effective	Total	\$/Effective MW		MW Using
	(\$	(\$	(\$	Clearing			MW	Payment	Using Current	Total Payment	Consistent
	Capability/ Performance LOC/MW)		Price			provided	Current	Mileage Ratio	MBF Adjusted	Application	
Offer	MW)/BF	/MW)/BF	/BF	\$/MW	MW Provided	MBF	at Margin	Method	Method	Method	of MBF
Unit 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	10.00	2.50	25.00	\$330.00	\$13.20	\$625.00	\$25.00
Unit 2	\$1.54	\$1.54	\$0.00	\$25.00	10.00	2.50	25.00	\$330.00	\$13.20	\$625.00	\$25.00
Unit 3	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	10.00	2.50	25.00	\$330.00	\$13.20	\$625.00	\$25.00
Unit 4	\$10.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$25.00	215.50	1.00	215.50	\$5,387.50	\$25.00	\$5,387.50	\$25.00

Current approach (payment varies on \$/Effective MW basis)

Proposed Approach (same \$/Effective)







LOC: Optimization/Market Clearing Issues



- LOC is intended to reflect:
 - The lost opportunity associated with foregone energy sales incurred when providing regulation service
 - Costs associated with operating uneconomically to provide regulation (regulation set point above economic point for energy)
 - Real costs from not following economic dispatch signal





- LOC is intended to make participant indifferent to providing regulation (outside of regulation related costs/offer)
- In optimization, intended to reflect incremental cost to using resource to provide regulation rather than energy.
- To align incremental cost to provide regulation and incremental cost in terms of energy, need to base off the operational offer in use.



- Regulation market does not use the operational energy offer.
- Uses the lower of cost or price.



Where lower of price or cost <> operational offer

- Internalized opportunity cost to provide regulation
 <> actual opportunity cost to provide regulation.
- Reduced efficiency to market solution.
- Artificial increase/decrease to regulation price when marginal incorrect LOC used.
- Causes LOC under collection/over collection by resources depending on system conditions.





Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue Suite 160 Eagleville, PA 19403 610) 271-8050 MA@monitoringanalytics.com www.MonitoringAnalytics.com



