SIEMENS

Phase Shifter Application Workshop

Siemens Energy, Inc.

PJM Power Pool, March, 2015

Restricted © Siemens Energy 2013 All rights reserved.

siemens.com/energy



Phase Shifting Transformers – Principles, Design Aspects and Operation

James McIver

SIEMENS Energy, Inc. SIEMENS Transformers Austria, Weiz



Power flow in power systems may need control, due to

- technical reasons (e.g. line overloading)
- economical reasons (e.g. committed power transfer at network node)

The need for power flow control is becoming more common, due to deregulation effects

This control can be achieved with a Phase Shifting Transformer (PST)



A Phase Angle Regulator >

Controls power flow through specific lines Creates a driving force onto power transmission networks

Basic function of a PST

- In principle, a phase shifting transformer creates a phase shift between primary (source) & secondary (load) side
- Usually, this phase shift can be varied under load
- Sometimes, it can be made advance and retard



How does phase shift influence power flow?

The "natural" current distribution is dependent on the impedance of the lines





The "natural" distribution may be rather inefficient, if X_1 and X_2 are extremely different. For example if $X_1 = 2^*X_2$:





Equalization of currents:

An additional voltage source must be introduced



This additional voltage source, perpendicular to the phase voltage, generates a "circulating" current, increasing i_1 and decreasing i_2 :





Another control need -





Phase Shifting Transformer between 2 system nodes





Phase Shifting Transformer between two system nodes





Phase shifting transformers can be classified for different parameters:

- symmetrical non symmetrical
- quadrature non quadrature
- single core two core
- single tank two tank



Non-symmetrical single core solution:

- Delta-connected exciting winding,
- One tap winding
- One LTC
- One reversing change-over switch

Reversing switch operation is critical

Advantageous for small phase angle and rating

Restricted © Siemens Energy 2013 All rights reserved.

Winding Connection with Reversing Switch:







Symmetrical single core solution:

- Delta-connected exciting winding
- Two tap windings
- Two tap changers
- Two advance retard switches

Rating strongly limited by LTC

Load tap changers exposed to system disturbances

Restricted © Siemens Energy 2013 All rights reserved.

Winding Connection with two ARS Switches:







Alternative symmetrical option:

- Hexagonal connection of exciting winding and tap winding
- One LTC
- Two ARS'

Delta- hexagonal design

Often used for lower voltage level

Winding Scheme with Advance-Retard Switch:







Classic solution:

- Symmetrical two core design
- Series unit and exciting unit
- One LTC

Winding Arrangement and Connections:



Phase Shifting Transformer (PAR)

Widely used in USA

V_S

Operational considerations

Phase shifting transformers in Operation:

V_{LO}

V,

α

ΔΩ

Variation of load voltage due to load current, ohmic components neglected jX_{PST}*i_L V_{PST}









For a given phase shift under load, design optimization is necessary:

- Impedance as low as possible, minimum value determined by short circuit requirements
- With lower impedance, no load phase angle can be reduced
- Lower no load phase angle means lower design rating, lower weight, lower cost.

SIEMENS

Purpose und function of PSTs

Power needed to reach a certain displacement in phase angle

Palpha = 2 x Pthr x sin alpha/2

Is proportional to the throughput power and almost proportional to the phase angle

$$\frac{P}{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}$$

Palpha	rating of the series winding resp. phase shifting power (MVA)
Pthr	throughput power (MVA)
alpha	no-load phase angle (degree)

Tap changer limitations @Max MVA and regulating angle

Tap changer application

 PST's can be designed with fixed or variable phase angle.
 For a variable phase angle design, a load tap changer (LTC) and a regulating winding is required.

SIEMENS

- In general, the regulating winding and therefore the LTC must be designed for the maximum design rating of the PST
- The maximum regulating capacity (switching capacity per step times the number of steps) is limited by the capacity of available tap changers.



Tap changer application

Maximum throughput rating P_{max} versus maximum regulating capacity R



SIEMENS

Tap changer application

Throughput power versus no-load phase angle step capacity 5000 - 6000 kVA, +/32 steps



SIEMENS

Tap changer @Max MVA and regulating angle



Tap changer Application- depends on MVA & phase angle being switched



Inspection intervals also depend on size of OLTC model.

SIEMENS

For moderate step capacities (up to 3000kVA per phase), maintenance intervals can be up to 300,000 operations. For larger tap changer duty, inside reactors used to enforce equal current splitting & achieve up to 6000kVA per phase.

Tap changer limitations @Max MVA and regulating angle



Tap changer maintenance intervals-

On-load tap-changer	Transformer rated current	Number of tap-cha without MR oil filter	ange operations with oil filter
R III 1200	up to 600 A up to 1200 A	80 000 60 000	100 000 100 000
R I 1201	up to 600 A up to 1200 A	80 000 60 000	100 000 100 000
R 2002	up to 2000 Å	40 000	80 000
R12402	up to 2400 A	40 000	80 000
R I 3000	up to 3000 A	40 000	80 000
R 1 3600	up to 3600A	40 000	80 000

Table II Inspection intervals for

- OLTC type R in star-point connection (V)

Tap changer operations @Max MVA and regulating angle

If switching @maximum (rated) current, contacts will be replaced at approx 300K operations.

Maintenance Intervals:

After every 50,000 operations, the diverter switch contacts have to be exchanged between phases.

SIFMENS

Inspection: Every 6 years or 50,000 operations whatever comes first. First inspection: After 2 years or 20,000 operations.

Special considerations for reverse load flow

The effective phase angle is increased, as is the voltage across the PST. This can cause over-excitation in the core of the PST!





Voltage across PST







PST Single phase scheme and phasor diagram





Series transformer of a PST Flux distribution at maximum angle, $\cos \phi \sim 1$ Φ_2 Φ,

Design consideration – Stray Flux in Series Winding

Ampere - turn balance in the series unit

Separation of ampere - turns into two components:



Design consideration – Transverse Current

Influence of the current phase shift on the magnetic stray flux

- The transversal current component creates additional stray flux
- Dependent on the arrangement of windings, this stray flux can
 - create additional eddy current losses in windings and steel structure
 - generate specific axial forces under short circuit condition
- Both effects have to be taken into account carefully



Testing phase shifting transformers :

Specific requirements:

Heat run

- PST fully assembled
- minimized deviation of loss distribution during short circuit condition
- access to all windings for resistance measurement

Induced voltage test

- PST fully assembled
- tests at zero and maximum phase shift



Testing

Heat run test: Series Transformer Short circuit connection Auxiliary. **Bushings Exciting Trahsformer**

Temporary bushings inserted at all connections between series and exciting unit

For resistance measurement, all these connections can be opened



Design consideration - Heat run test settings

Note difference in loss distribution for nominal operation vs. condition for heat run test

No Load Losses (kW)	$\alpha = 0$	α = maximum
Series Unit	0	100
Exciting Unit	100	70
Load Losses (kW)	$\alpha = 0$	α = maximum
Series Unit	400	400
Exciting Unit	0	400
Total Losses (kW)	$\alpha = 0$	α = maximum
Series Unit	400	500
Exciting Unit	100	470



Testing

Induced voltage test: Series Transformer **S1** L1 **S2** L2 **S**3 L3 Exciting Transformer **Auxiliary bushings**

Temporary bushings are connected to the regulating winding

Application of an additional step-up transformer is avoided by proper tap selection

Bypass breaker considerations

- Due to the PST's impedance, inserting the PST with phase angle zero normally reduces the load flow
- A minimum advance phase angle is necessary to restore the original load flow condition
- Therefore, by-passing the PST might be advantageous in certain conditions
- On the other hand, lightning strikes can also appear with the PST by-passed
- Internal stresses have to be investigated carefully for this condition



Testing

Lightning impulse test:



Only the primary windings of one phase are shown

Recommended test if by-pass breaker is provided - at least for tap position zero (0°)



Lightning impulse stresses in the series winding



Applied voltage and typical wave shape of voltage at crossover during lightning impulse test with source and load side terminals connected

Phase shifting transformer protection

In general, PST protection is similar to power transformers. There is one exception > differential relaying

In PST, difference between source & load current @ normal operating condition becomes too large for conventional differential protection.

Therefore, specific differential schemes for PST's are required, different for single core and dual core designs.

CT's required for protection often located inside tank of the PST.

NOTE > protection scheme must be finalized @ design stage.

Differential protection scheme for dual core design (example)





Phase Shifting Transformer

- 700MVA, 230kV, 60Hz
- ±32° no load (± 24Taps); 22.2° ..-41.8° load
- uk: 11.1% Tap 0; 17.4% Tap 24
- Noise Level < 74 dB(A) with fans</p>

Classical design PST

Two-tank design Two-core design





Phase Shifting Transformer

- 800MVA, 230kV, 60Hz
- ±35° no load (± 32Taps); load 25.3° ..-44.9°
- uk: 11.4% Tap 0 ; 17.6% Tap 32
- Noise Level < 77dB(A)</p>

Classical design PST

Two-tank design Two-core design





650 MVA, 525 kV, ±24 deg, only 500kV PSTs in world, (2) for SRP, Arizona and (2) for NPC, Nevada







Phase Shifting Transformer

- 300 MVA, 138 kV, 60 Hz
- = $\pm 25.0^{\circ}$ at no load (\pm 16 taps);
- 14.4° at rated load extreme advance
- -5.4° at rated load mid tap
- -35.6° at rated load extreme retard
- uk: 9.5% Tap 0; 18.6% Tap 16
- Noise level < 70 dB(A)</p>



Classical design PST

Single-tank design Two-core design



Phase Shifting Transformer

- 575 MVA, 345 kV, 60 Hz
- = $\pm 37,8^{\circ}$ no load (± 16 taps);
- 27.6° at rated load extreme advance
- -4.9° at rated load mid tap
- -48.0° at rated load extreme retard
- uk: 8.5% Tap 0 (NR); 17.94% Tap 32 (16R)
- Noise level < 68 dB(A) @ 345 kV</p>



Classical design PST

Two-tank design Two-core design



Phase Shifting Transformer

- 234 MVA, 138 kV, 60 Hz
- = $\pm 25^{\circ}$ no load (± 16 taps)
- 14.4° at rated load extreme advance
- -5.4° at rated load mid tap (0)
- -35.6° at rated load extreme retard
- uk: 7.62% Tap 0; 18.25% Tap 16
- Noise limit Octave Band

Limits M1-R New York 125 250 500 1000 2000 [Hz] <74 <66 <59 <53 <47 [dB]

Classical design PST

Single-tank design Two-core design





Phase Shifting Transformer

- 150MVA, 138kV, 60Hz
- = $\pm 32.9^{\circ}$ no load (± 16 taps)
- 30.1° at rated load tap 1
- 0.0° at rated load tap 17
- uk: 5% tap 1; 0% tap 17



Delta hexagonal PST

Single-tank design Single-core design



Phase Shifting Transformer

- 1200MVA, 400kV, 50Hz
- = $\pm 24^{\circ}$ no load (± 16 taps);
- 16.6° at rated load extreme advance
- -5.3° at rated load mid tap (0)
- -31.4° at rated load extreme retard
- uk: 9.25% Tap 0; 13.0% Tap 32
- Noise power level < 80 dB(A) sound house</p>



Classical design PST

Two-tank design Two-core design



Conclusion

Phase shifting transformers >

•Look like normal power transformers

•Manufactured using the same technology

However, several special aspects only in PST's

PST issues appear in both design and testing.

Therefore special expertise required.

- The classical two-tank two-core solution: Offers greatest operational security @higher voltage This because LTC not directly exposed to system disturbances.
- The single-core solution offers economic advantages at lower system voltage levels (and lower MVA).

- The classical two-tank two-core solution: Offers greatest operational security @higher voltage This because LTC not directly exposed to system disturbances.
- The single-core solution offers economic advantages at lower system voltage levels (and lower MVA).



Thank you!

Questions?

james.mciver@siemens.com