

### **FTRSTF Polling Questions**

1. The current formula for funding FTRs includes day-ahead, balancing congestion and M2M payments. As a concept, do you believe that FTRs should be defined as a hedge against day-ahead congestion or as a method for allocating total congestion (day-ahead + balancing congestion + M2M payments), or other?
2. Two main methods have been proposed to address FTR underfunding. First, eliminate balancing congestion and M2M payments from the FTR funding calculation; second, utilize all or part of the IMM 8-part method. Proponents believe that the implementation of either approach would lead to high levels of FTR revenue adequacy.
  - a. Do you believe the FTRSTF should pursue eliminating balancing congestion and M2M payments from the FTR funding calculation?
  - b. Do you believe the FTRSTF should pursue all or part of the IMM 8-part method?
  - c. Do you believe the FTRSTF should pursue eliminating balancing congestion and M2M payments and consider all or part of the IMM 8-part method?
3. If balancing congestion and M2M payments are removed from the FTR funding calculation, who do you believe should pay for balancing congestion and M2M payments (or receives surplus)?
4. What other issues do you believe need to be addressed in regards to the FTR product?