



# EQSTF Draft Tariff Language Guide

David Egan  
EQSTF  
2/26/2016 Meeting

- Two documents:
  - Tariff redline
  - Clean redline in table format with matrix references
- Expanded each generator size and merchant transmission section
  - Made each independent
  - Each parallels in language
  - Allows for individual FERC treatment by section
  - Avoids cumbersome and confusing cross-references

- Part I: definitional clean-up missed in Order 792 filing
- **Part IV:** **Large generation and Merchant Transmission**
- Part IV, Subpart G: Small Generation
- **Part VI:** **System Impact Study deposit structure**
- Attachment N: Generation Feasibility Study Agreement
- **Attachment N-1:** **System Impact Study Agreement**
- Attachment S: Transmission Feasibility Study Agreement
- **Attachment Y:** **Screens Request**
- Attachment BB: Small Inverter Interconnection Service Agreement

- Only addresses matrix column B, since it is worst-case language
- Reorganized sections to be more sequential
- Outline format\* for focused review
- Outline is subdivided to individual subsection elements\*

\* eTariff will recombine sections and define numbering/formatting

To illustrate how the Transmission Provider's additional five (5) business day review period may run congruently with the Interconnection Customer's ten (10) business day deficiency response period:

i. If, for example, the Interconnection Customer responds to the deficiency notice on the third business day of the Interconnection Customer's deficiency response period, the Transmission Provider's additional five (5) business day review period will run in conjunction with the Interconnection Customers overall ten business day response period. In this example, if on the fifth business day of the Transmission Provider's additional review period (which would also be the eighth day of the Interconnection Customer's deficiency response period) the Transmission Provider identifies remaining, unsatisfied deficiencies in the Generation Interconnection Request, the Interconnection Customer would have two remaining business days to clear such deficiencies before the Interconnection Customer's deficiency response period expired.

ii. However, if, for example, the Interconnection Customer responds to the deficiency notice on the eighth business day of the Interconnection Customer's deficiency response period, the Transmission Provider's additional five (5) business day review period will run in conjunction with the Interconnection Customers overall ten business day response period. In this example, if on the fifth business day of the Transmission Provider's additional review period (which would be three business days after the Interconnection Customer's deficiency response period expired) the Transmission Provider identifies remaining, unsatisfied deficiencies in the Generation Interconnection Request, the Generation Interconnection Request would be deemed to be terminated and withdrawn since the Interconnection Customer would not be able to cure such deficiencies before the Interconnection Customer's deficiency response period expired.