



# Revisions to Synchronized Reserve Margin Adder

EPFSTF

November 28, 2018

- A goal of the market design for synchronized reserve offers is to ensure that resources on the margin are indifferent to providing reserves or energy.
- Allowing participants to express the risk they assume by accepting an obligation via the synchronized reserve offer is key to ensuring they are indifferent.
- The existing margin adder allows participants to express this risk. However, the existing \$7.50/MWh level of this adder is:
  - Based on the implicit margins in actual offers made by participants for Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve prior to the implementation of the market in 2002
    - Offers included market power; only two suppliers

PJM believes the risk resources assume by accepting an obligation can be approximated by calculating the expected value of the synchronized reserve penalty

- **Expected value =**  
Average \$/MWh penalty \* Average rate of non-performance during events \* probability an event will occur
- **Year-to-date in 2018 (through Q3):**
  - Average \$/MWh penalty = total penalty (\$) / total shortfall MWh
    - » \$218,840 / 494 MWh = **\$443/MWh**
  - Average rate of non-performance = 1 – average Tier 2 response rate
    - » 100% – 75.3% = **24.7%**
  - Probability an event will occur = Total hours of SR events greater than 10 minutes / Total hours in the period
    - » 1.13 / 7272 = **0.0156%**
  - **Expected value = \$443/MWh \* 24.7% \* 0.0156% = \$0.02/MWh**

- The existing \$7.50/MWh margin is well in excess of the near \$0/MWh expected value of the synchronized reserve penalty resources may face if they fail to respond during an event
  - Expected value of penalty was \$0.01/MWh in 2017 and is \$0.02/MWh in 2018 (through Q3)
- PJM proposes lowering the cap on the margin adder to the expected value of the penalty
  - PJM proposes re-calculating this value on an annual basis
  - Rather than setting the cap to a static \$0/MWh based on current conditions, reassessing the cap on a periodic basis will allow the cap to change as clearing prices, and consequently the expected value of the penalty, are ultimately impacted by the proposed reserve market enhancements.