

This charter describes the objectives (mission) and responsibilities of this stakeholder group to complete the specific scope of work assigned by the Markets & Reliability Committee.

### **Mission**

This stakeholder group is chartered with completing a stakeholder process to recommend one or more proposed solution(s) for consideration to resolve the following issues:

- *Demand Response as an Operational Capacity Resource*
- *MOPR – Unit-specific Review Process*
- *Demand Response Longer-term Issues*
- *Transmission/RTEP*

### **Responsibilities**

Specifically, this stakeholder group will conduct the following key work activities and produce the stated deliverables as described in the Issue Charge(s):

#### 1. Demand Response as an Operational Capacity Resource

An [Issue Charge](#), with attached Problem Statement, was approved by the Markets and Reliability Committee on June 27, 2013. The combined documents contained a list of deliverables, timeline, and other information identifying the scope of work to be accomplished.

The following summarizes the Charge:

This issue is being initiated to reinforce reliability. The CSTF will investigate the items identified in the [Problem Statement](#), including Demand Response market obligations, notification time requirements, characteristics related to dispatch, the clearing of Limited DR in RPM auctions, and planning studies related to any changes made to Demand Response in RPM. As appropriate, the CSTF will develop recommendations for the MRC's consideration as to whether the RPM rules should be modified related to these items. For any MRC-endorsed recommendation, the CSTF should then develop proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement and Manual revisions to implement such recommendations.

It is expected that the work will be completed in time for a December 1, 2013 filing for implementation in the 2014/15 Delivery Year.

### 2. Minimum Offer Price Rule (MOPR) – Unit-specific review process

An [Issue Charge](#), with attached Problem Statement, was approved by the Markets & Reliability Committee on May 23, 2013. The combined documents contained a list of deliverables, timeline, and other information identifying the scope of work to be accomplished.

The following summarizes the Charge:

This issue is being initiated by a FERC Order on the PJM MOPR filing (docket ELR13-535) which suggested that PJM conduct a stakeholder process to consider revisions to the unit specific review process to make it more standardized. Key work activities include education on the review process, consideration of changes to financial modeling assumptions, and investigating ways to increasing the transparency of the process. As appropriate, the CSTF will develop recommendations for the MRC's consideration. For any MRC-endorsed recommendation, the CSTF should then develop proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement and Manual revisions to implement such recommendations.

It is expected that the work will be completed in time for a December 1, 2013 filing for implementation in the 2014/15 Delivery Year.

### 3. Demand Response Longer-term Issues

An [Issue Charge](#) was approved by the Markets & Reliability Committee on July 26, 2012. The Charge contained a problem statement, list of deliverables, timeline, and other information identifying the scope of work to be accomplished (see the Issue Charge for details regarding this item).

The following summarizes the Charge:

These longer-term DR issues were identified subsequent to the 2011 RPM related stakeholder activities. The CSTF will investigate the items enumerated in the Issue Charge related to Demand Response. These items are split into two groups: those to be worked starting in 2012 and those to be worked starting in 2013, or at the completion of the 2012 work. The CSTF will investigate the specific items listed in the Issue Charge and, as appropriate, develop recommendations for the MRC's consideration as to whether the RPM rules should be modified related to these items. For any MRC-endorsed recommendation, the CSTF should then develop proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement and Manual revisions to implement such recommendations.

This effort shall commence in 2012 and continue through 2013. In the event stakeholder activities result in any proposed rule changes affecting planning parameters, they must be completed to support a

filing with the FERC on or before December 1 prior to the Base Residual Auction to which the rules changes would first apply.

#### 4. Investigate Transmission/RTEP

An [Issue Charge](#) was approved by the Markets & Reliability Committee on April 26, 2012. The Charge contained a problem statement, list of deliverables, timeline, and other information identifying the scope of work to be accomplished.

The following summarizes the Charge:

Review Transmission/Regional Transmission Expansion Plan issues as outlined in the approved Issue Charge, including topics related to Locational Delivery Area Definitions, Model Design, and Capacity Emergency Transfer Limits (CETL).

### **Stakeholder Group Administration**

1. The group reports to the Markets & Reliability Committee (MRC), i.e. its parent Standing Committee.
2. The group will be facilitated by this Chairperson and Secretary:  

Scott Baker, Chairperson

Janell Fabiano, Secretary
3. The group will conduct its activities in accordance with the protocols found in the PJM Stakeholder Process Manual (M-34).
4. The group will accomplish the scope of work identified above and only the work above. Approval from the parent Standing Committee will be sought before engaging in any activity outside this scope.
5. The group will periodically report progress on its chartered scope of work to its parent Standing Committee.
6. Meeting minutes (notes) and all meeting materials will be published on the PJM web site.

7. The group will prepare a final report (the Consensus Proposal Report or Proposal Alternatives Report whichever is applicable). This report will constitute its recommendation to the parent Standing Committee to resolve the issue.
8. Membership in the group is open and will be identified by volunteerism. Group members are not required to sign a confidentiality agreement or non-disclosure agreement.

Author: Scott Baker  
DOCS#: 690241, v10