


CSTF Polling Results

June 02, 2014




VRR Curve Parameter Topics Poll Information


- Designed to draw out a prioritization for work
- To be utilized as a means of focusing group efforts
- 29 individual respondents
- 160 organizations represented




	High	Medium	Low	No response
Shape of curve	60%	1%	38%	1%
Reference resource technology	25%	48%	26%	1%
Dual-fuel capability	16%	60%	23%	1%
BLS versus HW	27%	55%	17%	1%
Real versus nominal	38%	16%	44%	1%
Method of aligning CONE areas with LDAs	52%	34%	13%	1%
Forward-looking E&AS	61%	36%	1%	1%
Minimum net CONE for sub-LDA at parent	38%	47%	14%	1%




(*) indicates more than 50% support




	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	No response
Shape of curve	19%	3%	10%	21%	46%	1%
Reference resource technology	3%	10%	34%	28%	24%	1%
Dual-fuel capability	6%	1%	41%	19%	33%	1%
BLS versus HW	0%	14%	59%	11%	16%	1%
Real versus nominal	11%	8%	11%	16%	53%	1%
Method of aligning CONE areas with LDAs	6%	16%	19%	48%	10%	1%
Forward-looking E&AS	4%	1%	56%	23%	16%	1%
Minimum net CONE for sub-LDA at parent	9%	14%	36%	30%	10%	1%



(*) indicates more than 50% support




Which System VRR curve shape do you/your organization currently support?





Which LDA VRR curve shape do you/your organization currently support?



Which Reference Resource technology do you feel is most appropriate for purposes of CONE in the VRR Curve parameters?

