

# RPM Longer-Term Issues – Transmission/RTEP

### Problem / Opportunity Statement

During the summer and fall of 2011, PJM and the stakeholders pursued three RPM related tracks of issues: Markets and Reliability Committee (MRC) charged issues; the Tariff required Performance Assessment; and the Tariff required Triennial Review. In support of these activities, the Brattle Group produced a Performance Assessment for consideration by the stakeholders which identified several recommendations for enhancement to the RPM construct. The activities of 2011 were confined to shorter-term issues that could result in revisions to the RPM construct to support filing with the FERC in time for the 2015 Base Residual Auction. At that time stakeholders indicated interest in identifying and pursuing some of the longer-term recommendations of the Brattle Assessment, as well as other stakeholder identified longer-term issues. A process for identifying and refining the suggested issues was undertaken, and this Issue Charge addresses one set of these identified issues: **Transmission/RTEP**. Specific topics for consideration are included in the Key Work Activities.

#### **Issue Source**

Longer-term RPM related issues identified subsequent to the 2011 RPM related stakeholder activities.

#### Stakeholder Group Assignment

This issue is assigned to the Capacity Senior Task Force (CSTF) reporting to the MRC.

#### Key Work Activities

The CSTF will investigate the items identified in the appendix to this Issue Charge, and develop a recommendation for the MRC's consideration on whether RPM rules should be modified related to these items. Should the MRC endorse this recommendation, the CSTF should then develop proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement and Manual revisions to implement such recommendations.

#### **Expected Deliverables**

The Capacity Senior Task Force (CSTF) will identify specific recommended changes to RPM rules related to Transmission/RTEP issues, and if directed by the MRC, will produce proposed Tariff, Reliability Assurance Agreement and Manual revisions to implement such revisions.

## Expected Overall Duration of Work

This effort is expected to conclude by <u>27</u>/1/2013 to support FERC filing and approval prior to the <u>2016-2017</u> Base Residual Auction.



# **Decision-making Method**

Stakeholders will seek Tier 1, consensus (unanimity) on a single proposal (preferred default option), or if not able to reach consensus, Tier 2, multiple alternatives.



#### Appendix – Transmission/RTEP

<u>Number</u>	<u>Subtopic</u>	ltem	Additional Description
		Qualifying Transmission Upgrade	Refer to separately posted problem
5	QTU Parity	participation in RPM	statement
		Examine the Transmission project	
		milestone / certification process used	
		in RPM to determine what	
		requirements backbone transmission	Considering the status of Susquehanna
		projects must meet to be included in	- Roseland delays, is the current
77		the BRA and IA auctions.	process sufficient?
		Options to Increase CETL	Provide CETL Forecasts, Make Models
<del>6</del>	CETL	Transparency:	Available
			Identify Successive Limiting
			Transmission Elements, Facilitate Cost-
			Effective Upgrades, Develop RTEP
7	CETL	Options to Increase CETL Stability:	Deadband (RPPTF?)
			Determining Which LDAs to Model in
			Auctions, More Flexible Ways to
			Represent Transmission in RPM
			Auctions, Defining LDAs Based on
8	Model Design	Modeling Transmission in RPM	Transmission Topology
<del>13</del>	CETL	CETO/CETL improvements	
13	CETE	The test for determining modeled	
		Locational Deliverability Areas in RPM	
		should be redefined. A detailed	
		reliability analysis of all at risk units	
		should be included in the redefined	
<del>32</del>	CETL	model.	
52			at present, RPM and RTEP lack
			adequate coordination to provide
			optimal outcomes; in particular, RTEP
			triggers transmission upgrades that fail
			to take account of potential new entry
		Better coordination between RPM and	of generation which may distort bidder
<del>39</del>	Model Design	transmission planning:	behavior in RPM auctions.
	Ŭ		LDA import (CETL) limits. Explore ways
			to ensure that CETL is not limited by
			easily resolved constraints (per Brattle
<del>56</del>	LDA Definition	"Get the capacity requirements right"	Review p. 117).



# Issue Charge

1		Consistent with the Brattle Report, the
		ICC FEP Staff suggests that the
		modeling of transmission limits and
		other administrative parameters be
		reviewed, particularly for the base
		residual auctions. We suggest that
		this review consider whether it is
		possible to provide additional
		completion benchmarks for a
		transmission project before it is
		modeled into the parameters of an
<del>69</del>	LDA Definition	auction.
		Identify mechanisms to help better
<del>72</del>	Model Design	align RPM with RTEP



<u>#</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Item(s)</u>		
1	Qualifying Transmission Upgrade (QTU) participation in RPM	a) Review representation of Qualifying Transmission Upgrades (QTUs) in RPM		
2	Transmission project milestones	a) Examine the Transmission project milestone/certification process, used in RPM, to determine if the milestones/ requirements for backbone transmission projects included in the BRA and IA are stringent enough (Attachment DD 5.11.a)		
		b) Refine definition of "backbone projects"		
       	Increase CETL Stability/ Transparency	a) Provide documentation explaining CETL assumptions		
		b) Provide CETL with & without any major questionable projects		
		c) Develop a method to model at risk generation in CETL/CETO		
		d) Explore ways to ensure that CETL is not limited by easily resolved constraints (per Brattle Review p. 117).		
		e) Review schedule & timing of CETL posting & retirement announcements		
		f) Determine if CETL or some import ratio can be used for RPM, separate from RTEP		
<u>4</u> <u>M</u>	Modeling Transmission in RPM	a) Review current definition of LDA to verify that they represent the appropriate level of granularity to send actionable market signals to alleviate reliability concerns		
		b) Determine which LDAs to model in auctions (can all LDA's be modeled? is there a more flexible ways to represent transmission in RPM auctions? include detailed reliability analysis of all at risk units?)		
		c) Review LDA approval process (can new LDA's be incorporated without FERC approval?)		
		d) Review coordination of signals sent by RTEP and RPM (ex. RTEP triggers transmission upgrades that may fail to consider potential new entry of generation which may distort bidder behavior in RPM auction)		
		e) Review timing coordination between RTEP and RPM		

Issue Charge