Load Management Test Proposals – Poll Results

DRS
7/1/19
### Poll response

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Unique Responders:</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Companies Represented:</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Members</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliate members</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex Officio Members</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Short turn around for poll
Please rank each package using 1 for your favorite, and 6 for your least favor.
Please indicate which package(s) you can live with moving forward in the stakeholder process?

CSP1: CSP schedules test(s) and retest(s)

- Can: 92%
- Cannot: 8%

CSP2: PJM schedules test and 2nd test, CSP schedules retest(s)

- Can: 66%
- Cannot: 34%
Please indicate which package(s) you can live with moving forward in the stakeholder process?

PJM1: PJM schedules test, CSP schedules retest(s)

- Can: 47%
- Cannot: 53%

PJM2: PJM schedules test and retest

- Can: 73%
- Cannot: 27%
Please indicate which package(s) you can live with moving forward in the stakeholder process?

PJM3: Simulate event - PJM scheduled test, CSP scheduled retest(s)

PJM: Can 88%  Cannot 12%

IMM: Simulate event by season: PJM schedules test and retest

IMM: Can 83%  Cannot 17%
What 6 components are most important to you. Please rank them from 1 to 6 (where 1 is the most important component of the 6)?
Top Box summary of data from Slide 7

1st & 2nd Ranks Added Together
What recommended changes would make it so you can live with the package?

**CSP1: CSP schedules test(s) and retest(s)**
- Limit test and retest to 3
- Remove 75% threshold
- CSP can advise when a retest is ready but PJM decides upon the day and time

**CSP2: PJM schedules test and 2nd test, CSP scheduled retest(s)**
- CSP schedules test
- Remove 75% threshold, limit retest to 3
- CSP schedules the test
- Permit up to 5 Tests/Re-tests
- Notification time and rescheduled by PJM
- Test duration 1 hour.

**PJM2: PJM schedules test and retest**
- Tests/Re-tests
  - Retest to 3
  - Up to 5
- CSP schedules the test
- Notice
  - Month/Week/Day Ahead
  - PJM Scheduled the retest but allow CSP to window.
  - 5-day
  - Same as an event
- Test duration 1 hour.
What recommended changes would make it so you can live with the package?

**PJM1: PJM schedules test, CSP schedules retest(s)**
- Remove 75% threshold
- CSP schedules the test like the status quo
- Notice
  - Week ahead
  - Day Ahead
  - Simulate event (no notice)
  - 5 day window at least five business days in advance
- If week ahead notice of the week of a test was too broad, we could also live with other versions of that such as a week ahead notice of the exact day, or 5 day notice of a 3 day window. These elements allow customers to manage costs such as, lost inventory, lost employee productivity, etc. that are not compensated under the proposals.
- Wider test window 10 -10
- Number of Tests/Re-tests
  - Permit up to 5
  - Limit retest to 3
What recommended changes would make it so you can live with the package?

**PJM3: Simulate event - PJM scheduled test, CSP scheduled retest(s)**
- Remove 75% threshold
- CSP schedules the test
- Two hours test duration
- Notice
  - 5-day
  - Same as the event
- Tests/Re-tests
  - Limit retest to 3
  - Permit up to 5

**IMM: Simulate event by season: PJM schedules test and retest**
- Remove 75% threshold
- CSP schedules the test
- Tests/Re-tests
  - Permit up to 5
  - limit retest to 3
- Test duration
  - 1 hour
  - 2 hours
- 5-day advance notice of expected test day
- One test per delivery year
- Not seasonal
- Limit days to non-NERC holiday weekdays, HE 12-18
• For package(s) you CANNOT live with (these are the packages you DID NOT select in the previous question), is there 1 solution component change that will allow you to NOT reject the package? If yes, please indicate which solution component. Please add your recommended change so that you can live with the package to the associated package comment field. For example, if you cannot live with PJM3 proposal because Testing may occur on weekends then please indicate in the table below under component 4 (Test/Retest period), and add your recommended change to the PJM3 Proposal comments line at the end of the question:
Proposal components that would need a change to enable stakeholders to live with proposal
Suggestions that you think can help build consensus

- Ensure tests are not burdensome on customers
  - Actual events typically have higher prices, so losses minimized
- As much advance notice as possible for scheduling tests
- Add seasonal testing
- CSP schedules test
- Ability to re-test any registration more than once
- Limit test duration
- Ensure tests reflect actual event conditions