



Price Responsive Demand (PRD) review for CP requirements – DRS poll results

MIC

October 11, 2017



Question - MEMBERS ONLY					
	Yes	No	Abstain	#	% Support
1. Do you support maintaining the status quo rules for PRD? -- Response	38	103	20	161	27%
	Yes	No	Abstain	#	% Support
2. Do you support the PJM proposal to modify the PRD rules (make PRD measurement and verification, capacity nomination, credit requirements and penalties comparable to DR CP rules)? -- Response	114	46	1	161	71%
	Yes	No	Abstain	#	% Support
3. Do you support the Whisker Labs proposal to modify the PRD rules (extend PRD measurement and verification and capacity nomination process to use winter specific load, implement DR CP credit requirements and penalties and create new PRD summer only product which will be considered in CP auction clearing similar to DR but on the demand side)? -- Response	33	108	20	161	23%
	Yes	No	Abstain	#	% Support
4. Do you support Package C proposal (same as PJM proposal except PRD must reduce load during all Performance Assessment Hours)? -- Response	73	68	20	161	52%
	Abstain	Status Quo	Package C	PJM Proposal	Whisker Labs Proposal
5. If you had to pick one path forward, what is your preference? -- Response	1	16	71	51	22
% Support	1%	10%	44%	32%	14%

Question - NON-MEMBERS ONLY					
	Yes	No	Abstain	#	% Support
1. Do you support maintaining the status quo rules for PRD? -- Response	5	0	0	5	100.00%
	Yes	No	Abstain	#	% Support
2. Do you support the PJM proposal to modify the PRD rules (make PRD measurement and verification, capacity nomination, credit requirements and penalties comparable to DR CP rules)? -- Response	0	5	0	5	0.00%
	Yes	No	Abstain	#	% Support
3. Do you support the Whisker Labs proposal to modify the PRD rules (extend PRD measurement and verification and capacity nomination process to use winter specific load, implement DR CP credit requirements and penalties and create new PRD summer only product which will be considered in CP auction clearing similar to DR but on the demand side)? -- Response	4	1	0	5	80.00%
	Yes	No	Abstain	#	% Support
4. Do you support Package C proposal (same as PJM proposal except PRD must reduce load during all Performance Assessment Hours)? -- Response	0	5	0	5	0.00%
	Abstain	Status Quo	Package C	PJM Proposal	Whisker Labs Proposal
5. If you had to pick one path forward, what is your preference? -- Response	0	5	0	0	0
% Support	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%



DRS Poll results (breakdown of responders)

Total Unique Responders	32
Total Companies	166
Voting Members	52
Affiliates	103
Non-members	5