PLC issues – proposed change for PLC risk
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• Maintain current rules but allow customer with significantly low PLC due to anomaly to offer into BRA as existing based on projected PLC subject to PJM approval (ie: plant outage during 5 CP day) instead of current requirement to offer some as existing and some as planned.
Current Process to determine Existing Volume

- Location typically do not nominate entire PLC amount
- Existing DR – PJM uses Max nominated over 2 Delivery Years (current and future)
Discuss Pros/Cons

+ Align credit requirement with potentially better estimate of future capability

- Ability to effectively administer (PJM concerned)
  - Manual process – could have thousands of requests for exceptions

-Issue rare: New resource & customer expects load to be close to 0 during event
• Definition of which registration is considered existing stays the same (registration in current or future DY for BRA)

• Proposed change to allow CSP to request adjustment to existing MW volume (current process = max of current and future registration nominated capacity volume for BRA) based on the following:
  – Existing MW volume only based on 1 registration.
  – Location PLC is 2MW lower than it should have been due to anomaly.
  – Anomaly = a condition at the location that resulted in significantly low usage that is not expected to occur in the future such as:
    • Lightning Strike
    • Major mechanical failure to end use device
  – CSP provides supporting information including historic load data to support exception