MINUTES
PJM Interconnection
Demand Response Subcommittee (DRS)
February 14, 2012
9:30pm – 3:30pm (EPT) Chase Center (Wilmington, DE)

1. ADMINISTRATION

There were no comments regarding the 1/17/12 meeting minutes. A future DRS meeting date, time and location were determined, May 29, 1:00pm to 5:00pm WebEx/Conference call. PJM provided an update on upcoming training opportunities. PJM presented the updated DRS work plan. PJM is to add product specific M&V to the plan.
2. **ORDER 745 COMPLIANCE FILING**

PJM updated the DRS on Order 745 and associated next steps. This has been a standing item on the DRS agenda. PJM has an additional compliance filing due on 3/14/12 and new rules will be effective 4/1/12. A question was raised regarding the possibility of not requiring LSE review if CSP only plans to participate when LMP is greater than or equal to the Net Benefits Price. EDCs wanted to get a feel for how many CSPs would be requesting data in March and received some feedback from them. PJM will check to see if 60 day requirement is in the tariff. There was a request for a stand-alone RRMSE calculator. CSP advised to use the eLRS training environment for now.

3. **EMERGENCY ENERGY LOAD REDUCTION MEASUREMENT**

PJM presented its proposal to harmonize the emergency energy settlement baseline consistent with economic events. This would include excluding load management events from the economic CBL (except for aggregate registrations, when the economic and emergency registrations are made up of different locations). PJM will ask for endorsement at next meeting. There was a question about how cleared DA would be handled.

4. **PA ACT 129 & DR MEASUREMENT**

DRS continued to discuss the issue. UISOL made a late presentation illustrating their view of the situation. The group continues to work on this issue trying to avoid unintended consequences.

5. **CONSIDER FSL ADJUSTMENT ISSUE STATEMENT**

BGE presented a follow-up to their previous work on this. DRS members asked questions but came away with a feeling that this was a complex issue, hard to understand, and needed more clarity. BGE will come back with examples related specifically to demand response.

6. **PJM DUPLICATE REGISTRATION RESOLUTION**

Following up in the previous DRS meeting, PJM expanded on offered high level solution alternatives. There seemed to be agreement that PJM should be out of the decision making process and that the end use customer makes decision. Some suggested looking to retail EDI for possible solutions. There was a suggestion that PJM post the solutions matrix so that members could modify it.

7. **DR MONTHLY ACTIVITY REPORTS**

PJM presented the DSR activity report for the previous month. There was a discussion on the 25% threshold for DSR in the SR market.

8. **745 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS AND ASSOCIATED MANUAL CHANGES**

The DRS was updated on implementation details during a one hour technical session. DRS plan to continue having these technical sessions until the 745 implementation is complete.
9. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

There was a request that the DRS have a segment on activities ongoing in other PJM stakeholder forums that have an impact on DSR. Specific interest in the Planning Committee activities was expressed.

10. FUTURE MEETING DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/16/12</td>
<td>9:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Conference Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/24/12</td>
<td>9:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Chase Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/29/12</td>
<td>1:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Conference Call</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anti-trust:
You may not discuss any topics that violate, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws including but not limited to agreements between or among competitors regarding prices, bid and offer practices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition. If any of these items are discussed the chair will re-direct the conversation. If the conversation still persists, parties will be asked to leave the meeting or the meeting will be adjourned.

Code of Conduct:
As a mandatory condition of attendance at today’s meeting, attendees agree to adhere to the PJM Code of Conduct as detailed in PJM Manual M-34 section 4.5, including, but not limited to, participants’ responsibilities and rules regarding the dissemination of meeting discussion and materials.