MINUTES
PJM Interconnection
Demand Response Subcommittee (DRS)
January 17, 2011
1:00pm – 5:00pm (EPT) webex/conference call

1. ADMINISTRATION

There were no comments regarding the 12/6/11 or 12/16/11 meeting minutes. A future DRS meeting date, time and location were determined, April 24, 9:30am to 3:30pm at the Chase Center (and on WebEx). PJM provided an update on activities of potential interest of DRS members occurring in other PJM stakeholder groups.

2. CONSIDER FSL ADJUSTMENT ISSUE STATEMENT

BGE presented their DR FSL measurement adjustment (similar to PRD MESL adjustment). There was no opposition from the DRS to adding this item to its work plan. Some concerns were raised about implementation. For example, would FSL targets for end use sites be changed in real-time? The target is to have any changes as a result of this effort to be implemented in the 13/14 DY.

3. ORDER 745 COMPLIANCE FILING

PJM updated the DRS on Order 745 and associated next steps. This has been a standing item on the DRS agenda. PJM has an additional compliance filing due on 3/14/12 and new rules will be effective 4/1/12. PJM intends to use the currently developed business rules for the compliance filing items. ENOC suggested maybe CSPs should be able to make a selection of which compensation structure they like to participate in – either full LMP or LMP minus retail rate where that latter will allow self scheduling. PJM indicated self scheduling will no longer be an option after 4/1 based on the FERC approved language and consideration in this area would be a separate stakeholder process. PJM is to post currently alternative CBL methods.

4. PA ACT 129 & DR MEASUREMENT

DRS continued to discuss the issue. ENOC made a presentation illustrating their view of the situation. PJM raised concerns about conflicts between peak shaving and DR capacity market participation and that the issue needs to be fully vetted to ensure there are no unintended consequences especially with respect to capacity market participation, add backs and PLCs.

5. EMERGENCY ENERGY LOAD REDUCTION MEASUREMENT

The existing proposal for reduction measurement was reviewed by the DRS. PJM provided example measurement scenarios. This is expected to move through the stakeholder process this year.

6. PJM DUPLICATE REGISTRATION RESOLUTION

Following up in the previous DRS meeting, PJM outlined its existing process to resolve duplicate registration issues and offered several high level solution alternatives. Comments included: PJM should not be expending resources to...
address this problem (CSPs should resolve); this is a problem that should be expected, it is ok for PJM to expend resources; make contact information of CSPs and end use customers available as an aid to facilitate CSP to CSP resolution. PJM to prepare a pros and cons analysis of alternatives.

7. **745 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS AND ASSOCIATED MANUAL CHANGES**

PJM presented its general transition plan including: how existing economic registrations will re-register, CBL determination process, dispatch groups, electronic notification via eLRS web services and new compensation rules. In addition, during the last hour of the meeting, more detailed presentations regarding these items took place so that technical personnel from the DRS companies could participate. PJM will try to get the eLRS sandbox environment in place a month before actual implementation.

8. **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

No future agenda items were requested.

9. **FUTURE MEETING DATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/14/12</td>
<td>9:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Chase Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16/12</td>
<td>9:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Conference Cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/24/12</td>
<td>9:30 a.m. – 3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Chase Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anti-trust:
You may not discuss any topics that violate, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws including but not limited to agreements between or among competitors regarding prices, bid and offer practices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition. If any of these items are discussed the chair will re-direct the conversation. If the conversation still persists, parties will be asked to leave the meeting or the meeting will be adjourned.

Code of Conduct:
As a mandatory condition of attendance at today’s meeting, attendees agree to adhere to the PJM Code of Conduct as detailed in PJM Manual M-34 section 4.5, including, but not limited to, participants’ responsibilities and rules regarding the dissemination of meeting discussion and materials.