1. **ADMINISTRATION**
   
The DRS reviewed prior minutes and scheduled a future meeting.

2. **DISCUSS FIRST DR REGULATION ISSUE: ALLOW MORE THAN 1 PJM MEMBER TO REPRESENT END USE CUSTOMER IN PJM MARKETS**
   
   Stakeholders continued discussion on the issue and potential options. PJM reviewed the existing process used for DR regulation resources. The DRS spent time discussing how the base point is derived and compliance is verified by PJM. PJM indicated that measurement of performance will be discussed along with generation performance at the RPSTF. Outstanding issues with proposal are focused on product conflict and coordination across CSPs. It was suggested that a potential solution is to allow 1 CSP to have full emergency registration and another to have economic registrations – this will help minimize product conflict issues and provide significantly more opportunities to allow existing Capacity resources to participate as DR economic resource (and therefore a regulation resource) with another CSP.
3. **REVIEW CBL EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS AND PROPOSED CHANGES**

PJM reviewed CBL analysis in detail and proposed changes which will potentially be incorporated as part of Order 745 compliance filing. PJM highlighted how variable and non-variable customers will be determined during the registration process.

4. **REVIEW PJM SUBZONAL DISPATCH PROCEDURE FOR ‘12/’13 DELIVERY YEAR AND BEYOND**

PJM highlighted interested expressed to date and variety of longer term question with solutions proposed to date. It was suggested that emergency event compliance should be considered as 2 different items: 1) emergency event compliance and reporting and 2) application of emergency event penalties. It was also suggested that DR resources dispatched at a subzonal level should not receive any penalties for non-response, receive emergency energy revenue compensation and in addition, receive some sort of premium or subsidy. A suggestion was also made to modify the measurement or options available for testing. PJM will compile information into a solutions matrix for the DRS.

5. **DR PRODUCT SPECIFIC DISPATCH PROCEDURE**

The Chair reviewed the DR products and existing dispatch provisions and solicited input on interest from the DRS. One member indicated that the test penalty rate should be product specific to align with how testing may be performed.

6. **FUTURE MEETINGS (SEE DEMAND RESPONSE SUBCOMMITTEE)**

August 5, 2011  
9:30 AM  
Chase Center

August 30, 2011  
1:00 PM  
Conference Call
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