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PJM Planning Links

- Planning Committee (PC)
  - [http://www.pjm.com/committees-and-groups/committees/pc.aspx](http://www.pjm.com/committees-and-groups/committees/pc.aspx)

- Transmission Expansion Advisory Committee (TEAC)

- Interregional Planning
  - [http://www.pjm.com/planning/interregional-planning.aspx](http://www.pjm.com/planning/interregional-planning.aspx)

- Services and Requests
  - [http://www.pjm.com/planning/services-requests.aspx](http://www.pjm.com/planning/services-requests.aspx)

- RTEP Development

- Manual 14B
  - [http://www.pjm.com/-/media/documents/manuals/m14b.ashx](http://www.pjm.com/-/media/documents/manuals/m14b.ashx)
PJM 2021 RTEP Update
RTEP Process

• The 2021 RTEP Assumptions were presented at the June IPSAC meeting. Refer to https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/stakeholder-meetings/ipsac/2021/20210604-ipsac-ny-ne/20210604-item-02-1-pjm-regional-transmission-expansion-planning-process-final.ashx

• Baseline Projects – Projects that are driven by reliability criteria violations, operational performance issues, congestion constraints and public policy.

• Supplemental Projects – Projects that are not required to address system reliability, Operational performance or economic criteria. Supplemental projects are planned according to the Tariff Attachment M-3 process.
Per the PJM Operating Agreement, multiple proposal windows were conducted for all reliability needs that were not Immediate Need reliability upgrades or were otherwise ineligible to go through the window process.

5 Order 1000 proposal windows opened during the 2021 RTEP cycle

- Proposal Window No.1 Long-Term - 120 day window
- Proposal Window SAA – 120 day window
- Proposal Window No.1 - 60 day window
- Proposal Window No.2 - 30 day window
- Proposal Window No.3 – 30 day window
2021 RTEP Window 1 Update
PJM as part of the annual Regional Transmission Expansion Plan conducted studies and originally identified 402 flowgates. 159 of those flowgates were eligible for competition, where 243 of the flowgates were excluded from the competition for various reasons.

– Window opened on 7/02/2021
– Window closed on 8/31/2021
Overview of 2026 Results
Total of 402 flowgates identified

• 159 flowgates are eligible
  - 72 in the PJM Mid-Atlantic Region
  - 33 in the PJM Southern Region
  - 54 in the PJM Western Region

• 243 flowgates excluded
  - 195 due to the below 200kv exclusion
  - 7 due to Substation Equipment Exclusion
  - 32 existing baseline fixes
  - 7 Non PJM Facility
  - 2 Invalid due to recent Deactivation
For this Window, PJM sought technical solutions, also called proposals, to resolve potential reliability criteria violations on facilities identified in accordance with all applicable planning criteria (PJM, NERC, SERC, RFC, and Local Transmission Owner criteria).

57 total proposals submitted from 10 different entities (see https://www.pjm.com/-/media/committees-groups/committees/teac/2021/20211005/20211005-item-09-reliability-analysis-update.ashx)
- 21 Greenfield
- 36 Upgrades

Cost Estimates: Approximate range from $600k to $136M

15 Proposals identified with Cost Containment
• PJM has reviewed changes which have occurred since the original 2021 RTEP violations were identified
• The significant changes include, deactivations, withdrawal of deactivations, and execution of Interconnection Service Agreements by Interconnection Customers
• The re-tool has resulted in some changes to results, reducing and eliminating the violations previously identified – all changes have been posted in an update on the Competitive Planning page
• Additional changes resulted in the need to open another Order 1000 proposal window (Window 2 – briefly discussed later in this presentation)
2021 RTEP Window 2 & 3 Update
2021 RTEP Window 2, 3 & 4

- 2021 RTEP Window 2 (60 days window) opened on November 3, 2021 and is anticipated to be closed on January 12, 2022.
  - Window 2 is an update to Window 1 due to changes associated with the re-tool
- 2021 RTEP Window 3 (30 days window) opened on November 3, 2021 and is anticipated to be closed on December 8, 2021
  - Window 3 is required for PSEG FERC Form 715 Planning Criteria (End of Life Criteria)
2021 SAA Proposal Window to Support NJ OSW
Following a request from New Jersey BPU, PJM opened an RTEP proposal window to solicit submissions to build the necessary transmission to meet New Jersey’s goal of facilitating the delivery of a total of 7,500 MW of offshore wind through 2035.

- Schedule
  - Open Window April 15
  - Close Window September 17
The proposal window received a robust response from both incumbent and non-incumbent entities

• Proposals span the breadth of the requested submissions (Option 1a, Option 1b, Option 2, & Option 3)
• Proposals for Option 1a include both upgrades to the existing system as well as greenfield upgrades

Note: Option designations refer to the four portions of the requested proposal as outlined in the *PJM RTEP – 2021 NJ OFFSHORE WIND TRANSMISSION SAA PROPOSAL WINDOW OVERVIEW* document
Proposals received include multiple combinations of the individual proposals which expand the number of possible combinations beyond the number of proposals received.

Proposal evaluations are ongoing and additional details are expected to be available in subsequent TEAC meetings.

NJBPU has issued a guidance document indicating certain processes which will be employed going forward during evaluations – This document can be found on the NJBPU website (link below), is referenced in the notice to the TEAC on 9/24, and is also contained in the non-encrypted link for materials associated with the window on PJM’s competitive planning page.

Entities That Provided Proposals for 2021 SAA Proposal Window for NJ OSW

- Anbaric Development Partners, LLC
- Atlantic City Electric Company
- Atlantic Power Transmission (APT), a Blackstone Infrastructure Partners portfolio company
- Con Edison Transmission, Inc.
- Jersey Central Power & Light Company
- LS Power Grid Mid-Atlantic, LLC
- Mid-Atlantic Offshore Development, LLC, a joint venture of EDF Renewables North America (EDFR) and Shell New Energies US, LLC (Shell New Energies)
- NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic Holdings, LLC
- Outerbridge New Jersey, LLC, a subsidiary of Rise Light & Power, LLC
- PPL Electric Utilities
- PSEG Renewable Transmission LLC and Orsted N.A. Transmission Holding, LLC
- Public Service Electric & Gas Company
- Transource Energy, LLC
PJM 2021 M-3 (Supplemental) Update
Development of Supplemental Projects:

- PJM coordinated the Supplemental projects planning as described in the Tariff, Attachment M-3.
  - PJM received/presented 250 Supplemental Needs from 1/1/2021 to 11/30/2021
  - Solutions were proposed/presented for 236 projects
  - 235 projects with cost of approximately $4 billion completed all necessary reviews and the projects will be integrated into the 2022 Regional Transmission Expansion Plan.
Generation Deactivation Notification Update (Between 4/1/2021 and 11/1/2021)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Name</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Transmission Zone</th>
<th>Requested Deactivation Date</th>
<th>PJM Reliability Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allentown CT1, CT2, CT3 and CT4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>6/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishbach CT1 and CT2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>6/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg CT1, CT2 and CT3</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>6/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins CT1 and CT2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>6/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lock Haven CT1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>6/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martins Creek CT1, CT2 and CT3</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>6/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Name</td>
<td>Capacity (MW)</td>
<td>Fuel Type</td>
<td>Transmission Zone</td>
<td>Requested Deactivation Date</td>
<td>PJM Reliability Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Shore CT1 and CT2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>4/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsport-Lycoming CT1 and CT2</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>4/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DINWIDDIE 1CT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Dominion</td>
<td>6/1/2023</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanier 1 CT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Dominion</td>
<td>6/1/2023</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville CT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Dominion</td>
<td>6/1/2023</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakley CT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Dominion</td>
<td>6/1/2023</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Name</td>
<td>Capacity (MW)</td>
<td>Fuel Type</td>
<td>Transmission Zone</td>
<td>Requested Deactivation Date</td>
<td>PJM Reliability Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendon LF</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>6/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmer 1</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>DEOK</td>
<td>5/31/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete and upgrades expected to be completed in time for unit to deactivate as scheduled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Bay Cogen CC</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>PSEG</td>
<td>5/31/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedricktown Cogen CC</td>
<td>115.3</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>AEC</td>
<td>5/31/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; upgrades expected to be completed in future, but interim operating measures identified and unit can deactivate as scheduled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon Lake 10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>FirstEnergy</td>
<td>4/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete and upgrades expected to be completed in time for unit to deactivate as scheduled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon Lake 9</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>FirstEnergy</td>
<td>4/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete and upgrades expected to be completed in time for unit to deactivate as scheduled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Name</td>
<td>Capacity (MW)</td>
<td>Fuel Type</td>
<td>Transmission Zone</td>
<td>Requested Deactivation Date</td>
<td>PJM Reliability Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheswick 1</td>
<td>567.5</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>4/1/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete and upgrades expected to be completed in time for unit to deactivate as scheduled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian River 4</td>
<td>411.9</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>DPL</td>
<td>5/31/2022</td>
<td>Reliability issue identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waukegan 7 &amp; 8</td>
<td>682.4</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>ComEd</td>
<td>5/31/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will County 4</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>ComEd</td>
<td>5/31/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgantown Unit 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>1232.7</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>PEPCO</td>
<td>5/31/2022</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete and upgrades expected to be completed in time for unit to deactivate as scheduled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Name</td>
<td>Capacity (MW)</td>
<td>Fuel Type</td>
<td>Transmission Zone</td>
<td>Actual Deactivation Date</td>
<td>PJM Reliability Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York Generation Facility</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>9/20/2021</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oaks Landfill</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>PEPCO</td>
<td>7/1/2021</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalk Point Unit 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>670.3</td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>PEPCO</td>
<td>6/1/2021</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKee 3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>DPL</td>
<td>6/1/2021</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Kingsport LF</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>AEP</td>
<td>5/31/2021</td>
<td>Reliability analysis complete; no impacts identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Deactivation Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Name</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Transmission Zone</th>
<th>Withdrawn Deactivation Date</th>
<th>PJM Reliability Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Byron 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>ComEd</td>
<td>9/15/2021</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dresden 2 &amp; 3</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>ComEd</td>
<td>9/15/2021</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Generation Deactivation link: [https://www.pjm.com/planning/services-requests/gen-deactivations](https://www.pjm.com/planning/services-requests/gen-deactivations)
PJM Market Efficiency Update

Nicolae (Nick) Dumitriu
Sr. Lead Engineer, PJM Market Simulation
2020/2021 Long-Term Window
**Market Efficiency Timeline**

### 12-month Cycle
- **Year 0 (2020)**
  - Develop assumptions – Year 1 & 5
  - Market Efficiency Analysis – Year 1 & 5
  - Identify and evaluate solution options
  - Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board

### 24-month Cycle
- **Year 1 (2021)**
  - Develop assumptions – Year 1, 5, 8, 11 & 15
  - Market Efficiency Criteria Analysis – Year 1, 5, 8 & 15
  - Market Efficiency Analysis – Year 1, 5, 8, 11 & 15
  - Identify proposed solutions
  - Mid-cycle update of significant assumptions – Year 0, 4, 7, 10 & 14
  - Analysis of market solutions and support of benefits of reliability solutions – Year 0, 4, 7, 10 & 14
  - Independent consultant reviews constructability
  - Adjustments to solution options by PJM based on analysis
  - Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board

### 12-month Cycle
- Develop Assumptions – Year 1 & 5
- Market Efficiency Analysis – Year 1 & 5
- Identify and evaluate solution options
- Final review with TEAC and approval by the PJM Board

⚠️ Indicates accelerations and modifications
2020/21 Market Efficiency Window Overview

- **2020/21 Long-Term Window 1 (Market Efficiency)**
  - 4 congestion drivers posted
    - Cluster No. 1 (APS) - French’s Mill to Junction 138 kV
    - Cluster No. 2 (PECO) - Plymouth Meeting to Whitpain 230 kV
    - Cluster No. 3 (PPL) - Juniata to Cumberland 230 kV
    - Cluster No. 4 (DOM) - Charlottesville to Proffit 230 kV (also posted as a reliability violation)
  - 24 proposals received from 7 submitting entities (10 greenfield proposals, 14 upgrades).
    - [Redacted Public Proposals for 2020/2021 Long-Term Window](#)

- Results of the final review to be shared with stakeholders at the December TEAC after which a final recommendation will be made to the PJM Board for review and approval.
2022 Market Efficiency Assumptions

- Powerflow consistent with the 2027 RTEP powerflow.
- Load Forecast and Demand Response based on PJM 2022 Load Forecast Report.
- Generation Expansion consistent with the machine list included in the Planning RTEP Powerflow.
- Fuel and Emissions Price forecasts provided by Hitachi Energy.
- Financial parameters Discount Rate and Carrying Charge, based on the Transmission Cost Information Center spreadsheet.
## 2022/23 Market Efficiency Milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post Preliminary Base Case</td>
<td>July 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholders Feedback</td>
<td>September 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify Congestion Drivers</td>
<td>September – November 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Reevaluation Analysis</td>
<td>September – November 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 Acceleration Analysis</td>
<td>September – November 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Final Base Case and Target Congestion Drivers</td>
<td>January 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term Proposal Window</td>
<td>January - May 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Proposed Solutions</td>
<td>May – September 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAC Reviews and Board Approval</td>
<td>October - December 2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions?