

## Identification of Speakers at PJM Meetings December 3, 2018 Stakeholder Process Forum

### Current M34 Provisions:

- all participants in any PJM proceeding should identify themselves and the organization(s) that they are employed or represent all participants are aware of their presence;

### Potential M34 Revision:

- all participants in any PJM proceeding should identify themselves, and the organization(s) that they are employed by, representing, or participating on behalf of (in the case of consultants or agents, identifying the clients or supporting entities if one or a few, and if the clients have not specifically requested anonymity, else characterizing the clients or supporting entities in some manner, e.g. industrial customers or wind developers), so all participants are aware of their presence and on whose behalf they are participating;

### Potential Points of Consensus:

1. To listen and remain silent, no obligation to disclose;
2. To put forward a formal proposal (say, into the matrix), that requires standing, so obviously a consultant/agent would have to identify the entity with standing who is advancing the proposal;
3. A consultant/agent, or any other party for that matter, can participate even if not representing or participating on behalf of a member or other party of standing, representing and on behalf only of self;
4. Short of putting forward a formal proposal, which requires standing, there is no meaningful distinction between asking questions, making suggestions, informally suggesting solutions, etc., and these forms of participation do not require standing; a consultant/agent that is merely participating on behalf of (not representing) clients, or even just participating on behalf of self, is permitted such participation (but still subject to whatever disclosure is required).