



Request for Stakeholder Feedback on Policy-Driven Deactivation Methodologies

October 1, 2024

Next Steps – Stakeholder Request for Feedback

- PJM is seeking *written* feedback from stakeholders in developing a methodology for identifying and capturing policy-driven retirements (feedback due by **Oct. 18, 2024**, stakeholder notification to follow today’s meeting).
- PJM is requesting stakeholders share feedback on the following topics (specific questions provided on next slide):
 1. Impacted resources complying with policies
 2. Corporate retirement commitments – publicly announced deactivations made by generation owners but are still “unofficial” (meaning they have not submitted a deactivation notice to PJM)
 3. Additional policies not currently considered
 4. Other assumptions about policy-driven retirements
- Feedback received will be posted with meeting materials and include attribution unless otherwise requested when submitting your comments.

- Written responses must be concise and limited to no more than 2 pages per question.

Topic	Questions
1. Impacted resources complying with policies	a) Should there be a process for generation owners and/or states to demonstrate that a resource impacted by a policy intends to comply and therefore remain in operation beyond the compliance date? b) If so, what should the criteria be for generation owners and/or states to sufficiently demonstrate that a resource intends to comply with a policy and not otherwise be considered for planned retirement?
2. Corporate retirement commitments <i>These are publicly announced deactivations made by generation owners but are still “unofficial” (meaning they have not submitted a deactivation notice to PJM)</i>	a) How should a process work for obtaining awareness of private retirement commitments made by generation owners without an official deactivation notice submitted to PJM? b) How should PJM verify that the generation owner intends to retire by the publicly announced date?
3. Additional policies not currently considered	a) Are there other specific policies that PJM should be accounting for when identifying policy-driven deactivations? If so, please provide the policy and indicate how it would impact a resource from remaining in operation.
4. Other assumptions about policy-driven retirements	a) Is there anything else that PJM should be considering on this topic?

Presenter:

Michele Greening

Michele.Greening@pjm.com

Written feedback to be submitted to:

Michele.Greening@pjm.com

Stakeholder Feedback on Policy-Driven Deactivation Methodology



Member Hotline

(610) 666-8980

(866) 400-8980

custsvc@pjm.com