November 15, 2016

Dear Paul,

Thanks for your skilled facilitation of the November 3, 2016, Transmission Expansion Advisory Committee.

During that meeting, AMP raised concerns over several issues. These included:

- $520M in “immediate need” facilities that are required in such a short time frame they preclude inclusion as competitive transmission. These are all baseline projects with published reliability criteria; it is unclear why there is not more time for planning and discussion of alternatives.
- Seven projects whose combined costs have increased by almost 82% from $205M as originally proposed to $372M.

AMP appreciates PJM’s recognition of the need to minimize or eliminate these type of immediate need projects, as well as to begin tracking initial and subsequent adjustments to project costs.

Additionally, AMP raised concerns over a few specific projects:

- A proposed $107M AEP project to address not only published reliability criteria violations, but also, unpublished criteria on aging infrastructure and customer delivery standards. The option to address established criteria is $76.5M. We understand this project will be removed from those to be approved by the PJM Board for further study.
- A proposed $6M supplemental project for PSEG to install stop joints on a 345kV cable. There was no supporting information provided for an issue that apparently existed when this cable was first installed.

AMP looks forward to additional information on both of these projects.

AMP also raised concerns about a proposed ATSI project to address low voltage concerns. AMP is pleased to report its concerns have since been addressed by First Energy.
Sincerely,

Edward D. Tatum, Jr.
Vice President Transmission
American Municipal Power