



M-3 Process Lessons Learned

Aaron Berner
Special PC M-3 Lessons Learned
July 12, 2019

- Include mapping to be able to relate projects in the same vicinity; Add to GIS maps to include supplemental project locations (similar to queue projects)
 - In progress
- Need to have a better understanding of what models are being used, when they change and if changed, this should be updated and communicated to all
 - Added model to templates (as applicable)
- Interested in seeing some metrics put together around questions asked, responses provided, are they helpful or not, is more interaction/communication needed?
 - Working to develop

- Would there be a way to track how many projects are changed based on stakeholder feedback received?
 - **Few changes have occurred, will continue to track**
- Need to have a check in place to ensure the OA definition of a supplemental project is being adhered to
 - **Working through language changes at Special PC for M14B**
- Besides the Planning Community and PC ListServ, are there any other avenues that can be used to notify all stakeholders once a local plan is finalized?
 - **No other alternative solutions identified. Current notifications systems work for normal activities**

- There needs to be more direct conversation/interaction between stakeholders & TO's to clarify and provide what is needed.
 - **Offers for additional conversations have been provided when requested**
- Slides presented at Subregional RTEPs are on PJM slide deck; does this indicate that PJM has reviewed and validates the content?
 - **PJM performs minimal reviews. Slides no longer to be presented on PJM slide templates**

- Develop something equivalent of a PJM Manual format for the M-3 Process. The level of detail and transparency between baseline and supplemental is very different.
 - **PJM discussing with Transmission Owners**
- Suggestion to retain the original slide and add any updated slide(s) (after questions/comments are addressed, the original slide gets replaced with the updates, and it is hard to track what changed, etc.)
 - **PJM believes that corrected slides should be posted as is current practice. Old slides can be made available upon request**
- PJM should continue to reach out to the states as well to obtain their feedback
 - **PJM continues to work with various states**

- Added table of M-3 process Needs
 - Feedback received on multiple occasions and the table has been modified – Will continue to adapt based on feedback
- Slide templates
 - Improvements beyond model information include addition of ancillary benefits to help stakeholders understand how projects improve system beyond the stated need
- Modeling information being provided by TOs for Solutions Meeting
- Transmission Owners providing maps as required for lower voltage systems representations

- Some stakeholders requesting solution information at Needs meeting
- PJM working on process for how models might be made available in a “repository” for withdrawal when stakeholders granted access
 - Several issues being discussed in order to develop good process (e.g.: How to control notifications if projects change, How to identify sequence of projects to be added for consideration of impacts, etc)

- PJM is seeing improvements in the processes and is continuing to look for additional areas for improvement
- PJM and Transmission Owner's looking for feedback
 - What is working well for the stakeholders
 - What portions of the process need additional discussion