Black Start Issues: Revised

Operating Committee September 3, 2020 Revised 09.09.20 IMM



Revisions to Black Start Presentation

- IMM proposed CRF rates include an interest rate of 7.0 percent.
- Presentation of 09.03.2020 included CRF rates including a current market interest rate of 3.5 percent.
- Rates and excess recovery totals recalculated.
- Descriptions clarified.
- IMM proposed CRF rates for future RFPs include a current market interest rate.

www.monitoringanalytics.com



Black Start Issues

- CRF: capital recovery factor
 - A rate, multiplied by the investment, which provides for a return on and of capital over a defined time period
 - CRFs are calculated using a standard financial model that accounts for the weighted average cost of capital and its components, including depreciation and taxes.
 - For example, a five year CRF will allow the recovery of 100 percent of the investment plus a return over five years.

www.monitoringanalytics.com



CRF History

- The CRF table was created in 2007 as part of the new RPM capacity market design
 - The CRF table provided for the accelerated return of incremental investment in capacity resources based on concerns about the fact that some old units would be making substantial investments related to pollution control.
 - The same CRF table was used in the black start rules.

4

www.monitoringanalytics.com



CRF Issues

- The CRF table includes assumptions that are no longer correct. The CRF values are significantly too high.
 - Tax depreciation rules reduce taxes
 - Corporate tax rate lower
- Need two CRF tables:
 - CRF for full application of 2017 tax law including changes in depreciation and corporate tax rate for units going into service after 2017
 - CRF for application of corporate tax rate under 2017 tax law for units in service prior to new tax law



Original CRF Table

Original CRF table created in 2007

		Term of Black Start	
Age of Blac	k Start Unit	Commitment	Levelized CRF
	1 to 5	20	0.125
	6 to 10	15	0.146
	11 to 15	10	0.198
	16+	5	0.363
00000			Monitoring Analytics
©2020	www.monitoringanalytics.com	6	Monitoring Analytics

Revised CRF Table 1

Original CRF table with CRF values based on 2017 tax code including depreciation and tax rate changes: post 2017 units.

	Term of Black Start					
Age of Bla	ack Start Unit	Unit Commitment	Levelized CRF			
	1 to 5	20	0.096			
	6 to 10	15	0.111			
	11 to 15	10	0.144			
	16+	5	0.246			
©2020	www.monitoringanalytics.cor	n 7	Monitoring Analytics			

Revised CRF Table 2

Original CRF table with CRF values based on 2017 tax code including tax rate change: pre 2017 units.

	Term	of Black Start Unit	
Age of Black S	Start Unit	Commitment	Levelized CRF
	1 to 5	20	0.119
	6 to 10	15	0.136
	11 to 15	10	0.179
	16+	5	0.313
©2020 wv	vw.monitoringanalytics.com	8 Monit	oring Analytics

CRF Issues

- CRF table column header: "Term of black start commitment"
 - This is misleading and incorrect
 - The column is simply the cost recovery period

www.monitoringanalytics.com



Term of Black Start Commitment

- No need for current short recovery periods for black start investments. Based on RPM.
- Accelerated recovery reduces risk to black start units and should not be the basis for a shorter commitment.
- Two periods, based on unit age, are more than adequate. The two periods still reflect accelerated recovery.
- Full payment of all costs of black start investment should require commitment for life of unit.
- Without such commitment, customers pay full price multiple times for the same service.



www.monitoringanalytics.com



IMM Proposed CRF Table 1

CRF values based on 2017 tax code including depreciation and tax rate changes: post 2017 units. Two age categories rather than four.

		Black Start Cost	
Age of Bla	ack Start Unit	Recovery Period	Levelized CRF
	1 to 10	20	0.096
	11 Plus	10	0.144
©2020	www.monitoringanalytics.com	11	Monitoring Analytics

IMM Proposed CRF Table 2

Original CRF table with CRF values based on 2017 tax code including tax rate change: pre 2017 units.

	Term	of Black Start Unit	
Age of B	lack Start Unit	Commitment	Levelized CRF
	1 to 5	20	0.119
	6 to 10	15	0.136
	11 to 15	10	0.179
	16+	5	0.313
			Acriterine Arelatice
©2020	www.monitoringanalytics.com	12	Monitoring Analytics

IMM Proposed CRF Table 3

CRF values based on 2017 tax code including depreciation and tax rate changes, and current interest rate (3.5 percent): new RFP units.

		Black Start Cost	
Age of Bl	ack Start Unit	Recovery Period	Levelized CRF
	1 to 10	20	0.085
\	11 Plus	10	0.134
	te: Actual interest interest in the second sec	rate may change bas	ed on
©2020	www.monitoringanalytics.com	13 Mon	itoring Analytics

CRF Implementation Issues

- CRF values have overcompensated all black start units following changes to the tax code in 2017.
 - Depreciation rules allow more accelerated depreciation.
 - Reduced corporate tax rate to 21 percent.
- Black start units going into service currently are being overcompensated
 - The new CRF rates should apply to all units going into service following the changes in tax law in December 2017 and to which the changes apply.
 - There are eight plants with 20 black start units.

www.monitoringanalytics.com



CRF Implementation Issues

- Black start units in service prior to the tax law changes are also being overcompensated
 - The new CRF rates that include the change in the corporate tax rate should apply to all units with a CRF.
 - Units did not invest with the expectation of this windfall.
 - There are 21 plants with 29 black start units.

www.monitoringanalytics.com



CRF Implementation Issues

- Overcompensation amounts vary with the project investment and the CRF recovery period.
- For a post 2017 black start unit with an investment of \$21M to which the full tax law applied, the overcompensation for a 20 year recovery period is:
 - \$609,000 per year
 - \$12,180,000 over the recovery period
- For a post 2017 black start unit with an investment of \$21M to which the lower tax rate applies, the overcompensation for a 5 year recovery period is:
 - \$2,457,000 per year

©2020

• \$12,285,000 over the recovery period Monitoring Analytics Monitoring Analytics

Annual Difference: Full Tax Law

				Old Annual	New Annual	
	Old CRF	New CRF	Project	Revenue	Revenue	Annual
Example	Rate	Rate	Investment	Requirement	Requirement	Difference
1	0.125	0.096	\$9,000,000	\$1,125,000	\$864,000	\$261,000
2	0.125	0.096	\$15,000,000	\$1,875,000	\$1,440,000	\$435,000
3	0.125	0.096	\$21,000,000	\$2,625,000	\$2,016,000	\$609,000
1	0.146	0.111	\$9,000,000	\$1,314,000	\$999,000	\$315,000
2	0.146	0.111	\$15,000,000	\$2,190,000	\$1,665,000	\$525,000
3	0.146	0.111	\$21,000,000	\$3,066,000	\$2,331,000	\$735,000
1	0.198	0.144	\$9,000,000	\$1,782,000	\$1,296,000	\$486,000
2	0.198	0.144	\$15,000,000	\$2,970,000	\$2,160,000	\$810,000
3	0.198	0.144	\$21,000,000	\$4,158,000	\$3,024,000	\$1,134,000
1	0.363	0.246	\$9,000,000	\$3,267,000	\$2,214,000	\$1,053,000
2	0.363	0.246	\$15,000,000	\$5,445,000	\$3,690,000	\$1,755,000
3	0.363	0.246	\$21,000,000	\$7,623,000	\$5,166,000	\$2,457,000

©2020

www.monitoringanalytics.com

17

Monitoring Analytics

Lifetime Difference: Full Tax Law

				Old CRF	New CRF	
	Old CRF	New CRF	Project	Lifetime	Lifetime	Lifetime
Example	Rate	Rate	Investment	Recovery	Recovery	Difference
1	0.125	0.096	\$9,000,000	\$22,500,000	\$17,280,000	\$5,220,000
2	0.125	0.096	\$15,000,000	\$37,500,000	\$28,800,000	\$8,700,000
3	0.125	0.096	\$21,000,000	\$52,500,000	\$40,320,000	\$12,180,000
1	0.146	0.111	\$9,000,000	\$19,710,000	\$14,985,000	\$4,725,000
2	0.146	0.111	\$15,000,000	\$32,850,000	\$24,975,000	\$7,875,000
3	0.146	0.111	\$21,000,000	\$45,990,000	\$34,965,000	\$11,025,000
1	0.198	0.144	\$9,000,000	\$17,820,000	\$12,960,000	\$4,860,000
2	0.198	0.144	\$15,000,000	\$29,700,000	\$21,600,000	\$8,100,000
3	0.198	0.144	\$21,000,000	\$41,580,000	\$30,240,000	\$11,340,000
1	0.363	0.246	\$9,000,000	\$16,335,000	\$11,070,000	\$5,265,000
2	0.363	0.246	\$15,000,000	\$27,225,000	\$18,450,000	\$8,775,000
3	0.363	0.246	\$21,000,000	\$38,115,000	\$25,830,000	\$12,285,000

©2020

www.monitoringanalytics.com

18

Monitoring Analytics

Excess Recovery for Pre 2017 Units

Old Annual	New Annual		
Revenue	Revenue	Annual	Total
Requirement	Requirement	Difference	Difference
\$53,281,144	\$47,528,292	\$5,752,852	\$30,764,964

Based on IMM proposed CRF rates for pre 2017 units.

www.monitoringanalytics.com



Excess Recovery for Post 2017 Units

Old Annual	New Annual		
Revenue	Revenue	Annual	Total
Requirement	Requirement	Difference	Difference
\$28,479,043	\$19,840,359	\$8,638,684	\$64,020,531

Based on IMM proposed CRF rates for post 2017 units.

www.monitoringanalytics.com



Total Excess Recovery

 Existing Annual	New Annual		
Revenue	Revenue	Total	
Requirement	Requirement	Annual	Total
Total	Total	Difference	Difference
\$81,760,187	\$67,368,651	\$14,391,536	\$94,785,495
©2020 www.monito	oringanalytics.com 21	Monitoring An	alytics

CRF Substitution Issues

- Substitution of a black start unit should be permitted only under very specific circumstances and with defined conditions
- A second unit at the same location, connected to the same bus.
- Review of costs of old and new units to ensure no overpayment
 - Cost reductions possible

www.monitoringanalytics.com

22

Monitoring Analytics

Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue Suite 160 Eagleville, PA 19403 (610) 271-8050

MA@monitoringanalytics.com www.MonitoringAnalytics.com

23



www.monitoringanalytics.com

©2020