

System Restoration Strategy Task Force

Work Plan Activities

Stakeholder Process	Group Responsible	Duration ⁶	Start Timeframe ⁷	End Timeframe ⁷
Charter Approval ¹	MRC	2 months	2/8/12	3/29/12
Task Force / Subcommittee Formation ²	MRC	2 weeks	1/26/12	2/8/12 (Complete)
Problem Investigation ³	SRSTF	2 months	2/8/12	3/27/12
Solution Alternative Development & Selection ⁴ , including draft of final report	SRSTF	6 months	April, 2012	Oct, 2012
Solution Approval ⁵	MRC	1 month	Oct, 2012	Nov, 2012
Solution Final Report	SRSTF	2 months	Nov, 2012	Dec, 2012

Milestones

<System Restoration Strategy Task Force>

Description ⁸	Target Date
Education process	March 27
Identify stakeholder interests	March 27
Develop design criteria	April 25
Develop solution options	August 2012
Package solutions	September, 2012
Build consensus & Develop recommended package solution	October, 2012
Vote at MRC	November, 2012
Solution final report	December, 2012
Solution implementation & Periodic updates	TBD

Footnotes

¹Charter Approval – includes development of draft charter by the assigned stakeholder task force or subcommittee and approval by its parent standing committee.

²Task Force / Subcommittee Formation (only if required) – includes selection of the group's Chair and Secretary, web site page and other logistics setup, and scheduling of its initial meetings.

³Problem Investigation – includes joint fact-finding, stakeholder education, and stakeholder interest exploration.

⁴Solution Alternative Development & Selection – includes design criteria matrix development, i.e. identification of design criteria (attributes of the solution), solution component options for each design criteria, and possible solution packages from the matrix of component options. This also includes package solution selection, and if a single proposal is being recommended, development of draft business rules and tariff or OA revisions. Finally, this includes final report preparation for submission to the parent standing committee for approval.

⁵Solution Approval –

For single proposal solution (Tier 1 decision-making method): includes proposal review, decision-making, final report preparation for submission to the Sr. Standing Committee for approval.

For multiple proposals (Tier 2 decision-making method): includes review of all proposals, selection of a solution, development of draft business rules and tariff or OA revisions, and final report preparation for submission to the Sr. Standing Committee for approval.

For either of the above, this includes time required for first-read and voting meetings for both the MRC and MC Sr. Standing Committees and to complete the governing docs revision process.

⁶Duration – a high-level measure of the total time needed to complete the work expressed in calendar weeks or months (5 weeks, 3 months, etc.)

⁷Start and End Timeframes (optional) – could be entered as general timeframes such as a month (Jan, Feb, etc.), quarter (2Q'11, 3Q'11, etc.), or specific dates (3/31/11, 12/31/11, etc.)

⁸Milestones (optional) – list any important target dates such as a FERC filing date, a date work must be completed in time for the next market auction, etc.

NOTE: The Work Plan does not account for any time required for FERC approval of the solution or time required for subsequent system implementation.