

Working to Perfect the Flow of Energy

PJM Manual 19:

Load Forecasting and Analysis

Revision: 287

Effective Date: March 26, 2015 December 1, 2015

Prepared by

Resource Adequacy Planning

© PJM 2015



# PJM Manual 19:

# Load Forecasting and Analysis

Table	of Co	ontents

Table of Contents	
Table of Exhibits	iii
Approval	1
Current Revision	1
Introduction About PJM Manuals About This Manual	2
ABOUT THIS MANUAL	
What You Will Find In This Manual	
Section 1: Overview	5
1.1 Overview of Load Forecasting and Analysis	
Section 2: PJM Hourly Load Data	6
2.1 LOAD DATA OVERVIEW	6
Section 3: PJM Load Forecast Model 3.1 FORECAST MODEL OVERVIEW	9
3.2 DEVELOPMENT OF THE FORECAST	
3.3 Non-Zone Peak Forecast	
Section 4: Weather Normalization and Coincident Peaks 4.1 Weather Normalization Overview	
4.2 Weather Normalization Procedure	
4.3 NORMALIZED PEAK APPEAL PROCESS	
4. <u>3</u> 4 PEAK LOAD ALLOCATION (5CP)	<u>29</u> 21
Attachment A: Load Drop Estimate Guidelines	<u>30</u> 23
LOAD DROP ESTIMATES FOR LOAD MANAGEMENT CUSTOMERS	
EVENT COMPLIANCE FOR GUARANTEED LOAD DROP (GLD) CUSTOMERS LOAD DROP ESTIMATES FOR PRD CUSTOMERS	<u>32<del>25</del></u>
Attachment B: Direct Load Control Load Research Guidelines	
Attachment C: Load Forecast Adjustment Guidelines	<u>38</u> 31
Revision History	<u>41</u> 34



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Table of Exhibits

# Table of Exhibits

EXHIBIT 1: MODEL VARIABLE DEFINITIONS	22
EXHIBIT 2: ASSIGNMENT OF WEATHER STATIONS TO ZONES	23
EXHIBIT 3: ASSIGNMENT OF METROPOLITAN AREAS, CENSUS DIVISIONS AND STATES TO	ZONES
Exhibit 1: Model Variable Definitions	
Exhibit 2: Assignment of Weather Stations to Zones	
EXHIBIT 3: ASSIGNMENT OF METROPOLITAN AREAS AND STATES TO ZONES	



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Approval and Current Revision

# Approval

Approval Date: 03/30/2015 Effective Date: 03/26/2015

Thomas A. Falin, Manager

Resource Adequacy Planning Department

**Current Revision** 

Revision 2<u>8</u>7 (03/26/2015<u>12/01/2015</u>):

 Section 3.2: Revised DR forecast methodologySection 3: This extensive revision incorporates changes to the load forecast model to add variables to account for trends in appliance usage and energy efficiency, revisions in weather variables, and the introduction of an autoregressive error correction. It also adds assignment of Census Divisions to zones and updates the assignments of economic regions and weather stations to zones. Section 4: the weather normalization procedure used for coincident and non-coincident peaks has been revised. This revision serves as the required periodic review of the Manual.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Introduction

# Introduction

Welcome to the *PJM Manual for Load Forecasting and Analysis*. In this Introduction you will find the following information:

- What you can expect from the PJM Manuals in general (see "About PJM Manuals").
- What you can expect from this PJM Manual (see "About This Manual")
- How to use this manual (see "Using This Manual").

# **About PJM Manuals**

The PJM Manuals are the instructions, rules, procedures, and guidelines established by the PJM Office of the Interconnection for the operation, planning, and accounting requirements of the PJM RTO and the PJM Energy Market. The manuals are grouped under the following categories:

- Transmission
- PJM Energy Market
- Generation and transmission interconnection
- Reserve
- Accounting and billing
- PJM administrative services
- Miscellaneous

For a complete list of all PJM Manuals, go to <u>www.pjm.com</u> and select "Manuals" under the "Documents" pull-down menu.

# **About This Manual**

The *PJM Manual for Load Forecasting and Analysis* is one of a series of manuals within the Reserve group of manuals. This manual focuses on load-related topics. This manual describes the data input requirements, the processing performed on the data, computer programs involved in processing the data, and the reports that are produced. It then describes processes used to analyze load data and produce a long-term planning forecast.

The *PJM Manual for Load Forecasting and Analysis* consists of four sections. These sections are listed in the table of contents beginning on page ii.

## Intended Audience

The intended audiences for the PJM Manual for Load Forecasting and Analysis are:



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Introduction

*Electric Distribution Company (EDC) planners* — The EDC planners are responsible for supplying historical load data in the required format, for using coincident peaks to allocate normalized peaks, and for input data verification.

*Load Serving Entity (LSE) planners* — LSEs use allocated peaks and the Load Management systems to determine their capacity obligations.

*PJM staff* — PJM is responsible for the calculation of hourly PJM loads, normalizing PJM seasonal peaks, forecasting RTO and zonal peaks for capacity obligations, compiling the PJM Load Forecast Report, and administering Load Management. This information is used in calculating the capacity obligations.

*Planning Committee members* — The Planning Committee is responsible for the stakeholder review of the peak forecasts and techniques for their determination.

*Reliability Assurance Agreement Signatories* — The Markets Reliability Committee is involved in the review of rules, methods and parameters associated with Load Forecasting and Analysis.

### References

There are several references to other documents that provide background or additional detail. The *PJM Manual for Load Forecasting and Analysis* does not replace any information in these reference documents. The following documents are the primary source of specific requirements and implementation details:

- Power Meter documentation
- eLRS documentation
- PJM Load Forecast Report
- PJM Manual for Emergency Operations (M-13)
- Reliability Assurance Agreement
- Behind-the-Meter Generation Business Rules (in Manual M-14D)
- Deemed Savings Estimates for Legacy Air Conditioning and Water Heating Direct Load Control Programs in PJM Region

# **Using This Manual**

We believe that explaining concepts is just as important as presenting the procedures. This philosophy is reflected in the way we organize the material in this manual. We start each section with an overview. Then, we present details, procedures or references to procedures found in other PJM manuals. The following provides an orientation to the manual's structure.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Introduction

### What You Will Find In This Manual

- A table of contents that lists two levels of subheadings within each of the sections.
- An approval page that lists the required approvals and a brief outline of the current revision.
- Sections containing the specific guidelines, requirements, or procedures including PJM actions and PJM Member actions.
- Attachments that include additional supporting documents, forms, or tables in this PJM Manual.
- A section at the end detailing all previous revisions of this PJM manual.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Section 1: Overview

# **Section 1: Overview**

Welcome to the *Overview* section of the *PJM Manual for Load Forecasting and Analysis*. In this section you will find the following information:

 An overview of the Load Forecasting and Analysis (see "Overview of Load Forecasting and Analysis")

# **1.1 Overview of Load Forecasting and Analysis**

Load Forecasting and Analysis utilizes the PJM Power Meter load data, Load Management, PJM Load Forecast Model, and Weather Normalization and Peak Allocation.

*PJM Hourly Load Data* — After-the-fact hourly load data are entered by EDCs and used by PJM for deriving seasonal load profiles, weather normalization factors, 1CP zonal load contributions for Network Service billing, charts contained in the PJM Load Forecast Report, and the Monthly Operations Report.

*PJM Load Forecast Model* — PJM staff produces an independent forecast of monthly and seasonal peak load and load management, for each PJM zone, region, the RTO, and selected combinations of zones. The PJM Load Forecast Report includes tables and charts presenting the results.

*Weather Normalization and Peak Allocation* — PJM uses approved techniques for weathernormalizing historical summer and winter zonal peaks, and determining RTO unrestricted coincident peaks.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Section 2: PJM Hourly Load Data

# Section 2: PJM Hourly Load Data

Welcome to the *PJM Hourly Load Data* section of the *PJM Manual for Load Forecasting* **and Analysis**. In this section you will find the following information:

- An overview of the historic hourly load data file (see "Load Data Overview")
- Guidelines for reporting load data to PJM (see "Load Data Reporting Business Rules")

# 2.1 Load Data Overview

Official historic hourly load data for each EDC with revenue-metered tie data reported to PJM are collected via the Power Meter application. For EDCs submitting all internal generation, Power Meter will calculate a revenue-quality load based on submitted tie and generation meter values. This ensures that all customer demand is counted once and only once, on an aggregated and dispersed basis. EDCs may accept these values as their reported hourly service territory load, with the option to input data directly through the application's user interface or via uploaded XML files. The entered data are available through Power Meter screens, postings on the PJM website, or in several reports produced by the Performance Compliance Department.

[For details on submitting data into Power Meter, refer to the information posted on the PJM Website (under "Tools Sign In", select "-Power Meter.")]

### Load Data Definitions

Actual Net Metered Interchange: The sum of allocated tie metered values to which the EDC is a party.

*Total Internal Generation*: The sum of all meter values for non-500kV generators electrically located in the EDC's zone. For PJM Western and Southern regions, 500kV generation will be counted as part of internal generation.

Allocated Mid-Atlantic 500kV Losses: Participant's share of total PJM Mid-Atlantic 500kV losses

*Calculated Load* = Actual Net Metered Interchange + Total Internal Generation + Allocated 500kV Losses.

# 2.2 Load Data Reporting Business Rules

As established by the PJM Planning Committee, the following guidelines govern the reporting of load data into the PJM Power Meter application:



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Section 2: PJM Hourly Load Data

**Data Reporting Responsibility**: It will be the responsibility of each PJM electric distribution company (EDC) with fully-metered tie flows to report hourly load data for its metered area(s), regardless of which entity is responsible for serving end-use customers.

For all entities using network transmission service, it will be the responsibility of the signatory to the Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement to ensure that hourly load data are reported to PJM for its customers via PJM InSchedules.

Curtailment Service Providers (CSPs) are responsible for providing information to estimate load management impacts as detailed in Attachment A.

**Data Specifications**: Load data supplied to Power Meter will reflect each entity's total impact to the system, counting all customer demand once and only once, and will therefore need to properly account for system losses and flows. PJM will adjust loads for their assigned share of Extra High Voltage losses. LSEs providing load management impact estimates will adjust loads for system losses. Data are accepted in Power Meter in 0.001 MWh increments.

**Reporting Schedule**: The data for each day should initially be entered within the following ten calendar days, except during peak periods, when the data must be entered daily. PJM contacts EDCs when daily reporting is needed.

Edits to load data should be made by the tenth calendar day of the following month.

PJM will adjust submitted load data, as necessary, to reflect additional load that is determined by PJM after-the-fact, resulting from third-party supply of generator station power requirements.

EDC ability to submit loads via Power Meter is subject to a reporting window that includes the current month and three previous months. For example, in April, values for April, March, February, and January can be freely edited. For updates to months older than three full months prior, the participant must have PJM make the submission on their behalf. PJM may be contacted at mrkt\_settlement\_ops@pjm.com to arrange for assistance.

Failure to report data to PJM in a timely and complete manner will subject responsible parties to Data Submission Charges, as outlined in Schedule 13 of the Reliability Assurance Agreement and the PJM West Reliability Assurance Agreement.

### EDC/ CSP Actions:

- Enter Hourly Load Data PJM EDCs submit aggregate hourly load values into Power Meter, as required. CSPs provide resource-specific settlements data to quantify Load Management impacts into the eLRS application. (See Attachment A).
- *Edit the Data as necessary* All hourly load value changes for a given month must be entered and edited by the 10th of the following month.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Section 2: PJM Hourly Load Data

 Notify <u>the-PJMOI</u> of All Changes — Without this notification, PJM can only determine that changes have been made but cannot readily identify specific changes which were made.

### **PJM Actions:**

- Allocate Extra High Voltage Losses: 500kV losses in the PJM Mid-Atlantic region are calculated as the total 500kV system energy injections minus withdrawals. Hourly 500kV losses are allocated to each PJM Mid-Atlantic EDC with revenue metered tie flows reported to Power Meter, in proportion to their real-time load ratio share.
- Distribute Reports: By the 10th of each month, PJM makes reports of load data from the previous month available to the EDCs. These data include a summary Daily Load Report for each day of the month, showing daily peak loads and the monthly energy total for each LSE and for the PJM RTO. A monthly summary report also is provided.
- Post Zonal Data: PJM will publish zonal load data in an electronic format on a monthly basis.
- Data Usage: PJM uses the hourly load data for operational analysis, for calculating seasonal load factors, developing weather normalization curves, for allocating the PJM weather normalized seasonal peaks, and for preparing various charts and tables in the PJM Load Forecast Report, and for reporting to regulatory and other authorities.



# Section 3: PJM Load Forecast Model

Welcome to the *PJM Load Forecast Model* section of the *PJM Manual for Load Forecasting and Analysis*. In this section you will find the following information:

- An overview of the PJM Load Forecast Model (see "Forecast Model Overview").
- A description of the methodology used to produce the PJM forecast (see "Development of the Forecast").
- A description of the forecast review and approval process (see "Review and Approval the Forecast").

# **3.1 Forecast Model Overview**

The PJM Load Forecast Model produces 15-year monthly forecasts of unrestricted peaks assuming a range of weather conditions for each PJM zone, lo<u>cational</u> deliverability area (<u>LDA</u>) and the RTO. The model uses <u>trends in equipment and appliance usage</u>, anticipated economic growth and historical weather patterns to estimate growth in peak load and energy use. It is used to set the peak loads for capacity obligations, for reliability studies, and to support the Regional Transmission Expansion Plan. Net energy forecasts are used in reporting requirements of FERC and NERC, and for market efficiency studies. The forecast is produced by PJM and released prior to each Planning Period, typically in January.

# **3.2 Development of the Forecast**

The PJM Load Forecast employs econometric multiple regression models to estimate daily peak load for each PJM zone (the non-coincident peak), the zone's contribution to the daily RTO peak (the coincident peak), and monthly net energy for load. Definitions of each model variable are presented in Exhibit 1. The variables included are:

### Dependent Variable - Load:

For the non-coincident models, zonal-<u>H</u>hourly metered load data are supplemented with estimated load drops (as outlined in Attachment A) to obtain unrestricted hourly loads. For the non-coincident models, the maximum value for each day is used in the regressions. For the coincident models, the zone's contribution to the daily RTO/<u>LDA</u> unrestricted peak load is used in the regressions. For the net energy models, the sum of each day's hourly loads is used in the regressions.

### Calendar Effects:

Days of the week, month of the year, holiday, and Daylight Saving Time impacts are included in the model using binary variables. Holiday seasonal lighting load is reflected using a trend variable. <u>Minutes of daylight are also included</u>.



#### Weather Data:

Weather is included in the models using different variables for heating, cooling and shoulder seasons. <u>Weather variables are specified as splines over defined ranges</u>. For the heating season (December, January and February), the Winter Weather Parameter is defined as:

If WIND > 10 mph, WWP = DB - (0.5 \* (WIND - 10))If WIND  $\leq 10$  mph, WWP = DB

Where: WIND = Wind velocity, in miles per hour;

WWP = Wind speed adjusted dry bulb temperature;

 $DB = Dry \ bulb \ temperature \ (^{\circ}F).$ 

For the cooling season (<u>May.</u> June, July, August, and September), Temperature-Humidity Index (THI) is used as the weather variable:

If  $DB \ge 58$ ,

THI = DB - 0.55 \* (1 - HUM) \* (DB - 58)

If DB < 58,

THI = DB

Where: THI = Temperature humidity index;

 $DB = Dry \ bulb \ temperature \ (^{\circ}F);$ 

HUM = Relative Humidity (where 100% = 1).

For shoulder months (March, April, May, October and November), a combination of windadjusted temperature and temperature-humidity indexthe average daily dry bulb temperature serves as the weather variable.

PJM © 2015 Revision 287, Effective Date: 03/26/201512/01/2015



Additionally, measures of heating and cooling degree days are included. These readings are divided into separate morning, afternoon, evening, and night effects, as well as weekends. They are also lagged over three days, using the current and previous day's weather. Weather data for each PJM zone are calculated according to the mapping presented in Exhibit 2.

#### **Economic Drivers:**

Measures of economic and demographic activity are included in the forecast models, representing total U.S., state, or metropolitan areas, depending upon their predictive value. Economic drivers for states and metropolitan areas are assigned to each PJM zone according to the mapping presented in Exhibit 3. Models for each PJM zone share the same general specification.

### End-Use Trends:

Measures of the stock and efficiency of various electrical equipment and appliances used in residential and commercial settings are included in the forecast models, grouped by heating, cooling, and other. End-use variables for each PJM zone are applied by Census Division, as presented in Exhibit 3. End-use variables are weighted by the Residential and Commercial sales of each zone, per FERC Form 1 filings.

#### Load Adjustments:

In cases where a zone has experienced or is anticipated to experience a significant load change that may not be captured in the load forecast, PJM may elect to apply a load forecast in one of two ways: 1) for identified changes that have not yet occurred, by an explicit adjustment to the modeled forecast; and 2) for changes that have already occurred, by the introduction of a binary variable into the affected zone's model specification.

In cases where the load change has not yet occurred, PJM will base any adjustment on information received from EDC load forecasters in response to PJM's annual request for details on large load changes that are known to the EDC. PJM will handle these requests on a case-by-case basis and perform (or have performed) whatever analysis is required to establish the degree of certainty and magnitude of the load change. Attachment C provides load forecast adjustment guidelines.

In cases where a zone has experienced a large, sudden shift in load (or following the use of a manual load adjustment in a prior forecast), a load adjustment dummy (binary) variable may be added to the zone's model specification. The resulting model coefficient must satisfy the following criteria:

- Be explained by an identifiable occurrence (such as the migration of load from another service territory, factory shutdown, or a price shock);
- · Be statistically significant;
- Have a sign in the expected direction;
- Have a magnitude that is consistent with the expected load shift;



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Section 3: PJM Load Forecast Model

- Have a magnitude, relative to the zone's metered peak, large enough to make a discernible difference in the forecast; and
- Make an appreciable improvement to model fit statistics.



#### Non-Coincident Base and 90/10 Scenarios

For each PJM zone, a distribution of non-coincident peak (NCP) forecasts is produced using a Monte Carlo simulation process. Monte Carlo simulation is useful for simulating a phenomenon (in this case, electrical load) with significant uncertainty with regard to one or more of its driving influences (in this case, the weather conditions that will occur in the future). Using the Monte Carlo approach, load forecasts are developed for each zone using the actual weather patterns that were observed in that zone over many years. The simulation process produces a distribution of monthly forecast results by selecting the 12 monthly peak values per forecast year for each weather scenario. For each year, by weather scenario, the maximum daily NCP load for a zone over each season is found. For each zone and year, a distribution of zonal NCP by weather scenario is developed. From this distribution, the median values are used to shape the monthly profile within each season.

The median result is used as the base (50/50) forecast; the values at the 10th percentile and 90th percentile are assigned to the 90/10 weather bands.

#### **RTO and Coincident Forecasts**

To obtain the RTO/<u>LDA</u> peak forecast, the solution for each of the zonal coincident peak (CP) models are summed by day and weather scenario to obtain the RTO/<u>LDA</u> peak for the day. By weather scenario, the maximum daily RTO/<u>LDA</u> value for the season is found. For the RTO/<u>LDA</u>, a distribution of the seasonal RTO/<u>LDA</u> peak vs. weather scenario is developed. From this distribution, the median result is used as the base (50/50) forecast; the values at the 10th percentile and 90th percentile are assigned to the 90/10 weather bands.

To determine the final zonal RTO/LDA -coincident peak (CP) forecasts, a methodology similar to the process for deriving zonal NCPs is applied. By weather scenario, the maximum daily CP load for a zone over the summer season is found. For each zone a distribution of zonal CP vs. weather scenario is developed. From this distribution the median value is selected. The median zonal CPs are summed and this sum is then used to apportion the forecasted RTO/LDA peak to produce the final zonal CP forecasts.

#### **Net Energy for Load Forecasts**

For each PJM zone, a distribution of forecasts is produced using a Monte Carlo simulation process. The weather distributions are developed using observed historical weather data. The simulation process produces a distribution of monthly forecast results by summing the daily values per forecast year for each weather scenario.

#### Load Management, Energy Efficiency, Price Responsive Demand and Behind-the-Meter Generation

PJM incorporates assumptions of load management, energy efficiency, price responsive demand and behind-the-meter generation to supplement the base, unrestricted forecast.

For Demand Resources (DR), forecasted values for each zone are computed based on the following procedure. The forecast is based on the PJM final summer season Committed DR



amount, where the Committed DR means all DR that has committed through RPM, Base Residual Auction and all Incremental Auctions, or a Fixed Resource Requirement plan.

- Compute the final amount of Committed DR for each of the most recent three Delivery Years. Express the Committed DR amount as a percentage of the zone's 50/50 forecast summer peak from the January Load Forecast Report immediately preceding the respective Delivery Year.
- 2. Compute the most recent three year average Committed DR percentage for each zone.
- 3. The DR forecast for each zone shall be equal to the zone's 50/50 forecast summer peak multiplied by the result from Step 2.

The forecasted impact of approved Energy Efficiency programs equals the amount cleared in RPM auctions, and represents accelerated efficiency increases that would not otherwise occur, or would occur at a later time, without the EE program.

The impact of price responsive demand equals the amount subscribed through the RPM process. The amount subscribed for the last RPM auction year is held constant for the remainder of the forecast.

[Note: More information on behind-the-meter generation can be found in the Behind-the-Meter Generation Business Rules in the PJM Manual for Generator Operational Requirements (M-14D) posted on PJM.com.]

# 3.3 Non-Zone Peak Forecast

For use in the Reliability Pricing Model (RPM), PJM staff develops summer peak forecasts of the recognized non-zone loads. These forecasts are produced separately from the PJM Load Forecast Model, and utilize methods appropriate for each situation. Non-zone forecasted loads are added to the associated PJM zone for RPM purposes only.

# 3.4 Review of the Forecast

The PJM Load Forecast is reviewed by the Load Analysis Subcommittee and the Planning Committee.

A member of the Planning Committee may submit an appeal (detailing the issue and outlining a solution) for a review of part or all of the forecast, which will be forwarded by the Chair of the Planning Committee to PJM, upon a vote of the Committee.

- - Formatted: Space After: 6 pt



# Calendar Data

	<u>D</u>	ay of week	
<b>.</b>	Type/ <mark>or</mark>	<	<b>Formatted:</b> Font: 11 pt
Variable Name	Formula	Description	Formatted: Font: 11 pt
Monday	Binary	Day of the Week	
Tuesday	Binary	Day of the Week	
Wednesday	Binary	Day of the Week	
Thursday	Binary	Day of the Week	
Friday	Binary	Day of the Week	
Saturday	Binary	Day of the Week	
·		Holiday	Formatted: Font: 8 pt
MartinLutherKingDay	Fuzzy	MLK Day Holiday	
PresidentsDay	Fuzzy	President's Day Holiday	
GoodFriday	Binary	Good Friday Religious Holiday	
MemorialDay	Fuzzy	Memorial Day Holiday	
July4th	Fuzzy	Independence Day and surrounding days	
LaborDay	Fuzzy	Labor Day Holiday	
Thanksgiving	Binary	Thanksgiving Holiday	
FridayAfterThanksgiving	Fuzzy	Friday After Thanksgiving Holiday	
XMasWkB4	Fuzzy	Week Before Christmas	
ChristmasEve	Fuzzy	Christmas Eve (value depends on day of week)	
ChristmasDay	Binary	Christmas Day	
XMasWk	Fuzzy	Week after Christmas Holiday	
NewYearsEve	Fuzzy	New Years Eve(value depends on day of week)	
NewYearsDay	Binary	New Years Day Holiday	
XMasLights	Trend	Christmas Lights/Retail Operations Trend	
	Tiena	onnatinus Eightar Cetali Operationa mena	Formatted: Font: 8 pt
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Month	
January	Binary	Month of the Year	
February	Binary	Month of the Year	
March	Binary	Month of the Year	
April	Binary	Month of the Year	
Мау	Binary	Month of the Year	
June	Binary	Month of the Year	
July	Binary	Month of the Year	
August	Binary	Month of the Year	
September	Binary	Month of the Year	
October	Binary	Month of the Year	
November	Binary	Month of the Year	
·		Other	<b>Formatted:</b> Font: 8 pt
DI Sov EDA2005	Dinon	Other	
DLSav EPA2005	Binary	Daylight Saving Time conversion	

<u>Notes:</u> Binary – A variable which has a value of 1 for the indicated characteristic, otherwise the value is 0. Fuzzy – A variable which has a conditional value for the indicated characteristic, otherwise the value is 0.



Trend - A variable which has a value with increasing then decreasing value for the indicated characteristic, otherwise the value is 0.

# Weather Data

	Type or	
Variable Name	Formula	<u>Description</u>
NightHDD		<ul> <li>Night heating degree days</li> </ul>
MornHDD	<u>— Max(55 - MornWWP<sup>2</sup>,0)</u>	Morning heating degree days
AfterHDD	<u> Max(62 - AftWWP<sup>3</sup>,0)</u>	Afternoon heating degree days
EvenHDD	Max(55 EvenWWP <sup>4</sup> ,0)	Evening heating degree days
NightCDD	Max(NightTHI <sup>5</sup> -60,0)	Night cooling degree days
MornCDD	<u>Max(MornTHI<sup>6</sup> - 65,0)</u>	Morning cooling degree days
AfterCDD	<u> </u>	Afternoon cooling degree days
EvenCDD	Max(EvenTHI <sup>8</sup> - 65,0)	Evening time cooling degree days
WkEndCDD		Weekend cooling degree days
WkEndHDD		Weekend heating degree days
ColdWind	── <del>──Min(Max(60 - AftTHI<sup>Z7</sup>,0)</del> ──	Wind speed index on cold days
	<u>/20,1) * AvgWind<sup>12</sup></u>	
HotWind	<u>— Min(Max(AftTHI<sup>77</sup> - 60,0)</u>	Wind speed index on hot days
	<u>/15,1) * AvgWind<sup>1212</sup></u>	
LagHDD	IF (month ≤ 5 or month ≥ 1	0) Lagged heating degree days
-	THEN	1) + .25 * Lag(HDD, 2)
	ELSE 0	
LagCDD	$- IF (month \ge 6 & month \le 9)$	Lagged cooling degree days
	THEN .75 * Lag(CDD <sup>1010</sup> , -	1)+.25*Lag(CDD,2)
	ELSE 0	
FuzzyHum	Min(Max(AvgTmp <sup>13</sup> -60,0)	/ <del>15,1) * AvgHum<sup>+4</sup></del>
	Humidity index, triggered a	t 60 degrees

Intermediate Calculations: Average of WWP for hours ending 1 through 6 Average of WWP for hours ending 7 through 12 1 NightWWP 2-MornWWP 3 AftWWP Average of WWP for hours ending 13 through 18 4-EvenWWP Average of WWP for hours ending 19 through 24 5 NightTHI 6 MornTHI Average of THI for hours ending 1 through 6 Average of THI for hours ending 7 through 12 Average of THI for hours ending 13 through 18 7-AftTHI 8 EvenTHI Average of THI for hours ending 19 through 24 9 WkEnd Weekend Binary 10 CDD Max(AvgTmp-65,0) Cooling degree days 11 HDD Max(60 - AvgTmp,0) Heating degree days

12 AvgWind 24 hour average wind speed

PJM © 2015

Revision 287, Effective Date: -03/26/2015 12/01/2015



 13 AvgTmp
 24 hour average temperature (Fahrenheit)

 14 AvgHum
 24 hour average humidity (percent)

17



# End-Use/Seasonal Weather Variables

S <u>1_</u> THI	IF (month ≥ <u>56</u> & month ≤ 9) For cooling months, use MaxTH AND MaxTHI ≤ Spline2 Threshold	ŀ	
	THEN MaxTHI <sup>15</sup>	<b>.</b>	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", First line: 0.5"
	ELSE 0		
Cool S2 THI	IF (month $\geq$ 5 & month $\leq$ 9)		
	AND Spline2 Threshold < MaxTHI < Spline3 Threshold		
	THEN Cool * (MaxTHI – Spline2 Threshold)		
	ELSE 0		
Cool S3 THI	IF (month $\geq$ 5 & month $\leq$ 9)		
	AND Spline3 Threshold < MaxTHI ≤ Spline4 Threshold		
	THEN Cool * (MaxTHI – Spline3 Threshold)		
	ELSE 0		
Cool_S4_THI	$IF (month \ge 5 \& month \le 9)$		
	AND MaxTHI > Spline4 Threshold		
	THEN Cool * (MaxTHI – Spline4 Threshold)		
	ELSE 0		
	uipment Index * (R/(R+C)) * (Commercial Equipment Index * (C/(R+C))		Formatted: Font: 10 pt
Where,	<b>D</b> -Decidential contan alectricity color		Formatted: Left
	R=Residential sector electricity sales		
Desidenti	<u>C=Commercial sector electricity sales</u>		
Residentia	al Equipment Index = $\sum_{u=1-n, v=1998-vr} (Saturation_{u,v}/Efficiency_{u,v})/$		
Commoroi	(Saturation <sub>u,1998</sub> /Efficiency <sub>u,1998</sub> )		
<u>Commerci</u>	al Equipment Index = $\overline{\Sigma_{u=1-n, y=1998-yr}}$ (Saturation <sub>u,y</sub> /Efficiency <sub>u,y</sub> )/		
	(Saturation <sub>u,1998</sub> /Efficiency <sub>u,1998</sub> )		
	<u>U= Equipment type</u>		
	<u>Y=year</u>		

Intermediate Calculations: 15 MaxTHI Maximum THI over 24 hours

18



	Section 3: PJM Load Forecast Mod	
<u>Heat_S1</u> ₩_WWP MinWWP	IF (month ≤ 2 or month = 12) For heating months, use	
10111100001	AND WWP HR19 ≥ Spline2 Threshold	
	THEN Heat * MinWWP HR19 <sup>16</sup>	
	ELSE 0	
Heat S2 WWP	$\frac{ F(month \leq 2 \text{ or month} = 12)}{ AND   AN$	
	AND Spline3 Threshold ≤ WWP_HR19 < Spline2 Threshold	
	THEN Heat * (WWP_HR19 - Spline2 Threshold)	
	ELSE 0 $F(x) = 10^{-1}$	
Heat_S3_WWP	IF (month ≤ 2 or month = 12) AND Spline4 Threshold ≤ WWP_HR19 < Spline3 Threshold	
	<u>THEN Heat * (WWP_HR19 – Spline3 Threshold)</u> ELSE 0	
	$\frac{ E  \le 0}{ F }$ IF (month ≤ 2 or month = 12)	
Heat_S4_WWP	AND WWP HR19 < Spline4 Threshold	
	THEN Heat * (WWP_HR19 – Spline4 Threshold)	
	ELSE 0	
l		
Heat = (Residentia	al Equipment Index * (R/(R+C)) * (Commercial Equipment Index * (C/(R+C))	<b>Formatted:</b> Font: 10 pt
		· 2 (· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SH_AvgTmp	IF (month = 3, 4, 5, 10 or 11) For shoulder months, use AvgTemp	
	THEN AvgTmp <sup>1313</sup>	<b>Formatted:</b> Endnote Reference
	ELSE 0	
Heat Shldr 50LT	IF (month = 3 or month = 4 or month = 10 or month = 11) THEN	
	IF (WWP_HR19 < 50) THEN	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", First line: 0.5"
	Heat * WWP_HR19	
	ELSE 0	
Shldr BASE	IF (month = 3 or month = 4 or month = 10 or month = 11) THEN	
	IF (WWP_HR19 >= 50 and WWP_HR19 <= 70) THEN	
	Heat * WWP_HR19 - 50	
	ELSE 0	
		Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", First line: 0.5"
Cool_Shldr_THI	IF (month = 3 or month = 4 or month = 10 or month = 11) THEN	
	IF (Heat Shidr 50LT = 0 and Shidr BASE = 0) THEN	
	Cool * MaxTHI	
	ELSE 0	

16 MinWWP\_HR19 Minimum-WWP for hour ending 19:00ever 24 hours

Revision 2<u>87</u>, Effective Date: 03/26/2015 12/01/2015





	End-Use/Econor	nic/Weather Data	
Variable Name	Formula	Description	<b>Formatted</b> : Underline
Cool IN2 CDD	Cool*DailyEconIndex*C	DD Cooling equipment index inter	racted with
	-	degree days and econ	nomic index Formatted: Indent: Left: 3.5", First line: 0.5"
Cool_IN2_LAG1		CDD_LAG <sup>17</sup> Cooling equipment ind	
	Interacted	with lagged degree days and econ	Tormatted: Right, Indent: Left: 0", Hanging:
Heat_IN2_HDD	Heat *DailyEconIndex *	HDD Heating equipment index inter degree days and economic in	
Heat IN2 LAG1	HDD Heat*DailyEconl	ndex*HDD_LAG <sup>18</sup> _Heating equipn	nent index
	interacted	with lagged degree days and econ	<pre>nomic index* Formatted: Right, Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 1"</pre>
	End-Use/Ec	onomic Data	
Other_IN2	Other * DailyEconIndex	Other equipment index inte	eracted with Formatted: Right
			nomic index
<u> Other = (Re</u> :		/(R+C)) * (Commercial Equipment	Index *
	<u>(C/(F</u>	<u>(+C))</u>	
			Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", First line: 0.5"

 17 CDD
 LAG
 Cooling degree days from prior day

 18 HDD\_LAG
 Heating degree days from prior day



# Economic Data

Variable Name DailyEconIndex Description Economic index quarterly values converted to daily

DaliyLC	Unindex	L		ex quarterly values converted to dail	iy		
Whe C NMEmp GDP is	+ ComWt x ( m/GMPbase). <sup>0</sup> + IndWt x (C re: ResWt is th Plnc is t ComWt is the co is the number of the value of to s the value of to	(NMEmpy,m/NME) <sup>16</sup> x (Popy,m/Popba GDPy,m/GDPbase). He residential sector He residential sector fl Pop is the popu he value of total real mmercial sector sal of non-manufacturin tal real gross dome: otal real gross metro ustrial sector sales p	<i>mpbase</i> ) <sup>0.47</sup> x <i>ise</i> ) <sup>0.17</sup> <i>autor</i> x ( <i>GMP</i> y sales percent households in lation in year l personal increase g employees is stic product in popolitan produ	age to total zonal electric sales in year ( year (y) and month (m); (y) and month (m); ome in year (y) and month (m); e to total zonal electric sales in year (y); n the metro area(s) in year (y) and mont the United States in year (y) and month ct in the metro area(s) in year (y) and m total zonal electric sales in year (y);	y); th (m); r (m);		
		Faana	mic/Weat	har Data			
Econ_H	IDD	HDD HDD	conIndex	Heating Degree Days intera	acted	Formatted: Endnote Reference	
Econ C	DD	CDD <sup>1010</sup> * DailyE	conIndex	Cooling Degree Days intera	acted	Formatted: Endnote Reference	
_		·		with economic index			
DLOU.	EPA2005 Daylight >	Binary	<u>Solar Da</u> ad Adjust	Daylight Savings Time conversion Minutes of daylight at local airport ment Adjustment for year 20yy forward			
		Exhibit 1:	Model Variab	le Definitions			



	Weather		
Zone	Station	Airport Name	Weight
AE	ACY	Atlantic City International	1
<u>AEP</u>	<u>CAK</u>	Akron-Canton Regional Airport	<u>0.151</u>
AEP	CMH	Columbus Port Columbus International	0. <del>5<u>234</u></del>
<u>AEP</u>	<u>CRW</u>	Charleston Yeager Airport	<u>0.226</u>
AEP	FWA	Fort Wayne International Airport	0.2 <mark>27</mark>
AEP	ROA	Roanoke Regional Airport	0. <u>3162</u>
APS	IAD	Washington Dulles	0.3
APS	PIT	Pittsburgh International	0.7
ATSI	CAK	Akron-Canton Regional Airport	0.465
ATSI	CLE	Cleveland Hopkins Airport	0.3
ATSI	TOL	Toledo Express Airport	0.15
ATSI	PIT	Pittsburgh International Airport	0.085
BGE	BWI	Baltimore Washington International	1
COMED	ORD	Chicago O'Hare International	1
DAY	DAY	Cox-Dayton International	1
DEOK	CVG	Cincinnati Northern KY Airport	1
DLCO	PIT	Pittsburgh International	1
DOM	IAD	Washington Dulles	0.3333
DOM	ORF	Norfolk International	0.3333
DOM	RIC	Richmond International	0.3334
DPL	ILG	Wilmington New Castle County Airport	0.7
DPL	WAL	Wallops Island Flight Center	0.3
EKPC	CVG	Cincinnati Northern KY Airport	0.25
EKPC	LEX	Blue Grass Airport	0.491
<u>EKPC</u>	<u>SDF</u>	Louisville International Airport	0.26
JCPL	EWR	Newark International	0.75
JCPL	ACY	Atlantic City International	0.25
METED	PHL	Philadelphia International	0.5
METED	ABE	Allentown Lehigh Valley International	0.5
PECO	PHL	Philadelphia International	1
PENLC	ERI	Erie International	0.5
PENLC	IPT	Williamsport Regional	0.5
PEPCO	DCA	Washington Reagan National	1
PL	ABE	Allentown Lehigh Valley International	0.6 <u>25</u>
<u>PL</u>	AVP	Wilkes-Barre Scranton International	0.25
PL	IPT	Williamsport Regional	0.4 <u>25</u>
<u>PL</u>	MDT	Harrisburg International	0.25
PS	EWR	Newark International	1
RECO	EWR	Newark International	1
UGI	AVP	Wilkes-Barre Scranton International	1
		hit 2: Appignment of Masther Stations to Zanas	

Exhibit 2: Assignment of Weather Stations to Zones

PJM © 2015 Revision 2<u>8</u>7, Effective Date: <u>-03/26/2015</u>12/01/2015





Zone	State(s)	Metro Area Name(s)	Census Division Formatted Table
AE	NJ	Atlantic City- <u>Hammonton</u> NJ, <u>Ocean City NJ</u> , Vineland- Bridgeton NJ	Middle Atlantic
AEP	oh, wv, Va, in	Elkhart-Goshen IN, Fort Wayne IN, <u>Muncie IN</u> , South Bend- <u>Mishawaka</u> IN- <u>MI</u> , <u>Niles-Benton Harbor MI</u> , Canton- <u>Massillon</u> OH, Columbus OH, <u>Lima OH</u> , Kingsport- <u>Bristol</u> TN, <u>Blacksburg-Christiansburg- Radford, VA</u> , Lynchburg VA, Roanoke VA, <u>Beckley</u> , <u>WV</u> , Charleston WV, Huntington- <u>Ashland</u> WV- <u>KY-OH</u> , Weirton-Steubenville WV-OH	East North Central
APS	PA, OH, WV	Cumberland MD-WV, Hagerstown-Martinsburg MD- WV, Chambersburg-Waynesboro PA, State College PA, Winchester VA-WV, Morgantown WV, Parkersburg-Vienna WV	South Atlantic
ATSI	PA, OH	Akron OH, Cleveland- <u>Elyria</u> OH, Mansfield OH, Springfield OH, Toledo OH, Youngstown <u>-Warren-</u> <u>Boardman</u> OH <u>-PA</u> , Pittsburgh PA	East North Central
BGE	MD	Baltimore-Columbia-Towson MD	South Atlantic
COMED	IL	Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights IL, Elgin IL, Kankakee IL, Lake County-Kenosha County IL-WI, Rockford IL	East North Central
DAY	ОН	Dayton OH	East North Central
DEOK	ОН	Cincinnati OH <u>-KY-IN</u>	East North Central
DLCO	PA	Pittsburgh PA	Middle Atlantic
DOM	VA	<u>Charlottesville VA, Harrisonburg VA,</u> Richmond VA, <u>Roanoke VA, Staunton-Waynesboro VA,</u> Virginia Beach- <u>Norfolk-Newport News</u> VA, <del>Roanoke VA</del>	South Atlantic
DPL	DE	Dover DE, Wilmington DE <u>-MD-NJ</u> , Salisbury MD <u>-DE</u>	South Atlantic
EKPC	KY	Cincinnati OH <u>-KY-IN</u> , Louisville/ <u>Jefferson County</u> KY- <u>IN</u> , Elizabethtown- <u>Fort Knox</u> KY, Bowling Green KY, Lexington- <u>Fayette</u> KY, <u>Huntington</u> -Ashland <u>WV-KY-OH</u>	East South Central
JCPL	NJ	Camden NJ, Edison <u>Newark</u> NJ-PA, Trenton NJ	Middle Atlantic
METED	PA	Allentown_/Bethlehem_/Easton PA <u>-NJ</u> , <u>East</u> <u>Stroudsburg PA, Gettysburg PA, Lebanon PA</u> , Reading PA, York-Hanover PA, <u>Lebanon PA</u>	Middle Atlantic
PECO	PA	Montgomery County-Bucks County-Chester County PA, Philadelphia PA	Middle Atlantic
PENLC	PA	Altoona PA, Erie PA, Altoona PA, Johnstown PA	Middle Atlantic
PEPCO	MD	Washington D.C., California-Lexington Park MD	South Atlantic
PL	PA	Allentown_/Bethlehem_/Easton PA, <u>Bloomsburg</u> - Berwick PA, East Stroudsburg PA, Harrisburg-Carlisle PA, Lancaster PA, ScrantonWilkes-Barre- <u>Hazleton</u> PA, Harrisburg PA, Lancaster PA, Williamsport PA	<u>Middle Atlantic</u>

PJM © 2015

Revision 2<u>87</u>, Effective Date: 03/26/2015 12/01/2015



PS	NJ	Camden NJ <u>, Newark NJ-PA</u> , <del>Edison NJ,</del> Trenton NJ <del>,</del> <del>Newark NJ</del>	Middle Atlantic		
RECO	NJ	Newark NJ <u>-PA</u>	Middle Atlantic		
UGI	PA	ScrantonWilkes-Barre-Hazleton PA	Middle Atlantic		
Exhibit 3: Assignment of Metropolitan Areas, Census Divisions and States to Zones					



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Section 4: Weather Normalization and Coincident Peaks

## **Section 4: Weather Normalization and Coincident Peaks**

Welcome to the Weather Normalization and Coincident Peaks section of the PJM Manual for Load Forecasting and Analysis. In this section you will find the following information:

- An overview of the weather normalization process (see "Weather Normalization Overview").
- A description of the weather normalization procedure (see "Weather Normalization Procedure").
- A description of the identification and calculation of PJM unrestricted coincident peaks (see "Peak Load Allocation (5CP)").

# 4.1 Weather Normalization Overview

PJM performs load studies on summer and winter loads, <u>for both coincident and non-</u> <u>coincident peaks</u>, according to the procedures described below. The Load Analysis Subcommittee reviews the normalizations, and either adopts the resulting values, or Members may challenge the results as anomalous. In the latter situation, alternate analysis will be conducted, until a consensus is reached. After the review process is completed, the results are presented to the Planning Committee. The weather normalized (W/N) <u>coincident</u> peaks are then used by EDCs to determine capacity peak load shares for wholesale and retail customers. <u>W/N non-coincident peaks are provided by PJM for use by stakeholders in</u> reviewing the PJM load forecast.

# **4.2 Weather Normalization Procedure**

The standard PJM weather normalization procedure consists of utilizing the PJM Load Forecast Model as described in Section 3 above. After each season, each zonal/RTO NCP and CP model is re-estimated, adding the most recent historical load, weather, and economic data. Then, the Monte Carlo process is run, including historical weather through the just completed season. From the resulting distribution of results, the median value is selected as the weather normalized seasonal peak. These peaks are then adjusted, as described above in Section 3, to align the zones with the RTO. For non-coincident weathernormalized seasonal peaks, daily zonal peak loads on non-holiday weekdays for a threeyear period (the study year and two prior years) are regressed against a seasonal weather variable. The seasonal weather variables are those used in the load forecast model (as described in Section 3.2). Regressions only include days in the heating/cooling range (summer > 74 WTHI, winter < 45 WWP). A binary adjustment is applied for each of the two earlier years, to allow for load growth. The resulting regression equation is solved at each zone's weather standard, which is the average of the extreme seasonal weather variable values on non-holiday weekdays for a period consistent with the load forecast.

To determine coincident zonal weather-normalized seasonal peaks, the results of the noncoincident process described above are adjusted by each zone's average annual diversity to



Manual 19: Load Data System Section 4: Weather Normalization and Coincident Peaks

the PJM RTO seasonal peak over available history. The zonal values are summed to determine the PJM RTO seasonal weather-normalized peak.

# 4.3 Normalized Peak Appeal Process

Results of the weather normalization analysis will be reviewed by LAS members. Members will have an opportunity at that point to trigger the following appeal process if, in their judgment, the results of the standard method described above appear inaccurate:

- Any LAS member may request review of any zone's normalized peak, though the primary responsibility resides with the member from the zone in question.
- A request for review will be followed by further analysis, conducted by PJM and LAS members, to identify and quantify the inaccuracy in the weather-normalization of the zone.
- LAS will conduct peer review of all supplemental analysis, and seek a (supermajority) consensus before the issue is presented to the Planning Committee.
- If an adjustment needs to be made to a zonal W/N peak, LAS will forward the proposed zonal W/N peak to the Planning Committee, along with the results of the standard analysis.

The appeals process is designed to address the rare significant miscalculation of a zone's load, and is not intended as a method to "fine tune" the results of the standard method.

### EDC/ CSP Actions:

- Enter hourly load data into Power Meter as described in Section 2 of this manual.
- Provide resource-specific settlements data to quantify Load Management impacts into the eLRS application
- Submit voltage reduction and loss of Load Drop Estimates as described in Attachment A of this manual.
- Participate in review of seasonal load studies, through the Load Analysis Subcommittee.

## PJM Actions:

- Obtain weather observations
- Produce voltage reduction load drop estimates, as described in Attachment A of this manual.
- Weather-normalize the zonal RTO-coincident winter and summer peak loads.
   Provide recommendation to PJM Planning Committee, by its October meeting, to
   accept the results of the standard normalization procedure, or to use the alternate
   methodology.
- If necessary, produce analysis to establish alternate estimate of zonal W/N CP Load.



Manual 19: Load Data System Section 4: Weather Normalization and Coincident Peaks

# 4.43 Peak Load Allocation (5CP)

Zonal weather-normalized RTO-coincident summer peak loads are allocated to the wholesale and retail customers in the zones using EDC-specific methodologies that typically employ the customer's shares of RTO actual peaks. The resulting Peak Load Contributions are then used in the determination of capacity obligations.

PJM establishes and publishes information, referred to as the 5CP, to aid EDCs in the calculation of Peak Load Contributions (also known as "tickets"). For each summer:

- Hourly metered load and load drop estimate data are gathered for the period June 1 through September 30
- RTO unrestricted loads are created by adding load drop estimates to metered load
- From the unrestricted values, the five highest non-holiday weekday RTO unrestricted daily peaks (5CP) are identified

5CP data are typically released in mid-October.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Attachment A: Load Drop Estimate Guidelines

# **Attachment A: Load Drop Estimate Guidelines**

#### General

Load Drop Estimates (also referred to as addbacks) are produced for three types of occurrences:

- Curtailment of load for customers registered in the PJM emergency or preemergency program either as a Load Management resource (Demand Resource) or an Emergency – Energy Only resource, or customers registered to meet a Price Responsive Demand (PRD) commitment for either the Reliability Pricing Model (RPM) or the FRR Alternative.
- 2. Voltage Reductions implemented by PJM or an EDC
- 3. Significant losses of load.

PJM is responsible for producing Load Management/Emergency/Pre-Emergency load drop estimates, from CSP and EDC input into the appropriate PJM system. EDCs are responsible for reporting the estimated impact of voltage reductions (optional) or significant losses of load on their systems.

PJM is responsible for producing PRD load drop estimates, from PRD Provider input into the appropriate PJM system. For purposes of 5CP identification, PRD Providers that registered price responsive demand to satisfy a PRD commitment for either RPM or FRR Alternative must provide PJM with meter data for a set of high load days to be identified by PJM by the end of each September. Meter data is entered at the site level; load drop estimates will be calculated at the registration level. Load drop estimates will only be applied for Maximum Emergency Generation hours as well as for any 5CP hours when there was no Maximum Emergency Generation event.

Load drop estimates are used to construct unrestricted loads used in the PJM Load Forecast Model, weather normalization of PJM seasonal peaks, and to calculate the unrestricted Peak Load Contributions used in formulating capacity obligations.

These rules also apply to Non-Retail Behind-the-Meter Generation as provided in Section G of Schedule 6 to the Reliability Assurance Agreement.

### Load Drop Estimates for Load Management Customers

The table below summarizes the requirements for producing load drop estimates for customers registered as a Demand Resource, or in the Emergency– Energy Only option, or as Economic load response, depending upon the cause of the load curtailment. Following the table are descriptions of the methods used by PJM to calculate load drop estimates for each load management type (Direct Load Control, Firm Service Level, and Guaranteed Load Drop).



Manual 19: Load Data System Attachment A: Load Drop Estimate Guidelines

Requirements for Production of Load Drop Estimates				
Reason for Load Drop		PJM-Initiated Emergency or Pre-Emergency or CSP-Initiated Test	Economic	EDC- or CSP-Initiated
Program Registration	Emergency/Pre- Emergency Full (DR) or Emergency/Pre- Emergency Capacity Only (DR)	Load Drop Estimates must be produced for any interruptions from June 1 through September 30.	Load Drop Estimates must be produced for any settled interruptions from June 1 through September 30.	No Load Drop Estimates required.
	Emergency Energy Only	Load Drop Estimates must be produced for any interruptions during Emergency/Pre- Emergency hours from June 1 through September 30.	No Load Drop Estimates required.	No Load Drop Estimates required.
	Economic	No Load Drop Estimates required.	No Load Drop Estimates required.	No Load Drop Estimates required.

Actual Emergency and Pre-Emergency Load Response and Economic Load Response load reductions for Load Management resources registered as Emergency Full or Emergency Capacity Only resources which occur from June 1 through September 30, will be added back for the purpose of calculating peak load for capacity for the following Delivery Year and consistent with the load response recognized for capacity compliance as set forth in the Manual.

### Non-Interval Metered Customers Including Direct Load Control

The nominated quantity (MW) of Load Management provided by non-interval metered customers \* Loss Factor will be the estimated load drop added back to the zone for a load management emergency, pre-emergency or test event. If the resource participates as an economic resource, then the hourly MW settled under the economic program will be used for the load drop that is added back to the zone.



Manual 19: Load Data System Attachment A: Load Drop Estimate Guidelines

#### **Contractually Interruptible**

The estimated load drop for Firm Service Level and Guaranteed Load Drop customers is calculated as follows <u>unless it is for DR Capacity Performance</u>, Base or Economic resource for non-summer period (October 1 through May 31 of following year). Non-summer capacity performance will be determined for such resources and time period based on the economic CBL as described in Manual 11, section 10.:

For Guaranteed Load Drop end-use customers, the lesser of (a) comparison load used to best represent what the load would have been if PJM did not declare a Load Management event or the CSP did not initiate a test as outlined in the PJM Manuals, minus the metered load ("Load") and then multiplied by the loss factor ("LF") or (b) the current Delivery Year peak load contribution ("PLC") minus the metered load multiplied by the loss factor ("LF"). A load reduction will only be recognized for capacity compliance if the metered load multiplied by the loss factor is less than the current Delivery Year peak load contribution. The calculation is represented by:

Minimum of {(comparison load - Load) \* LF, PLC - (Load \* LF)}

For Firm Service Level end-use customers the current Delivery Year peak load contribution ("PLC") minus the metered load ("Load") multiplied by the loss factor ("LF"). The calculation is represented by:

### PLC – (Load \* LF)

**Note:** When Generation interval meter data is provided to determine test or event compliance, and interval metering on load is available, the interval metered load data should be provided to ensure load drop is below the PLC. It is expected that interval load data will be available for all customers that have a PLC > 0.5 MW. If no interval meter load data exists, such Generation interval meter data multiplied by loss factor will be used as the estimated load drop.

### Event Compliance for Guaranteed Load Drop (GLD) Customers

For purposes of determining compliance with a PJM-initiated Load Management event or test for Guaranteed Load Drop customers, several options are available to estimate comparison loads. The method used should result in the best possible estimate of what load level would have occurred in the absence of an emergency, pre-emergency or test event.

The CSP will be responsible for supplying all necessary load data to PJM in order to calculate the load reduction for each registered end use customer. PJM will calculate the load drop amount unless otherwise indicated below or approved by PJM. The amount of load data required will depend on the GLD method selected where the minimum amount shall be 24 hours for one full calendar day.

Comparable Day: The customer's actual hourly loads on one of the prior 10 calendar days before the test or emergency or pre-emergency event day selected by the CSP which best represents what the load level would have been absent the emergency or pre-emergency or



Manual 19: Load Data System Attachment A: Load Drop Estimate Guidelines

test event. The CSP may request use of an alternative day for extenuating circumstances with supporting documentation that clarifies why the alternative day should be utilized. PJM must approve the use of any alternative day. CSP must provide usage data for all 10 days such that PJM may validate an appropriate day was selected.

Same Day (Before/After Event): The customer's average hourly integrated consumption for two full hours prior to notification of an emergency or pre-emergency event or prior to one full hour before a test and for two full hours after skipping first full hour after the event or test. This option is appropriate for high load factor customers with no weather sensitivity.

Customer Baseline: The Customer's estimated baseline used to calculate load drops for PJM economic demand resources as defined on the applicable PJM economic registration.

Regression Analysis: The customer's estimated hourly loads from a regression analysis of the customer's actual loads versus weather. This option is appropriate for customers with significant weather sensitivity. The CSP will perform the regression analysis and provide results including supporting information to PJM. The information should include all load and weather data and associated regression statistics used to estimate the load impact on the event or test day.

Generation: The hourly integrated output from a generator used to provide Guaranteed Load Drop. This method may only be utilized if the generation would not have otherwise been deployed on the emergency or pre-emergency event or test day and must comply with the provisions contained in the PJM Manuals.

## Load Drop Estimates for PRD Customers

Load Drop Estimates are applicable to price responsive demand registrations that are used to satisfy a PRD commitment for either RPM or FRR Alternative. Load Drop Estimates are not applicable to Energy Only PRD registrations.

For Maximum Emergency Generation hour or a 5CP hour without Maximum Emergency Generation:

Load Drop Estimate = Customer Expected Peak Load – (Metered Load \* EDC Loss Factor)

Where: Expected Peak Load = PLC \* Final Zonal Peak Load Forecast<sub>DY</sub> / Zonal Weather Normalized Peak<sub>DY-1</sub>;

PLC = Peak Load Contribution for the registration;

DY = Delivery Year

### **Missing Data**

If an end use customer meter malfunctions during a Load Management test, retest or emergency or pre-emergency event and the end use customer performed the required load



Manual 19: Load Data System Attachment A: Load Drop Estimate Guidelines

reduction activity and no interval meter data is available to use for purposes of measuring capacity compliance or to determine applicable energy settlements, then PJM may allow CSP one of the following two remedies, otherwise the end use customer will be considered to have taken no load reduction actions during such period:

- 1. CSP may provide supporting information to quantify the load reduction amount which includes an engineering analysis or meter data from a comparable site that reduced load based on the same actions during a comparable time, or;
- CSP may perform a separate test for the end use customer(s) to quantify the load reduction that will be used for the test, retest or event time period compliance and, as appropriate, energy settlement(s). The test will need to be performed at comparable time and conditions to when the test, retest or emergency or preemergency event occurred.

Remedies will only be considered if the CSP and associated metering entity followed Good Utility Practice as outlined in the OATT, no interval load data is available from the EDC, and the CSP can provide supporting information, such as building automation system logs, to verify the load reduction action was taken during the test, or retest or emergency or preemergency event when the meter malfunctioned. CSP must also provide evidence that the meter did malfunction.

PJM must approve any remedy and CSP must meet appropriate load data submission deadline.

### Voltage Reduction

Whenever a part of the PJM system experiences a voltage reduction, whether it is PJM- or locally initiated, the distribution companies involved are to estimate its impact on hourly load levels. The estimated impact of a 5% voltage reduction will be 1.7% of the load in the affected area at the time of the voltage reduction. Variances from this guideline are acceptable in cases where a thorough analysis was performed. In such cases, a written explanation of the estimate must accompany the reported values.

#### Loss of Load

Whenever a part of the PJM system experiences a loss of load event (beyond the level of nominal localized outages), the Distribution Company involved is to estimate its impact on hourly load levels. The method used to estimate the impact of the loss of load event will vary by the circumstances involved, but the outcome of the estimation should represent the best approximation of the actual hourly loads that would have occurred if the loss of load event had not occurred. A written explanation of the loss of load event and how its impact was estimated is to accompany the report.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Attachment B: Direct Load Control Load Research Guidelines

## Attachment B: Direct Load Control Load Research Guidelines

The intention of these guidelines is to ensure that the estimated per-participant impacts of Direct Load Control program reliably represent the amount of load shed, on average, for active program participants.

Curtailment Service Providers with Direct Load Control programs which employ a radio signal may elect to either submit a load research study supporting base per-participant impacts for their program, or utilize the base per-participant impacts contained in the "Deemed Savings Estimates for Legacy Air Conditioning and Water Heating Direct Load Control Programs in PJM Region" report

(http://www.pjm.com/~/media/documents/reports/20070406-deemed-savings-report-acheat.ashx). Providers utilizing other technology must submit a load research study. All Providers must submit switch operability studies once every five years.

#### **Requirements for Provider-Submitted Studies**

#### Study Design

DLC load research base per-participant impact studies will be designed to achieve a minimum accuracy of 90% Confidence with 20% error.

#### Study Detail

Load research studies submitted must present estimated per-participant impacts in a matrix which details average impacts on non-holiday weekdays by hour, for the hours ending 13:00 through 20:00 (PJM Eastern Region) or 8:00 through 21:00 (PJM Western Region), and by weather condition (over a range of local conditions under which it can reasonably be expected that the program will be implemented). Separate matrices must be estimated:

By program (and/or cycling scheme);

By PJM zone.

#### Switch Operability Rate

In addition to base per-participant impacts, studies submitted to PJM must also include the average switch operability rate, reflecting the percentage of all active switches which both receive the control signal and operate. The switch operability rate must be supplied with the original base impact study, and then updated every five years. Any Provider with a switch operability study older than five years will be given a switch operability rate of 50%. See below for full requirements for switch operability studies.



Manual 19: Load Data System Attachment B: Direct Load Control Load Research Guidelines

#### **Utilizing the Deemed Savings Estimates**

[Note: The "Deemed Savings Estimates" study report is available on the PJM.com website.]

#### Eligibility

Load Management Providers with Direct Load Control programs which employ a radio signal may elect to utilize the base per-participant impacts contained in the "Deemed Savings Estimates for Legacy Air Conditioning and Water Heating Direct Load Control Programs in PJM Region" report.

#### Base Impact Value

Base impacts for air conditioning programs will be established utilizing the aggregate values detailed in Appendix F of the Deemed Savings Estimates report. The Provider must supply the applicable duty cycle strategy (percentage of each hour the unit is interrupted) and an appropriate weather station or mix of weather stations. PJM will determine the WTHI standard value from average historical peak load weather conditions (coincident with the RTO peak). The Provider may opt to customize the base impact by supplying a research study which stratifies its program by A/C usage or connected A/C load. In this case, base impacts will be drawn from the aggregate results presented in Appendix G or H, as appropriate.

Base impacts for water heating programs will be established utilizing the aggregate values detailed in Appendix J. The Provider must supply an appropriate weather station or mix of weather stations. PJM will determine the WTHI standard value from average historical peak load weather conditions (coincident with the RTO peak)

EDCs with base impacts presented in the Deemed Savings report (BGE, JCPL, and PSEG) may elect to use those impacts.

#### Switch Operability Rate

- 1. A random sample of customers must be selected to test. The sample must meet the following requirements:
  - a. The study must be designed for a minimum accuracy of 90% confidence, 10% error.
  - b. The sample must be randomly selected from the entire population of customers who will be counted for load reduction. No customers can be excluded and there can be no restrictions (e.g. only selecting customers within certain zip codes, only selecting customers with certain meters, only selecting customers that have enrolled in the last 12 months, etc.).
  - c. The sample must be stratified by equipment type if using multiple types of equipment to receive the signal and control the device.
  - d. The sample may be stratified by program segmentation (e.g. cycling level).



Manual 19: Load Data System Attachment B: Direct Load Control Load Research Guidelines

- 2. The following must be tested/verified at each customer in the sample:
  - a. The switching device needs to be properly installed, wired, etc.
  - b. A signal needs to be sent to the device to cycle it. Verification that the device receives this signal must be obtained. The signal must be sent in the same manner it would be sent during an event (i.e. over a public paging system, not from a local handheld device).
  - c. If the test in (2.b) does not provide verification of switch operation (i.e., it only tests signal reception), a technician must verify that the switch cycles the unit when the signal is received. This signal does not need to be sent in the same manner as it would during the event it can be sent from a local handheld device.
  - d. If (2.a), (2.b) and (2.c) do not determine that the unit can properly receive the signal and control the device, the device is counted as inoperable. A technician may fix inoperable switches, however the device must still be counted as inoperable for the study.
- Any Provider with a switch operability study older than five years will be given a switch operability rate of 50%



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Attachment C: Load Forecast Adjustment Guidelines

### Attachment C: Load Forecast Adjustment Guidelines

The intention of these guidelines is to ensure that any adjustments made to PJM's load forecast model are properly identified, estimated, and reviewed prior to incorporation into the forecast.

#### **Issue Identification**

- PJM annually solicits information from its member Electric Distribution Companies (EDC) for large load shifts (either positive or negative) which are known to the EDC but may be unknown to PJM. PJM will send the request in mid-July with responses expected in time for any proposed adjustments to be reviewed with the Load Analysis Subcommittee in October/November.
- Any other load changes which are brought to PJM's attention.

# Issue Verification – verify that identified issue is real and significant, using the following methods:

- Determine if the load change has been publically acknowledged through the media, press release, regulatory process, etc.
- · Verify that requesting EDC has adjusted its own financial/planning forecast
- Ascertain that the load shift is related to a single site or a limited number of related sites (not a systemic cause)
- Discuss with economic forecast vendor(s) whether or not the load shift is reflected in its/their economic forecast(s). Also, determine if the requested load adjustment's load impact is consistent with its economic impact. Additionally, determine if the requested load adjustment is tied to any of the metro areas that PJM uses to define the economic variable of a zone.
- Verify that any behind-the-meter generation adjustment has complied with PJM's behind-the-meter process
- Determine adjustment's significance, either by sheer magnitude or percentage of a zone's load.

# Adjustment Estimation- for each identified and verified issue, estimate its impact on peak load using the following methods (which may be combined):

- Acquire load history for the load that has/will change and produce analysis to isolate the impact (e.g., forecast runs with and without the load involved, trend analysis)
- Acquire any contracted amounts of load changes
- For any after-the-fact adjustments, review the zone's forecast model's residual pattern
- Review any available independent analysis of the impact of the load change.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Attachment C: Load Forecast Adjustment Guidelines

Adjustment Review – Each proposed load forecast adjustment will be reviewed with the Load Analysis Subcommittee prior to inclusion in the load forecast. The final decision on any load adjustment is made by PJM.

## Example 1: Loss of a Single Industrial Load

Issue Identification – In response to PJM's <u>annual solicitation for information regarding large</u> load shifts, a member EDC notified PJM that it was losing a large industrial load, which was a plant scheduled to shut down in a few months (and prior to the release of the next load forecast)

Issue Verification – PJM reviewed the EDC's request and through conference calls, e-mail exchanges, an EDC-provided case statement, and PJM independent investigation it was determined that:

- The plant closing was widely reported in local media as well as by a press release from the end-use customer;
- The EDC had adjusted its own financial and planning forecasts to reflect a closure at the plant;
- The affected load was confined to one site/customer account.
- The customer's peak load was approximately 500 MW.

Additionally, PJM consulted with its economic forecast supplier and determined that the forecasts of metropolitan areas within the affected zone were not adjusted to reflect the plant closure. Based on these findings, PJM concluded that the load shift was factual and material.

Adjustment Estimation – PJM requested and received historical load data for the end-use customer. An attempt was made to separately model the zone's peak load without the customer's load in order to draw a comparison to the forecast of the zone's full load. While the model produced a reasonable result for the first forecast year (-370MW), the difference quickly shrank and eventually became negative. As an alternative, the average daily peak over the model's estimation was computed. This value (-369 MW) was essentially equal to the difference between the two models in the first forecast years. PJM notified the EDC and members that the zone's load forecast would be lowered by 370MW.

# **Example 2: Accelerating Load**

Issue Identification – A member EDC proactively notified PJM that it was in the early stages of preparing to integrate a large amount of accelerating load associated with one industry through 2023 and requested a face-to-face meeting to discuss the issue.

Issue Verification – PJM met with the EDC and through follow-up conference calls, e-mail exchanges and PJM independent investigation it was determined that:

• The load in question was associated with greenfield construction and was confined to a cluster of sites in one small area of the zone.



Manual 19: Load Forecasting and Analysis Attachment C: Load Forecast Adjustment Guidelines

- The EDC had adjusted its own financial and planning forecasts to reflect the increased load;
- The new load sites have the characteristic of an extremely low number of employees per site, and therefore have a peak load impact out of proportion to their economic impact.
- Expected growth in the next three years was already underway and contracts with the EDC, construction companies, and suppliers were in place.

PJM consulted with its economic forecast supplier to verify the claim that the new load would involve very little employment increases or other economic impact and that the forecasts of metropolitan areas within the affected zone were not adjusted to reflect the activity associated with expected construction and on-going business. Based on these findings, PJM concluded that the matter merited further review.

Adjustment Estimation – The requesting EDC provided PJM with a third-party consultant's report analyzing the expected load expansion. The report detailed how the electric load in the industry had expanded within the EDC zone and how the consultants had extrapolated that growth to estimate the amount of peak load already incorporated into the PJM load forecast. Separately, a set of four forecast scenarios were generated to estimate the total industry load in the zone's subarea, representing 1) continuation of the historical trend established in the area; 2) continuation of growth at a reduction of 15% from the historical trend established in the area; 3) continuation of growth at the average industry expectation; and 4) continuation of growth at a 45% reduction in historical trends. The estimated amount of peak load already contained in the PJM forecast was netted from each scenario forecast to derive the amount of load growth not captured in the PJM forecast.

PJM was given access to the consultants who prepared the report, and through phone and e-mail reviewed the report and supplied questions to the consultants. PJM requested and received the detailed data used to generate the report's analysis and replicated it. PJM staff then reviewed the report and forecasts with PJM management. It was decided that the scenario based on the 15% reduction from the historical trend was most likely and it was used as adjustments to the PJM forecast.



# **Revision History**

#### Revision 27 (03/26/2015):

Section 3.2: Revised DR forecast methodology

#### Revision 26 (11/01/2014):

- Section 3: Revised to clarify the current process of applying adjustments to load forecasts.
- Attachment C: Added to provide guidelines for load forecast adjustments and examples.

#### Revision 25 (06/01/2014):

- Conforming revisions for FERC Order ER14-822, accepted on 05/09/2014, and effective on 06/01/2014 for various DR operational changes.
- Attachment A updated for new distinction between Emergency and Pre-Emergency DR.

#### Revision 24 (04/11/2014):

• Two of the eSuite Applications have been renamed. Moving forward EES will be known as ExSchedule and eMTR will be known as Power Meter.

#### Revision 23 (6/1/2013):

Section 3: Exhibits 2 and 3 revised to reflect updated economic and weather station mappings. The definition of winter load management is revised.

Attachment B; added specific requirements for load management switch operability studies.

#### Revision 22 (2/28/2013):

• Administrative Change: update all references of "eSchedules" to "InSchedules"

#### Revision 21 (10/01/2012):

Attachment A revised to add guidelines for load drop estimates for Price Responsive Demand participants.

#### Revision 20 (06/28/2012):

Attachment A updated based on PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. ER11-3322 (Capacity measurement and verification). This tariff and RAA update specifically requires GLD to provide reductions below the PLC and aligns any recognized reductions used to determine capacity compliance with add back process.



#### Revision 19 (02/23/2012):

Attachment A changed to update Comparable Day definition, clarify data required if Generation data is used to substantiate load reduction and have PJM perform the compliance calculation.

#### Revision 18 (11/16/2011):

Section 3: Revisions reflect adoption of Itron, Inc recommendations regarding the economic driver used in the load forecast model. References to the now-defunct Interruptible Load for Reliability option of Load Management were removed.

#### Revision 17 (07/14/2011):

Attachment A: 24 hour data submission required and additional clarification for use of generation data to substantiate compliance (FERC Docket #: ER11-2898-000, 4/18/11). Also added revisions concerning how add backs are applied to DLC as approved by the MRC.

#### Revision 16 (04/01/2011):

Section 3: Integrated the description of the net energy forecast model into the general model description.

Revised Exhibits 2 and 3 to reflect updated economic and weather station mappings.

Attachment A: Revised load drop estimate guidelines based on Load Management Task Force proposal approved at November 2010 Markets and Reliability Committee and January 2011 Members Committee. Corresponding tariff language changes were filed with FERC under Docket ER11-2898-000.

#### Revision 15 (10/01/2009):

Attachment A: Revised load drop estimate guidelines to reflect the FERC-approved business rules. Section 3: added price responsive demand to the adjustments made to the load forecast.

#### Revision 14 (12/01/2008):

Section 3: Revised load forecast model specification to allow for a load adjustment dummy variable. Clarified the review and approval process for the Load Forecast Report.

Section 4: Revised the Weather Normalization approval process to clarify that Board approval is not required.

#### Revision 13 (06/01/2008):



A new Exhibit 1 was added, presenting definitions of variables used in the load forecast model. Other exhibits were re-numbered.

Exhibit 2 was revised to reflect a new weather station assignment for the DAY zone.

Section 4: Removed note from Weather Normalization Procedure description (the process is finalized).

Attachment A: Revised to reflect that the guidelines apply to both capacity- and energy-related load drop estimates.

#### Revision 12 (06/01/2007):

Removed Section 3 and moved content to Manual 18.

Removed Section 7 and moved content to Manual 18.

#### Revision 11 (06/01/07):

This extensive revision incorporates changes to Load Data Systems due to the implementation of the Reliability Pricing Model (RPM). Sections on Active Load Management and Qualified Interruptible Load have been replaced with a new Load Management section. The Zonal Scaling Factor section reflects a revised calculation. The Load Forecast Model section has been updated for enhancements made to the model specification as well as revised coincident peak forecast method. The Weather Normalization section was revised to reflect that seasonal peaks are now normalized using the load forecast model.

#### Revision 10 (06/01/06):

- Exhibit 1—Updated to include the new Manual 30: Alternative Collateral Program.
- Section 3—Revised to reflect changes in the handling of outlier observations in weather normalization of seasonal peaks.
- Section 4—Revised to incorporate the addition of the Full Emergency option of Load Response.
- Updated the penalties/rewards section under Compliance.

#### Revision 09 (01/01/06):

This revision includes a complete revision to Section 6 to detail the PJM-produced load forecast which will be used for capacity and system planning purposes. The previous Section 3 (PJM Load Forecast Report) has been removed since Member input is no longer required for its production.

#### Revision 08 (06/01/05):

Updated Exhibit 1 to include new PJM Manuals.



This revision includes changes to Section 3 to reflect reporting requirements for sub-Zones. Section 4 was completely revised to reflect a new weather normalization method and revised basis for calculating 5CPs. Section 8 has been modified to reflect revised release dates for Zonal Scaling Factors.

#### Revision 07 (07/01/04):

This revision includes changes to Section 2, to reflect that 500kV generation will be treated differently in the PJM Western and Southern regions than the Mid-Atlantic Region. Section 4 was revised to reflect that peak load allocation will be impacted for market integration. Section 5 has been modified to reflect that the Active Load Management program has been fully incorporated into the eCapacity application.

#### Revision 06 (10/01/03):

This revision incorporates a new presentation format. Substantive changes were made to Section 4, to reflect changes in peak normalization procedures. Section 5 and Attachment B were revised to reflect the change in load research requirements for cycling programs to a five year cycle. The previous Section 6 (Forecast Peak Period Load) has been deleted. The section on Qualified Interruptible Load now reflects that it is the same as Active Load Management. New sections have been added for the PJM Entity Forecast and Zonal Scaling Factors. Attachment A includes an additional load drop estimate technique, Customer Baseline. Throughout the document, changes were made to reflect the new committee structure, and the Board of Managers enhanced authority.

Changed all references from "PJM Interconnection, L.L.C." to "PJM."

Changed all references from "the PJM OI" to "PJM."

Renamed Exhibits to consecutive numbering.

Reformatted to new PJM formatting standard.

Renumbered pages to consecutive numbering.

#### Revision 05 (01/01/03):

This revision contains changes to Section 2, which was revised to reflect that hourly load data are reported through the new Power Meter application. Section 5 was revised to clarify wording on existing Active Load Management rules and procedures.

#### Revision 04 (06/01/02):

This revision contains changes to Section 3, which was revised to reflect a new reporting format for the PJM Load Forecast Report. Section 7 was revised to incorporate firm level customers into the Qualified Interruptible Load program.

#### Revision 03 (01/01/02):

This revision incorporates changes resulting from the addition of PJM West into the Interconnection. Section 4 was revised to add a description of the peak normalization process for PJM West. Sections 6 (Qualified Interruptible Load) and 7 (Forecast Period Peak Load) were added.



#### Revision 02 (10/01/00):

This revision contains changes to Section 4 to include a clarification of the weather normalization overview, and revises the summer season weather normalization to reflect the newly adopted PJM summer weather parameter. Also, the removal of Attachment A: Definitions and Abbreviations. Attachment A is being developed into a 'new' PJM Manual for *Definitions and Abbreviations (M-35)*. Attachments B, C, and D have been renamed A, B, and C respectively. Also, changes to the 'new' Attachment A: ALM Load Drop Estimate Guidelines (previously listed as Attachment B) have been in effect since 6/01/00; however, they are now being addressed in this revision.

#### Revision 01 (06/01/00):

This revision contains changes to Sections 3, 4, and 5, to reflect the influence of retail choice, including the creation of a peak allocation, revamped Active Load Management rules and procedures, and revamped PJM Load Forecast Report. Also, it details a revised weather normalization procedure.

#### Revision 00 (07/15/97):

This revision is the complete draft of the PJM Manual for Load Data Systems.