

# MRC First Read Items Seeking Endorsement (by Category)

Michele Greening
Knowledge Management Center
Stakeholder Process Super Forum
May 22, 2019

www.pjm.com PJM©2019



- MRC Meetings
  - 2017, 2018, and Jan/Feb 2019
- Items noticed on an agenda as seeking endorsement on first read.
  - Included regardless of endorsement outcome
  - Includes first read endorsements deferred until a later meeting
  - Excludes first read items not seeking endorsement




#### Topic Groupings:

- Conforming language revisions
- Problem Statement and/or Issue Charge
- Proposed Solution Package(s)
- Sunsetting Stakeholder Group
- Time Extension or Deferral
- Charter Update



MRC Seeking Endorsement on 1st Read (by Category)			
	Number of Items	Percent of Items	
Conforming Language Revisions	6	31.58%	
Problem Statement and/or Issue Charge	6	31.58%	
Proposed Solution Package(s)	2	10.53%	
Sunsetting Stakeholder Group	2	10.53%	
Time Extension or Deferral	2	10.53%	
Charter Update	1	5.26%	
Totals	19	100.00%	





# Appendix

www.pjm.com PJM©2019



## MRC First Read Items Seeking Endorsement

Michele Greening
Knowledge Management Center
Stakeholder Process Super Forum
April 4, 2019

www.pjm.com PJM©2019



Items Seeking Endorsement on First Read			
	**Total # of MRC Agenda Items	Total # First Read Endorsements	% of Total Agenda Items
2017	155	6	3.87%
2018	144	12	8.33%
*2019	12	1	8.33%
Totals	311	19	6.11%

<sup>\*</sup>Includes only January and February data

- The number of first read items seeking endorsement was less than 10% of the total agenda items in each year.
- First read endorsements in 2018 doubled the prior year.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Noticed agenda items excluding subparts



## Items Seeking Endorsement on First Read (Counts)

	Total # First Read Endorsements	Deferred	Non-Deferred (Voted)
*2017	6	2	4
2018	12	3	9
**2019	1	0	1
Totals	19	5	14

<sup>\*</sup>One motion to defer failed and was ultimately voted; this item is counted under the non-deferred column and excluded from the deferred column counts.

In each year, the majority of first read items proceeded with endorsement.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes only January and February data



### Items Seeking Endorsement on First Read (Percentages)

	Total # First Read Endorsements	Deferred	Non-Deferred (Voted)
*2017	6	33.33%	66.67%
2018	12	25%	75%
**2019	1	0%	100%
Totals	19	26.32%	73.68%

<sup>\*</sup>One motion to defer failed and was ultimately voted; this item is counted under the non-deferred column and excluded from the deferred column counts.

In each year, the majority of first read items proceeded with endorsement.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes only January and February data



# Items Seeking Endorsement on First Read (Deferred)

	Deferred	# Endorsed	% Endorsed
2017	2	2	100%
2018	3	*1	33.33%
**2019	0	0	n/a
Totals	5	3	60%

<sup>\*</sup>Two items were deferred for a year or greater; endorsement remains outstanding for these.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes only January and February data



### Items Seeking Endorsement on First Read (Non-Deferred)

	Non-Deferred (Voted)	# Endorsed	% Endorsed
2017	4	3	75%
2018	9	9	100%
*2019	1	1	100%
Totals	14	13	92.86%

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes only January and February data

- The majority of items seeking endorsement on first read were endorsed.
- The one exception is the item where the motion to defer failed as well.