



Joint and Common Market

PJM QUEUE COORDINATION PROPOSAL



NEXT STEPS IN QUEUE COORDINATION

- Review timing associated with completion of studies
 - Very recent improvements being made under new coordination efforts however some studies taking up to or over a year to complete remain a concern
 - Identification of required upgrades for the PJM customers after they have entered into the Facilities Study Phase is inefficient, potentially wasteful, and introduces uncertainty into the PJM process

PJM FACILITIES STUDY

- Study phase is intended to be preliminary engineering and not power flow analysis
- Some upgrades with potential links to MISO cannot begin until MISO study is completed
- Other upgrades may be modified based on MISO constraints identified (common fixes along seam)

PJM QUEUE

- New requests will be delayed without moving the MISO analysis into alignment with the PJM analysis
- Performing MISO affected system analysis in conjunction with PJM SIS can cause cascading restudies on the MISO queue
 - MISO needs to further investigate the impact of this approach
 - MISO may have to perform additional affected system re-studies for withdrawal of PJM projects that do not execute an FSA

PJM PROPOSAL

- Require MISO analysis to begin to occur in conjunction with the PJM analysis
- Any overlapping reinforcement requirements will be discussed and addressed during the study process
 - Reinforcements which are confirmed to overlap will be combined into a single reinforcement

Questions



Queue Study Contact
PJM: Aaron Berner (aaron.berner@pjm.com)
MISO: Vikram Godbole (vgodbole@misoenergy.org)