



Joint and Common Market

IV. COMMERCIAL MARKET FLOW



Background

- Commercial Market Flow (CMF) - Nodal level Market Flow calculation that includes both generation-to-load (nodal injections/withdrawals) and transaction impacts (interface injections/withdrawals)
- Market-to-market Market Flow (M2M-MF) - Excludes transactions and only considers native generation-to-load impacts (per MISO-PJM JOA)
- In 2013, PJM proposed M2M MF and FFE adopt transaction impacts complementing CMF principles (align M2M payments with balancing revenue)

Process	CMF Used?	M2M-MF Used?
FTR Market	✓	
DA Market	✓	
RT Market Settlement	✓	
M2M		✓

Status Update

- MISO, PJM and SPP have discussed this topic at great length
- RTOs are working on a joint report that will be shared with stakeholders in next few months
- RTOs identified various impacts that this proposal could introduce and have narrowed down the following outstanding items:
 - Potential Impact of MISO and PJM having different interface definitions
 - Ensure alignment with other JCM initiatives like Interface Pricing; Interchange Optimization (or Coordinated Transaction Scheduling)
 - Potential changes to Market Applications
 - Consistent application of the proposal in MISO, PJM and SPP

Next Steps

Item #	Activity	By
1	Finalize Joint Report with solutions to outstanding items	July 2014
2	Draft JOA language	September 2014
3	Final JOA language changes presented to stakeholders and publish the report	November 2014
4	File JOA language changes to ensure approval before annual ARR/FTR process	December 1, 2014
5	Implementation	June 1, 2015

A decorative graphic consisting of two horizontal lines. The top line is grey and the bottom line is dark red. Both lines have a double-headed arrow in the center, with the top arrow pointing left and the bottom arrow pointing right.

Appendix

Appendix: Impacts on Settlement

Scenario	FFE	Day-ahead Market Flow	Real-Time commercial Market Flow	M2M Market Flow	Real-time Shadow Price	Balancing Congestion*	M2M Payment**	Total costs (Balancing Congestion + M2M Payments)
1	20	20	30	30	\$3,500	\$35,000	(\$35,000)	\$0
2	20	20	10	10	\$3,500	(\$35,000)	\$35,000	\$0
3	20	20	20	20	\$3,500	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	20	20	30	40	\$3,500	\$35,000	(\$70,000)	(\$35,000)
5	20	20	10	0	\$3,500	(\$35,000)	\$70,000	\$35,000

*Balancing Congestion= (Real-Time Market Flow - Day-Ahead Market Flow) * Shadow Price of Constraint

**M2M Payment = (FFE - M2M Market Flow) * Shadow Price of Constraint

- The zero total costs for scenarios 1-3 is expected because the actual real-time commercial flow equals M2M market flow
- Proposed method will ensure actual real-time CMF is consistent with M2M market flow
- The non-zero total costs for scenarios 4 or 5 is what typically happens because of the mismatch between actual real-time market flow and M2M market flow