

PJM/MISO Joint and Common Market – January 29, 2013

Governance Discussion/Brainstorming Notes

- Compare feedback from MISO and PJM stakeholders and identify common items and compare differences (level-set items)
- Create consolidated status report for all items (better organization)
- Have state commissions provide comments regarding specific actions/proposals that would be implemented in one or the other RTO (support the items through the stakeholder process)
- Develop a common process to deal with contentious issues – one that will satisfy the respective stakeholder processes from each RTO (determine state role in this common process).
- Ensure consistency when items are brought back from the JCM process to individual stakeholder processes.
- Identify if specific issues are being addressed in other stakeholder forums also (FERC 1000, IPSAC, etc.) – consolidated tracking
- Ensure that a consolidated list of items includes prioritization
- Common names and definitions
- Utilize option matrices to help understand potential solutions (referenced in PJM Manual 34)
- Have staff from MISO and PJM reconcile the feedback from stakeholders (including prioritization) and discuss the structure for moving forward and decision making
- A voting structure in the JCM process may be instructive to the individual RTO stakeholders and others
- Polling could be used as an alternative to formalized voting
- Chairman Montgomery's proposal: Hold a joint session with OMS, OPSI, MISO, PJM, MISO stakeholders, PJM stakeholders, and any other interested stakeholders to discuss governance
 - o Email to phil.montgomery@wisconsin.gov by February 5 to indicate interest in participation
 - o Participants would assist with prioritization and structure for future decision making
 - o The results of these sessions would be instructive to the MISO and PJM stakeholder groups/processes
 - o Each RTO's stakeholders are not giving up their own respective rights