



# PJM-MISO Joint and Common Market Issues Prioritization Survey Results

Stan Williams

Market Implementation Committee

January 9, 2013



## MISO/PJM Joint and Common Market Initiative Topic Prioritization Poll Results

Please indicate your assessment of the priority (high, medium or low) for each of the topics below, that were identified through the JCM effort in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2012.

<b>Market Operations: Day-ahead &amp; Real-time Markets</b>	High	Medium	Low
Increase transparency through posting of FFE values, hourly flowgate settlements, etc., complete in-process RTO-RTO coordination enhancements	138	26	0
Further increase transparency, evaluate M2M calculations such as unit-specific imports/exports, evaluate the transmission reservation freeze date	101	63	0
Parallel Flow Visualization.	38	103	23
<b>Market Operations: Operations / Scheduling</b>	High	Medium	Low
Rule alignment and documentation regarding operation of jointly owned units near the border	104	58	2
Interchange scheduling rule alignment	123	41	0
Dispatchable Interchange Transactions	7	33	124
Increase Market-to-Market - RTO data exchange	103	51	6
M2M Calculations/Coordination (revisiting entitlement and market flow calculations to better reflect real time operations)	127	37	0
<b>Transmission Planning</b>	High	Medium	Low
Increase study transparency, clarify use of CBM, align interconnection queues, coordinate studies	73	75	16
Increase transparency of planning processes, particularly inter-regional processes, for all stakeholders	89	74	1
Allow for innovative Network Transmission Service rates across RTO seams	20	47	97
<b>Capacity Deliverability</b>	High	Medium	Low
Transmission Service Requests and Capacity Product Definition (coordinate models, assumptions and timelines and ensure consistent use of CBM)	38	31	95
Align capacity deliverability study and capacity market timelines	5	2	157
Joint Deliverability Analysis and Capacity Constructs	6	2	156



# MISO/PJM Joint and Common Market Initiative Topic Prioritization Poll Results

Please indicate your assessment of the priority (high, medium or low) for each of the topics below, which were identified through the JCM effort in the 3rd Quarter, 2012.

	Electric Distributors			Other Suppliers			End User Customer			Transmission Owner			Generation Owner		
	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low
<b>Market Operations: Day-ahead &amp; Real-time Markets</b>															
Increase transparency through posting of FFE values, hourly flowgate settlements, etc., complete in-process RTO-RTO coordination enhancements	17	1	0	31	8	0	10	1	0	42	1	0	35	13	0
Further increase transparency, evaluate M2M calculations such as unit-specific imports/exports, evaluate the transmission reservation freeze date	2	16	0	25	14	0	11	0	0	42	1	0	18	30	0
Parallel Flow Visualization.	0	15	3	8	24	7	0	1	10	15	28	0	15	30	3
<b>Market Operations: Operations / Scheduling</b>	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low
Rule alignment and documentation regarding operation of jointly owned units near the border	16	2	0	20	18	1	11	0	0	32	11	0	22	25	1
Interchange scheduling rule alignment	17	1	0	27	12	0	11	0	0	43	0	0	24	24	0
Dispatchable Interchange Transactions	0	1	17	4	9	26	0	0	11	0	0	43	2	19	27
Increase Market-to-Market - RTO data exchange	1	16	1	24	9	3	1	10	0	42	1	0	32	13	2
M2M Calculations/Coordination (revisiting entitlement and market flow calculations to better reflect real time operations)	16	2	0	30	9	0	1	10	0	43	0	0	34	14	0
<b>Transmission Planning</b>	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low
Increase study transparency, clarify use of CBM, align interconnection queues, coordinate studies	14	2	2	10	26	3	0	1	10	27	16	0	17	30	1
Increase transparency of planning processes, particularly inter-regional processes, for all stakeholders	15	3	0	19	19	1	0	11	0	33	10	0	17	31	0
Allow for innovative Network Transmission Service rates across RTO seams	12	1	5	2	11	26	0	0	11	1	15	27	1	19	28
<b>Capacity Deliverability</b>	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low	High	Med	Low
Transmission Service Requests and Capacity Product Definition (coordinate models, assumptions and timelines and ensure consistent use of CBM)	3	11	4	8	7	24	10	1	0	12	1	30	1	10	37
Align capacity deliverability study and capacity market timelines	0	0	18	1	1	37	0	0	11	0	0	43	0	0	48
Joint Deliverability Analysis and Capacity Constructs	0	0	18	1	1	37	0	0	11	0	0	43	1	0	47