



Summary of FERC Docket EL13-88 Order on Rehearing and Compliance

Posted 1/26/17

- FERC accepted June 20, 2016 filed JOA and Tariff changes
 - Detailed Coordinated System Plan study steps and timeline (subject to further compliance)
 - Removal of interregional B/C (i.e. “third hurdle”)
 - Use of regional benefits as interregional cost split
 - Generator interconnection coordination
 - Lowering 345 kV and removal of \$5 million Interregional MEP thresholds (MISO Tariff)
- FERC accepted (in separate Order) December 15, 2016 filed JOA change
 - Generation deactivation coordination

- Rehearing requests denied
 - NIPSCO: Require M2M payments as Interregional MEP benefit
 - MISO and PJM: Joint metric is not a hurdle
 - OMS: Sub-345 kV Interregional MEPs should not be classified as MEPs
 - Generator Group: RTOs should better identify constraints and flowgates
 - MISO TOs: Interregional B/C is critically important; MEP thresholds should not be eliminated
- Request for clarification granted
 - OMS: Lowering the MEP voltage does not pre-determine cost allocation
- Request for clarification denied
 - ITC: MISO must lower MEP voltage with SPP

- All directives due February 21, 2017 (30 days from issuance)

Ordering Paragraph	FERC Directive
51	Confirm that the existing Market Efficiency Project cost allocation method will apply to MISO's share of the cost of interregional economic transmission projects above 100 kV but below 345 kV that qualify as Market Efficiency Projects or to propose tariff revisions to apply a different regional cost allocation method for MISO's share of the cost of such projects. Upon review of MISO's compliance filing, including any supporting evidence, and consideration of any comments filed in response, the Commission will determine the just and reasonable MISO regional cost allocation method for MISO's share of the cost of interregional economic transmission projects above 100 kV but below 345 kV that qualify as Market Efficiency Projects.
70	Provide that the Joint RTO Planning Committee shall provide a schedule and binding deadlines for the steps in each Coordinated System Plan Study process no later than 15 days after the Interregional Planning Stakeholder Advisory Committee meeting provided for in section 9.3.6.2(b)(ii). We note that, as provided in section 9.3.6.2(a)(vii) of the JOA, regardless of the intervening deadlines, the Coordinated System Plan Study must be completed no later than the end of the second year of the two-year cycle.

Ordering Paragraph	FERC Directive
71	<p>Revise section 9.3.6.2(a)(vi) of the JOA, as follows: (vi) <u>If a</u> Coordinated System Plan study may <u>includes</u> targeted studies of particular areas, needs or potential expansions to ensure that the coordination of the reliability and efficiency of the Parties' transmission systems, <u>then such targeted studies</u> will be conducted during the first half of the calendar year. In years when the Coordinated System Plan study includes only targeted studies as defined herein, they may be conducted at any time during the calendar year but will be targeted for completion <u>shall be completed</u> within the calendar year in which they are identified.</p>
78	<p>Revise the JOA to include a description of how specific steps in the Coordinated System Plan Study process interact and coordinate with specific steps in the MTEP and the RTEP.</p>
83	<p>Change the reference to section 9.4.4.2.2 in proposed section 9.4.4.1.3.1(b) to 9.4.4.2.3 (Cost Allocation for an Interregional Market Efficiency Project).</p>
84	<p>Submit an updated version of Attachment FF to include all currently effective language.</p>
84	<p>MISO and PJM to submit JOA tariff records in which the section numbers are identical to resolve any confusion created by the different numbering of the JOA used by each RTO.</p>