



**DRAFT MINUTES  
MAY 12, 2015 TELECONFERENCE MEETING**

**PJM Transmission Owners Agreement  
Administrative Committee  
Teleconference Meeting**

**1. Administration**

- a. Gloria Godson welcomed meeting attendees, conducted a roll call and confirmed a quorum.

**2. Abandonment Cost Recovery Filing**

- a. The TOAAC small group provided an update on the group's meeting with FERC staff to discuss making a filing to revise PJM's tariff to include a provision for 100% abandonment cost recovery for cancelled projects. The team reported that FERC staff suggested that the TOAAC file a Petition for a Declaratory Order (PDO) instead of a Section 205 filing. PJM indicated their support for this effort. After detailed discussion, the TOAAC voted to approve the development of a PDO for filing at FERC. The cost of the filing will be about \$23K if the TOAAC utilized the services of an outside law firm. That cost will be divided among all TOAAC members, except members who file in opposition. The TOAAC directed the LIT to convene a call for member attorneys to discuss the best path forward for drafting the PDO – either through volunteer member attorney effort, or to hire and pay an outside law firm. If the LIT settles on the latter option, then the Section 205 WG will add that item to its agenda and discuss cost sharing for the filing.

**3. Designated Entity Design Requirements**

PJM provided an update on the WG discussions. The purpose of establishing minimum design standards is to assure a minimum level of robustness, such that the new competitively-solicited facility would not introduce a weak point in the system in terms of performance. These minimum design standards would not apply to non-competitive projects. The WG recommended that the Planning Committee: 1) charter a group to develop minimum design standards, which would take into consideration geography, and physical and other local needs (noise level, undergrounding requirements, etc.) of the project. Areas to be covered include transmission lines, substations and system protection and control design and coordination; 2) explore the development of a common facility ratings methodology in conjunction with but separate from the development of minimum design standards.

The TOAAC approved the recommendation. This recommendation will be presented to the PC for discussion at its June meeting.

## **TOA-AC Meeting Minutes of May 12, 2015**

Page 2

### **4. TO/TOP Matrix Subcommittee Charter**

PJM TTMS Chair discussed the Charter revisions. These revisions are to implement the TOAAC direction to the TTMS in September 2014 to make the TTMS a closed group with attendance open only to transmission owners. The TOAAC approved the revised charter. The TOAAC requested PJM legal to confirm whether the term “PJM Transmission Owner members” is a defined term and accurately captures the intent that only PJM TOs can participate in the TTMS. If not, the TOAAC requested PJM legal to revise the description as needed to capture that intent. The TTMS chair will circulate the finalized charter to the TOAAC.

### **5. SERTP Schedule 12-B Revisions**

The SERTP sub-team lead provided an update on the SERTP schedule 12-B compliance filing which is due on May 26. He reviewed the proposed revisions and answered member questions. After detailed discussion, PPL moved a motion to waive the 3 day notice requirement for review and approval of the revised tariff sheets because: 1) the revisions have been circulated to and reviewed by the Section 205 WG for an extended period of time, 2) these changes are for compliance filing purposes; and 3) are not substantive changes. First Energy seconded the motion. The TOAAC voted to approve the waiver of the 3 day notice requirement. Thereafter, the TOAAC also voted to approve the tariff revisions. The filing letter is being developed and will be circulated to the TOAAC for review prior to filing.

### **6. NIPSCO Complaint**

PJM provided an update on the FERC September 11 technical conference on the NIPSCO complaint. PJM plans to have a speaker on each panel and is currently discussing internally to identify the right people. PJM does not support NIPSCO’S suggestion to roll the M2M payments into the benefits metric because the current process already captures congestion, load payments etc. and rolling the M2M payments into the metric will be double counting. PJM believes that changes to the benefits metric are needed for effective inter-regional coordination in at least 2 respects: 1) to eliminate or reduce the current \$20 million threshold for inter-regional projects. PJM has identified cases where smaller scope projects can yield significant interregional benefit; 2) include lower voltage facilities in inter-regional coordination. Currently, MISO looks at only 345KV and above for inter-regional projects. PJM has identified situations where congestion on lower voltage facilities can benefit from inter-regional coordination. PJM will pursue these changes after the compliance filing due this summer.