

# **Energy Benefits**

June 15, 2018



## **Energy Benefits Key Elements**

- Simulated Years: RTEP-2, RTEP, RTEP+2 and RTEP+4
  - The decrease of the simulation horizon by 4 years (from 10 years currently down to proposed 6 years) helps decrease the uncertainties related to various forecasts.
  - In all simulated years, generation and transmission topology are set at RTEP year level.
  - Each simulated year uses the appropriate load forecast and fuel price forecast.
  - Each simulated year has its own nuclear refueling, automatic maintenance and forced outage patterns.
- Trend
  - Trend is established based on all 4 simulated years.
  - Project benefits for each year are calculated using the trend values (no interpolations between simulated years).



## **Energy Benefits Example**

Simulated	Simulated NLP	
Year	Reduction	
2021	\$15.7	
2023	\$8.9	
2025	\$16.1	
2027	\$20.1	



Forecasted

NLP

Reduction

\$12.1

\$13.2

\$14.2

\$15.2

\$16.2

\$17.2

\$18.2

\$19.2

\$20.3

\$21.3

\$22.3

\$23.3

\$24.3

\$25.3

\$26.4

\$27.4

\$28.4

Trend

Year

2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

2026

2027

2028

2029

2030

2031

2032

2033

2034

2035

2036

2027

R-2

R-1

R

R+1

R+2

R+3

R+4

R+5

R+6

R+7

R+8

R+9

R+10

R+11

R+12

R+13

R+14



## **Project Annual Revenue Requirement Calculation**

- Transmission Cost Information Center (TCIC)
  - <u>http://www.pjm.com/planning/rtep-upgrades-status/cost-allocation-view.aspx</u>
- For an individual project:
  - Annual Revenue Requirement = Annual Depreciation Expense + (Carrying Value + CWIP) x Carrying Charge
  - Annual Depreciation Expense = Cost Estimate / Average Useful Life of Assets\*
  - Carrying Value (Remaining useful value) = Cost Estimate Accumulated Depreciation
  - Accumulated Depreciation = Annual Depreciation Expense x # Years In Service

\*RTO-level average useful life of assets across PJM TO's

**A**pjm

# Project Cost - Annual Revenue Requirement Example

Input Variables	Value
Project Capital Cost (\$M)	\$50.0
RTO-Level Average Useful Life of Assets	45
Annual Depreciation % (1/Useful Life)	2.2%
RTO-Level Average Carrying Charge	13.8%

	Yearly Annual Revenue Requirements									
Simulated Year	Year	Annual Depreciation Expense (\$M)	Accumulated Depreciation (\$M)	Carrying Value (\$M)	Revenue Requirement (\$M)					
RTEP	1	\$1.1	\$1.1	\$48.9	\$7.84					
	2	\$1.1	\$2.2	\$47.8	\$7.69					
RTEP+2	3	\$1.1	\$3.3	\$46.7	\$7.54					
	4	\$1.1	\$4.4	\$45.6	\$7.38					
RTEP+4	5	\$1.1	\$5.6	\$44.4	\$7.23					
	6	\$1.1	\$6.7	\$43.3	\$7.08					
	7	\$1.1	\$7.8	\$42.2	\$6.93					
	8	\$1.1	\$8.9	\$41.1	\$6.77					
	9	\$1.1	\$10.0	\$40.0	\$6.62					
	10	\$1.1	\$11.1	\$38.9	\$6.47					
	11	\$1.1	\$12.2	\$37.8	\$6.31					
	12	\$1.1	\$13.3	\$36.7	\$6.16					
	13	\$1.1	\$14.4	\$35.6	\$6.01					
	14	\$1.1	\$15.6	\$34.4	\$5.85					
	15	\$1.1	\$16.7	\$33.3	\$5.70					



# Energy Benefits Key Elements (Cont'd)

- Energy Benefit Calculation Period
  - 15 years starting from project in-service date, capped at RTEP+15
  - B/C ratio should exceed 1.25 threshold.
- Additional 10-Year B/C Ratio Check
  - 10-year B/C ratio should exceed 1.25 threshold.
  - 10-year B/C ratio is calculated based on 10 years of annual benefit and 10 years of annual revenue requirement, starting from project in-service date, capped at RTEP+10.
- Sensitivities
  - Status Quo (sensitivities are informational only, and used to compare competitive projects during the selection phase).



## 10-Year B/C Ratio for Past Projects

- Calculated 15-year and 10-year B/C ratios for a number of past projects.
- 65% of projects passed 1.25 threshold with 15-year B/C ratio.
- 59% of projects passed 1.25 threshold with both 10-year and 15-year B/C ratios.
- 6% of projects did not pass 1.25 threshold with 10-year B/C ratio.





## Energy Benefit Calculation Period Example



15-year B/C ratio = 2.86 ✓ 10-year B/C ratio = 2.37 ✓

# Energy Benefits Key Elements (Cont'd)

- Energy Benefit Adjustment for In-Service Date
  - It is PJM's goal to address energy constraints by the RTEP year, and to incentivize projects that are designed and proposed to be in service by RTEP year.
  - PJM will adjust energy benefits of projects that are proposed to be in service later than RTEP year to account for any savings forgone due to later in-service date.
  - Projects with an in-service date earlier than RTEP will be equally treated as projects with an RTEP in-service date.
  - Earlier in-service date will be considered in a qualitative manner when comparing the projects.



# Benefit Adjustment for In-Service Date Example

		2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	 2035	2036	2037
In-Service year = RTEP	Annual benefit	\$14.2	\$15.2	\$16.2	\$17.2	\$18.2	 \$26.4	\$27.4	\$28.4
	Annual revenue requirement	(\$7.8)	(\$7.7)	(\$7.5)	(\$7.4)	(\$7.2)	 (\$6.0)	(\$5.9)	(\$5.7)
B/C Ratio = 2.86	PV of benefits in RTEP	\$177.2							
	PV of costs in RTEP	(\$61.9)							
	B/C ratio	2.86							

		2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	•••	2035	2036	2037
In-Service year = RTEP+1	Annual benefit	-	\$15.2 (\$7.8)	\$16.2 (\$7.7)	\$17.2 (\$7.5)	\$18.2 (\$7.4)		\$26.4 (\$6.2)	\$27.4 (\$6.0)	\$28.4 (\$5.9)
B/C Ratio = 2.70	Lost savings in RTEP	<mark>(\$14.2)</mark> \$150.8	(\$7.0)	(ΨΓ.Γ)	(\$7.0)	(ψι)		(00.2)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)
	PV of costs in RTEP	(\$55.8)								
	B/C ratio	2.70								