

Energy Storage Participation in RPM

Options Matrix

	1	1		T			Options Matrix				
		Briority	Status Quo (Advanced			Solution Options ²					
	Design	(high/me	Storage, Storage in PJM								
Mussalaa				Status Over (Passayana in Conscitus Maylest)					-	_	
Numbe	Components ¹	d/low)	Today)	Status Quo (Resources in Capacity Market)	A	В	C	D	E	F	G H I
1	Must offer requirement in day ahead market		N/A (Batteries), Required (Storage)	All resources in Capacity market have a Must Offer Req in Day ahead	As other generation: must offer can be methrough DA market, self schedule, or optional hydro optimizer	t Self-scheduling	PJM optimization (e.g. pumped hydro)	Standard DA/RT, respecting max run time/max energy limit	capability to	must offer req, market must match output req to be cap resource (10 hours)	All Generation resources with capacity commitment (including storage resources) Must offer in day ahead
2	Minimum continuous electricity time capability Minimum		No Current Standard, Regulation ;market is hourly; cannot be out for XX mins, or else forfeit bid (Batteries), 10 hours (Storage)	10 Hours		keep current products - limited, extended summer consistent with shortest duration of current DR products	10 hours	15 mins/shorte than 1 hour		6 hours	Sustained output for 10 hours continuous operation each day. Resource must produce its nominal capacity value for each hour of the 10 hour interval. Total storage capability of unit must support ability to provide its nominal capacity for 10 continuous hours. At full storage capability and probable time of PJM peak, resource must demonstrate empirically its ability to maintain the 10 hours capacity based on technical documentation. Value is capped at the CIR level
3	continuous electricity	low/mediu	Continuous Capability for a certain period, 0.1 MW for existing resources (Batteries and Storage)	Continuous Capability for a certain period, 0.1 MW for existing resources	·	status quo					
	Power to energy				storage resource must have min power to						
3a	ratio		no pre-defined req	no pre-defined req - 1-2 hours based on resource type, Steam 2 hrs, Hydro 1 hr - Qualifying test - Seasonal test	Option A to verify power rating. Once per year, full charge/discharge cycle	initial test - CIR annual/seasonal test	Perform annual test each summer (consistent with existing rules): Show that you can produce your nominal capacity value for				
4	Test requirements	s medium		- Equivalent to duration	at rated UCAP to verify MWh.	qualification test similar to regulation	1 hour				
4A	rating methodology				as other generation	min instantaneous output for duration of test	Based on min hourly output over 10 continuous hours. At full storage capability and probable time of PJM peak, resource must demonstrate empirically its ability to maintain the 10 hours capacity based on technical documentation				
5	Metering requirements	low/mediu	As Defined by Regulation market rules; Energy Market in Load Response Manual, LM Outlines in M11(Batteries), As outlined in M14D (storage)	As outlined in M14D	Comply with rules in Manual 14D and 1	Comply with rules in Manual 14D and 1	Based on min hourly output over 10 continuous hours. At full storage capability and probable time of PJM peak, resource must demonstrate empirically its ability to maintain the 10 hours capacity based on technical documentation				
6	How does a PJM Resource make itself available/Method of Availability to PJM		- DR - have to register prior to delivery year - if EO - 20 mins notice, self	Enter through queue process, Register as part of Markets Database, make themselves available trough eMarket- Traditional generators - daily must offer - DR - have to register prior to delivery year - if EO - 20 mins notice, self schedule	Must offer requirement applies to UCAP. Emergency procedures extend to full ICAP	energy market must offer obligations	Alignment with the RPM current rules, available unless submitted an edart ticket				
7	Offer parameters	high	N/A (Batteries), See Cap Market (Storage)	mins/max, startup, emergency min/max, price/cost based, cost curve Optimized Pumped Storage units only: 1) Beginning and End of Day Storage levels in MW. (INITIAL MW, FINAL MW) 2) GenMin and PumpMin values, which will be the minimum hourly pumping and generating MW (MIN PUMP MW, MIN GEN MW) 3) Pumping efficiency (PUMP FACTOR). 4) Maximum or minimum storage level constraints (MAX MW, MIN MW) Other parameters for regular resources as well: Start up/ shutdown costs	status quo plus max run time and/or max energy and min charge time when using pumped hydro parameters, make obvious substitutions: pump/generate -> charge/discharge pumping efficiency -> cycle efficiency etc.	status quo plus max run time and/or max energy and min charge time when using pumped hydro parameters, make obvious substitutions: pump/generate -> charge/discharge pumping efficiency -> cycle efficiency etc.	status quo for existing generation				
8	Response and recovery	medium/hi	Recovery=Min Down Time; Response=Notification time, max run time	Recovery=Min Down Time; Response=Notification time, max run time	Notification time for RT energy may vary with charge state. Scheduling method in (1 must respect recharge times.	Notification time for RT energy may vary with charge state. Scheduling method in (1) must respect recharge times.	status quo as for existing generation (default parameter to be determined)				

					- Discount ICAP based on outage rates, e.g., most gen				actual	Compone calculated	ermined by Design ent #2, UCAP d the same as all s (some work	
					- UCAP is fraction of ICAP, e.g., intermittent resources	LICAR is the lesser of energy conseity				average hourly required t	•	
	Con	na aitu Maluau				UCAP is the lesser of energy capacity						
		pacity Value:		NI/A (Bottorios) Con Con	- Administratively determined, e.g., Energy Efficiency	divided by 3.3 or maximum output power.				output over req for storag		
0		w to determine		N/A (Batteries), See Cap	- Inferior product with limited clearing and price separation, e.g., sub-	eFORd applied as for other generation.	0.15 15(5.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5		(eg. Wind	cont operation establish		
9	UC/		gn	Market (Storage)	Annual DR.	Treated as generation in RPM auctions	Calculation based on load carrying capability at constant LOLE	ICAP derated by forced outages	model)	hourly req storage c	lass average Eford)	
		olicability: what										
		es of		NI/A (Dattarias) Oss Oss	a book to release the black of the book of	All later and a later and later and	The second state of the se					
40				N/A (Batteries), See Cap	submit day ahead, schedule, blackstart level, never fully depleted	All interconnected storage devices not	These proposed rules will apply to all Energy Storage					
10	app	oly to gh		Market (Storage)			Resources					
4.4		9	v/mediu			Should be bundled with Design Component						
11	met	tnod m				#1						
		at Danad Office				Energy offer cap accounts for cost of						
40		st Based Offer				purchased energy and cycle losses (e.g.,						
12		p (Energy) hig	gn				Similar to current units, but will need to be determined					
404		st Based Offer				Similar to current units, but will need to be						
12A	Сар	p (RPM) hig	gn			determined by IMM						
						During Min/MaxGen:						
						1. PJM may dispatch unit to	Dunia a Mia/MassOccas					
						charge/discharge at highest capable level,						
	l					regardless of capacity obligation.	1. PJM may dispatch unit to charge/discharge at highest					
		ergency					capable level, regardless of capacity obligation.					
40		ocedures	I!			PJM direction (following regulation signal	2. Unit not to discharge/charge except at PJM direction	Consistent with miles in MAC Continue C.A.				
13	Ubil	ligations me	edium		- Seasonal verification test		(following regulation signal counts as at PJM direction)	Consistent with rules in M13 Section 6.4				
						EFOR(x) counting only hours when	FFOR(s) asserting such house when such a did a difference on the second such as a second su					
	D = #	ef a was a sa a a		NI/A (Bottorios) Con Con	- EFORd and EFORp performance	scheduled for energy. Outages forgiven in	EFOR(x) counting only hours when scheduled for energy.	Common configuration took FFODd and				
4.4		rformance		N/A (Batteries), See Cap	- DR compliance check			Summer verification test; EFORd and				
14	ASS	sessment hig	gn	Market (Storage)	- MMV for energy efficiency	•	dispatch.	EFORp performance				
						As other generators, plus: 1. opportunity costs for transitions and						
						"hold charge" hours included.						
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	As other generators, plus:					
						2. opportunity costs may be incurred duringPJM directed charging as well as	1. opportunity costs for transitions and "hold charge" hours					
							included.					
						_ 	opportunity costs may be incurred during PJM directed					
							charging as well as discharge.					
	Soff	ttlements/Pena					3. make-whole payments if uneconomically dispatched by PJM					
15			nh.			discharging)	(i.e., LMP while charging > efficiency * LMP while discharging)					
13		nature	J: 1			also larging)	[, Livit write discriding > emoleticy Livit write discriding []	Carrie as all other IXI WI IXesources				
		ources/transitio										
		nechanisms for				Class average EFORd determined by						
			edium/hi		Class average values are blended with actual values on a monthly basis		Status quo (how we test until class average is determined for					
16		pacity value gh	Jaiai II/III		to produce EFORd values for future auctions		any new technology type)					
10	σαρ	doity value gil			To produce Li Orta value for ratare additions	po toorinology doporidont.	arry now toormology typo)					

Design Components - each is an "attribute" or "component" of any proposed solution. Consensus of the group should be sought on selection of a set of solution criteria.

²Solution Options - each is a solution alternative elicited from the stakeholder group that meet one of the specific solution criteria.

To complete the matrix:

- 1. Elicit from the stakeholder group a set of components (attributes) desired for any proposed solution. Enter a short label for each in the Design Components column.
- 2. If needed, enter a more detailed description of each criteria on the "Component Details" tab.
- 3. Using informal/non-binding voting, rate each component's priority in the final solution as "high/medium/low"4. Elicit from the stakeholder group potential solution alternative(s) for each component. Enter a short label for each in the Solution Options columns.
- 5. If needed, enter a more detailed description of each potential solution option on the "Solution Details" tab.
- 6. Once the matrix is filled out, the group will attempt to select a single solution alternative (column) for each component (row) to form a solution "package".
- Example: cells 1B, 2C, 3A, 4B, 5D could make up a solution package.
- 7. If consensus is achieved on a single package (Tier 1 decision-making method), this will be documented in a Consensus Proposal Report to the parent committee.
- 8. If not, the group will identify up to 3 possible solution packages in a comparative Proposal Alternatives Report to the parent committee (Tier 2 decision-making method).