

| A PJ | | | | | Energy Storage Farticipation in KFM | Options Matrix | | | |
|-------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|-----|
| | | | | 1 1 | | Solution Options ² | | | |
| | | Priority (high/med/lo | | | | | | | |
| Number | Design Components ¹ | (nigh/med/lo w) | Status Quo | ESA | Α | в | с | DE | FGH |
| | Must offer requirement in day ahead market | low/medium | N/A | As other generation: must offer can be met through DA market, self schedule, or optional hydro optimizer | Self-scheduling | PJM optimization (e.g. pumped hydro) | Standard DA/RT, respecting max run time/max energy limits | | |
| | | | No current standard 4 hours (based on fuel limited resources) | | | | | | |
| | | | - regulation is hourly market | | | keep current products - limited, extended summer | | | |
| 2 | Minimum continuous electricity time capability | high | cannot be out for XX mins or else forfeit bid dependent on market software | N/A, 330kWh to provide 100kW of UCAP (Proposed as Minimum Energy Capacityu) | | consistent with shortest duration of current DR products | 10 hours | 15 mins/shorter than 1 hour 4 hour | re |
| 2 | | nign | - Continuous capability for a certain period | | | products | | | 5 |
| 3 | Minimum continuous electricity production capability | low/medium | - 0.1 MW for existing resources | 100kW | status quo | | | | |
| | | | 1-2 hours based on resource type, Steam 2 hrs, Hydro 1 hr Qualifying test | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | - Seasonal test | | initial test - CIR, annual/seasonal test | | | | |
| 4 | Test requirements | medium | - Equivilant to duration - As outlined in manual 14D | Once per year, full charge/discharge cycle at rated UCAP to verify MWh. | qualification test similar to regulation | | | | |
| | | | - LM outlined in manual 11 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Metering requirements | low/medium | - Energy market in load response manual | | Comply with rules in Manual 14D | | | | |
| | | | Enter through queue process, Register as part of Markets Database, make themselves availible trough eMarket- Traditional generators - daily must | | | | | | |
| | | | offer | | | | | | |
| 6 | How does a PJM Resource make itself availible/Method of Availibility to PJM | medium | - DR - have to register prior to delivery year - if EO - 20 mins notice, self schedule | Must offer requirement applies to UCAP. Emergency procedures extend to full ICAP. | energy market must offer obligations | | | | |
| 0 | | medidin | | | | | | | |
| | | | mine/may startup emergency min/may price/cost based cost surve | | | | | | |
| | | | mins/max, startup, emergency min/max, price/cost based, cost curve | | | | | | |
| | | | 1) Beginning and End of Day Storage levels in MW. (INITIAL MW, FINAL | | | | | | |
| | | | MW) 2) GenMin and PumpMin values, which will be the minimum hourly | | | | | | |
| | | | pumping and generating MW (MIN PUMP MW, MIN GEN MW) | | status quo plus max run time and/or max energy and min charge time | | | | |
| | | | 3) Pumping efficiency (PUMP FACTOR).4) Maximum or minimum storage level constraints (MAX MW, MIN MW) | | when using pumped hydro parameters, make obvious substitusions: | | | | |
| | | | 4) Maximum or minimum storage level constraints (MAX MWV, MIN MWV) | | pump/generate -> charge/discharge | | | | |
| | | | Other parameters for regular resources as well: | | pumping efficiency -> cycle efficiency | | | | |
| 7 | Offer parameters | high | Start up/ shutdown costs | Option A | etc. | | | | |
| | | | | | Notification time for RT energy may vary with charge state.Scheduling | | | | |
| 8 | Response and recovery | medium/high | - Discount ICAP based on outage rates, e.g., most gen | Option A | method in (1) must respect recharge times. | | | | |
| | | | | UCAP is the lesser of energy capacity divided by 3.3 or maximum output | | | | | |
| | | | - Administratively determined, e.g., Energy Efficiency | power. | | | | | |
| 9 | Capacity Value: How to determine UCAP | hiah | - Inferior product with limited clearing and price separation, e.g., sub- Annual DR. | eFORd applied as for other generation. Treated as generation in RPM auctions | Calculation based on load carying capabilty at constant LOLE | ICAP derated by forced outages | actual output over series of peak hours (eg. Wind model) | average hourly output over req cont operation hourly req | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Applicability: what types of resources rules apply to | medium/bigh | PS - submit day ahead, schedule, blackstart level, never fully depleted battery would never deplete due to degradation compared to PS | Option A | All interconnected storage devices not covered by current rules | | | | |
| | Scheduling method | low/medium | | Option A | As specified in (1) | | | | |
| | | hish | | Energy offer cap accounts for cost of purchased energy and cycle losses | | | | | |
| 12 | Cost Based Offer Cap | nign | | (eg, net energy consumption) | During Min/MaxGen: | | | | |
| | | | | | 1. PJM may dispatch unit to charge/discharge at highest capable level, | | | | |
| | | | | | regardless of capacity obligation. 2. Unit not to discharge/charge except at PJM direction (following regulation | | | | |
| 13 | Emergency Procedures Obligations | medium | | Option A | signal counts as at PJM direction) | | | | |
| | | | Seasonal verification test EFORd and EFORp performance | | | | | | |
| | | | - EFORd and EFORp performance - DR compliance check | | EFOR(x) counting only hours when scheduled for energy. Outages forgiven | | | | |
| 14 | Performance Assessment | high | - MMV for energy efficiency | Option A | in hours following emergency energy dispatch. | | | | |
| | | | | | As other generators, plus: 1. opportunity costs for transistions and "hold charge" hours included. | | | | |
| | | | | | 2. opportunity costs for transitions and find charge flours included. | | | | |
| | | | | | discharge. | | | | |
| 15 | Settlements/Penalties | high | | Option A | 3. make-whole payments if uneconomically dispatched by PJM (i.e., LMP while charging > efficiency * LMP while discharging) | | | | |
| | Immature resources/transition mechanisms for determining | | | Class average EFORd determined by review of storage currently in service; | | | | | |
| 16 | capacity value | medium/high | | may be technology dependant. | | | | | |

Energy Storage Participation in RPM

Directions:

¹Design Components - each is an "attribute" or "component" of any proposed solution. Consensus of the group should be sought on selection of a set of solution criteria. ²Solution Options - each is a solution alternative elicited from the stakeholder group that meet one of the specific solution criteria.

- To complete the matrix: 1. Elicit from the stakeholder group a set of components (attributes) desired for any proposed solution. Enter a short label for each in the Design Components column. 2. If needed, enter a more detailed description of each criteria on the "Component Details" tab.

- Using informal/non-binding voting, rate each component's priority in the final solution as "high/medium/low"
 Elicit from the stakeholder group potential solution alternative(s) for each component. Enter a short label for each in the Solution Options columns.
 If needed, enter a more detailed description of each potential solution option on the "Solution Details" tab.
- 6. Once the matrix is filled out, the group will attempt to select a single solution alternative (column) for each component (row) to form a solution "package".
- Example: cells 1B, 2C, 3A, 4B, 5D could make up a solution package.
- If consensus is achieved on a single package (Tier 1 decision-making method), this will be documented in a Consensus Proposal Report to the parent committee.
 If not, the group will identify up to 3 possible solution packages in a comparative Proposal Alternatives Report to the parent committee (Tier 2 decision-making method).