

SPECIAL MRC SESSION

Problem Statement On Transmission, Capacity, and Energy Obligation Calculations

Robert A. Weishaar, Jr.
Counsel to PJM Industrial
Customer Coalition



STATUS QUO

- Hodgepodge of provisions in tariffs, manuals, and operating guides, and on EDC websites, regarding obligation calculations
- These calculations determine the quantity of transmission, capacity, and energy for which LSEs will be charged by PJM
- Because these calculations determine the quantity for which LSEs will be charged, the calculations directly affect the rates, terms, and conditions of FERCjurisdictional service



STATUS QUO

- All provisions that materially impact the calculations should be included in tariff provisions that are reviewed and accepted by FERC
- Some transmission owners appear to have recognized this, and have filed their approaches with FERC and they are included as Attachments M-1 and M-2 to the PJM Tariff
- Others have not



PROBLEMS PRESENTED BY STATUS QUO

- PJMICC is NOT aiming to undo any existing Attachment M-1s or M-2s
- Problem lies with transmission owners that have NOT filed any Attachment M-1 and M -2:
 - Lack of clarity over which provisions apply
 - Lack of specificity about how calculations are performed
 - Lack of transparency in calculations
 - Lack of accountability in the performance of the calculations
 - Lack of consistency in the regulatory approach for these calculations from zone to zone
- Problems are especially acute in transmission owner zones where retail access exists (in whole or in part)

POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS – WHAT PJMICC IS NOT INTENDING TO CHANGE

- PJMICC is not interested in changing the 5
 CP approach that is generally used to calculate capacity obligations
- PJMICC is not interested in changing the 1 CP (regional or zonal) approach that many transmission owners appear to be using to calculate transmission obligations



POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS – WHAT PJMICC IS NOT INTENDING TO CHANGE

- PJMICC not interested in using this forum to change deadlines for submission of hourly energy data for calculating energy and BOR charges
- PJMICC not wedded to any particular solution, but solution must achieve TRANSPARENCY and ACCOUNTABILITY



POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS - OPTIONS

- Option 1: Any transmission owner that does not have an Attachment M-1 and M-2 on file with FERC should make such a filing in the next 60-90 days
 - <u>Cannot</u> merely reference rules that are on websites that transmission owners may change on their own at any time
 - Need to include sufficient detail to determine the quantity for which the LSE will be responsible



POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS - OPTIONS

- Option 2: PJM files default rules that would be included in the PJM Tariff and would apply to all zones for which an Attachment M-1 and M-2 is not on file
 - Default rules would apply unless and until individual PJM transmission owner files an Attachment M-1 and M-2
- PJMICC open to exploring other options, as long as solution achieves TRANSPARENCY and ACCOUNTABILITY

