Avoiding Reliability Must-Runs/ System Support Resources

Ric O'Connell Executive Director Ric@Gridlab.org

GridL**贫**B





Reliability Must-Runs Provide two categories of services:

"<u>Real Power</u>" (MW) Needed to prevent

- Thermal Overloads
- Resource Shortfall
- Reserve Shortfall
- Frequency Drop (Inertia)

"<u>Reactive Power</u>" (MVAr) Needed to prevent

- Dynamic Instability
- Voltage Collapse

Current Planning Process:

- Many legacy power plants were intentionally sited inside large load areas or major line crossings, which means removing them <u>introduces grid reliability issues</u> near the location of the power plant
- Retirements are often announced within one year or less of proposed shut down
- When a power plant is removed, significant transmission upgrades are often needed to move real or reactive power into the "hole" created by removing the power plant
- Transmission Planning Groups can typically <u>only</u> <u>propose "wire" solutions</u> including new lines, transformers, substation upgrades etc.
- Thus, <u>Reliability Must-Runs are triggered</u> until the transmission upgrades can be completed which <u>can</u> <u>take many years</u>.

Results:

Rush Island, MO (MISO) Ameren

- RMR until 2025 or until transmission upgrades can be completed
- Transmission upgrades including ~1000 MVAR Static Synchronous Compensators (STATCOMs)
 - Expensive, use up interconnection capacity, and do not provide real power or energy
- Queued renewable and storage resources were evaluated and did not solve the problem

Brandon Shores, MD (PJM) Talen Energy

- PJM seeking RMR until 2028 or until transmission upgrades can be completed
- \$785 million in Transmission Upgrades needed to fix multiple issues, including new 525 kV line route
- 260 MW of storage at Brandon Shores stuck in interconnection queue until 2026



A better way?

- <u>Sherco</u>, MN (MISO) Xcel Energy
- Dolet Hills, LA (MISO) Cleco Power
- Joppa and Edwards, IL (MISO) Vistra
- <u>Ventura Energy Storage</u>, CA (CAISO) SCE

Possible Routes:

- <u>Surplus interconnection service (FERC 845)</u>: adding new generation at the site of an existing plant, that would continue operating
- <u>Material Modification Process:</u> Owner requests generator change under the existing LGIA
- <u>Generator replacement:</u> adding new generation at the site of a retiring plant
- <u>Storage as a Transmission Asset:</u> Allow TOs to propose energy storage as a solution

GridL**贫**B

Sherco Coal Plant (Becker, MN) Xcel Energy

- 2,238 MW 3-unit coal plant
- Retiring in phases by 2030
- Replacing with 710 MW solar plant, possible long duration storage



2015 – Xcel files with MN PUC to retire units 1 & 2 in 2023 & 2026

- Originally planned to be replaced with <u>combined cycle</u> and 50 MW solar
- MISO studies the retirement under "<u>attachment Y process</u>"

2019 – Xcel plans to retire unit 3 in 2030

2020 – Xcel IRP intervention demonstrates <u>no reliability</u> <u>need for the combined cycle</u>

2022 – Xcel plans 460 MW solar plant using unit 1 interconnection capacity

2023 – Xcel plans additional 250 MW solar plant using unit 2 interconnection capacity



Sherco, MN (MISO) Xcel Energy

How to replicate:

- Require ample notice (5+ years) for retirement (to give Transmission Planners time to study and propose solutions)
- 2. Provide a process for clean repowering in ISO interconnection queue (Interconnection Transferability)
- 3. Require clean repowering plans to be included in the IRP process ("you know these plants will retire soon, what is your plan?")

*This will be different in non-IRP areas like PJM

Key Points:

- Continuing to run resource planning and transmission planning in separate processes will, by definition, result in a suboptimal results at the system-wide level
 - Transmission Operators typically cannot propose
 "generation" solutions like storage
 - Resource Planners typically use generic "interconnection costs" when evaluating renewable alternatives
- Grid Forming Inverters, especially when paired with storage, can provide all services provided by RMRs and usually can be built faster than transmission upgrades
 - Two caveats:
 - The duration is limited compared to thermal generation (4-6 hours)
 - The system must be able to charge the storage
- DLRs, GETs, and DERs can provide services, but typically only address thermal overloads (MW) and steady state voltage problems

Additional Resources

- ESIG Members have collected a library on Grid Forming Inverter Based Resource capabilities, modeling best practices, and initiated code development.
 - <u>https://www.esig.energy/event/2022-special-topic-workshop-grid-forming-ibrs/</u>
- NERC has established a definition for Grid Forming Inverters and continues to study their applications in North America
 - <u>https://www.nerc.com/comm/RSTC_Reliability_Guidelines/White_Pap</u> er_Grid_Forming_Technology.pdf
- National Labs and Industry are investigating potential for GFMs to support a 100% renewable grid in Hawaii (this will drive a lot of industry knowledge over the next 2-3 years)
 - https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/83545.pdf