Electric Gas Coordination Senior Task Force August 15, 2022



Dominion Energy's November 2021 Presentation

"Identified Issues"

- Acknowledged a continued electric and gas market misalignment.
 - Timing of unit offers/DA awards vs. gas market settlement.
 - Discourages fuel procurement when most needed.
- Potential PJM economic dispatch deficiencies associated with fuel limitations.

- Noted increased gas-fired generation inflexibility due to current and future role of intermittent generation.
- > PJM reliability planning to better reflect pipeline and gas market realities.



- ✓ Assumes existing PJM Tariff, economic and reliability modeling.
- ✓ Acknowledges generators' derate and forced outage options if day ahead or real-time PJM price signals do not adequately consider fuel costs and/or restrictions.

Two Separate Proposals:

- Option 1: Create a process to improve PJM's daily situational awareness through evaluations, tracking, analysis of fuel supply and generator fuel costs in dispatch models.
- Option 2: Create an option for resources owners to elect a multi-day offer for weekends and holidays.



Option 1

<u>Option 1:</u> Creates a multi-step process to improve PJM's daily situational awareness through evaluations, tracking, analysis of fuel supply and generator fuel costs in dispatch models.

Step 1: Quantify Fuel Sourcing for DA Awards

- Generators upload gas noms, projected sourcing and approved burn profiles.
- PJM to document if DA fuel plan defies pipeline constraints, OFOs or tariff provisions
 - evaluate ratio of pipe nominations by cycle (reliance on ID2, ID3?)
 - evaluate aggregated DA fueling plan and review w/pipeline
 - evaluate (make public) aggregate impact of RT dispatch on fuel plan.

Step 2: Quantify, & Make Explicit, Reserve Fuel Sourcing Assumptions

- Quantify onsite & pipe source back-up fueling capabilities (vols, replenish sch).
- Determine potential need (load/intermittent generation/interface forecast errors, N-1 contingencies, etc.) by
 hour and compare to known fueling capabilities.
- Evaluate (make public) overall reliability of reserve fueling plan & review w/ pipeline.



Option 1

Step 3: Compare Energy Market Signals vs. Fuel Costs for Fueling Planning Consistency

- Determine if the market signal (DA or RT) provide sufficient incentive to procure fuel consistent with existing pipeline Tariff requirements.
- Evaluate market signal on backup fuel consumption.
- Evaluate generator credit/cash flow implications during extreme events.

Step 4: Evaluate Performance After Periods of Stress

- ACE performance (# & length of excursions).
- Quantify amount & type of backup fuel consumed.
- Make evaluations public.



Option 1- Benefits for Consideration

<u>Option 1:</u> Creates a multi-step process to improve PJM's daily situational awareness through evaluations, tracking, analysis of fuel supply and generator fuel costs in dispatch models.

Simple to implement
Provides PJM greater visibility of fuel procured by generators to meet energy market obligations.
Increases transparency for PJM and market participants of available reserves in aggregate.
Establishes clear procedures to assess status of fuel availability.
Requires continuous evaluation of fuel status during severe events.
Other considerations?



Potential Subsequent Steps for Option 1

- Account for Gas Fueling Constraints in Gen Dispatch & Reserve Calculation
 - If DA fueling plan is deemed unreliable, implement solutions:
 - If too much reliance on ID2/ID3 nomination cycles, consider awarding additional MW covered by pipeline timely nomination cycle (e.g., DA).
 - Add fueling constraints to PJM's reliability (re-offer) run.
- Account for Fueling Constraints in RT Dispatch Price Signal
 - Additional economic considerations applied if current LMP would cause in-place fuel plans to become unreliable.
 - Ex: If LMPs send unit to eco min/max in violation of pipeline imbalance parameters, unit must remain at planned levels.
 - Ex: If system emergency req unit to raise fuel consumption or come online, implement fuel reserve contingency as determined in step 2 above.

Note, these subsequent steps are action-based and would require further consideration and input from PJM and its stakeholders.



Option 2

<u>Option 2:</u> Create an option for resources owners to elect a multi-day offer for weekends and holidays.

- Create voluntary option for any generation resource to offer a multi-day offer for weekends and holidays.
- ☐ If Generation Resource does not receive a multi-day ahead award for duration of weekend or holiday, then it can re-offer in the Generation Rebidding Period.
- ☐ If the Generation Resource is not dispatched in reoffer period, it can still elect to self-schedule or re-offer in the subsequent Day-Ahead offer windows.
- ☐ Generation still wears risk of not clearing economically for multiple days and retains status quo to manage risk (e.g. Must run, sell gas, pipeline imbalance).



Option 2

<u>Option 2:</u> Create an option for resources owners to elect a multi-day offer for weekends and holidays.



Makes economic offer, but requires dispatch for weekend (Sat-Monday) PJM runs solution for weekend with offer.

- Determines resource is needed for multiple days, awards resource multiday commitment based upon energy offer.
- Determines resource is not needed for multiple days and rejects offer.



•If generator does receive an award in reoffer, it can manage procurement of gas under status quo (e.g. self-schedule)

•Generator can also offer in subsequent DA market.



Option 2- Benefits for consideration

<u>Option 2:</u> Create an option for resources owners to elect a multi-day offer for weekends and holidays.

Benefits Generation Owner by providing one more option to use when making energy offers for weekends and holidays
Increases Generation Owner ability to manage risk of buying multiple days of gas.
All generation can elect to offer in for a multi-day commitment.
Market Solution
Does not transfer risk to other market participants.
Are there any market power considerations?
Easily implemented?

