

RPI Poll Results

June 4th, 2015

www.pjm.com PJM©2015




Comparison of Options

| Option | Scope | Committee | Problem Statement | Expected Duration | Benefits | Risks | PJM Recommended | IMM Recommended |
|--------|--|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | Reliability issue | Some market issues | | |
| | Limited - Reliability/Operation | | | | addressed; Short | will not be addressed: | | |
| | problem only; No | Operating | Existing OC Problem | | timeline; Limited | Solution may not be a | | |
| 2 | market/pricing/compensation issues | Committee | Statement | 5-6 months | impact to stakeholders | long term fix | Yes | No |
| | market/prieng/compensation issues | committee | Phase A - Existing OC | 5 o mondis | impact to stakeholders | long term nx | 103 | NO |
| | | Phase A - OC; | Problem Statement; | | Reliability issue | | | |
| | | Phase B - MRC | Phase B - Wider Scope | Phase 1: 5-6 | addressed; Allows | Possible large market | | |
| | Phase A - Reliability problem; Phase B | direction (Sr. Task | problem statement | months; Phase 2: | issues to be worked in | impacts; Lengthy | No; but would | |
| 3 | Market issues | Force?) | (developed by IMM) | 8-12 months | parallel | stakeholder process | support | No |
| | | | | | | Possible large market | | |
| | | | Wider Scope problem | Phase 1: 5-6 | Reliability issue | impacts; Lengthy | | |
| | | | statement with Phase A | months; Phase 2: | addressed; | stakeholder process; | | |
| | Phase A - Reliability problem; Phase B | MRC direction (Sr. | and Phase B deliverables | additional 8-12 | Optimization and | Issues worked in | No; but would | |
| 3A | Market issues | Task Force?) | (to be developed) | months | market fixes considered | series | support | No |
| | | | | | Reliability issue | | | |
| | Address issues with marginal benefit | | | | addressed; More | | | |
| | function definition and application | | | | optimized regulation | | | |
| | within the current regulation market | | Wider Scope problem | | market; Same | | | |
| | to address | MRC direction (Sr. | statement (to be | | stakeholder group | Lengthy stakeholder | | |
| 4 | reliability/Operation/market issues | Task Force?) | developed) | 12-18 months | looking at all issues | process; Large impact | No | Yes |

www.pjm.com 2 PJM©2015



First Choice Selection




Of the options listed below, please select the single option that would be your **organization's first choice**, in terms of addressing the regulation issue through the stakeholder process.

| Option 2 | 100 | 61% |
|-----------|-----|------|
| Option 3 | 28 | 17% |
| Option 3A | 29 | 18% |
| Option 4 | 7 | 4% |
| Total | 164 | 100% |

www.pjm.com PJM©2015



Option that Could be Supported




Of the options listed below, please select the option, or options, that your <u>organization could</u> <u>support</u>, in terms of addressing the regulation issue through the stakeholder process. You may select all that apply.

| Option 2 | 100 | 61% |
|-----------|-----|-----|
| Option 3 | 126 | 77% |
| Option 3A | 48 | 29% |
| Option 4 | 32 | 20% |

www.pjm.com 4 PJM©2015



Cannot Support



Of the options listed below, please select the option, or options, that your organization CANNOT support, in terms of addressing the regulation issue through the stakeholder process. You may select all that apply.

| 1 1 2 | | |
|-----------|-----|-----|
| Option 2 | 61 | 37% |
| Option 3 | 21 | 13% |
| Option 3A | 82 | 50% |
| Option 4 | 108 | 66% |